

<p align="center">SHEET 15</p> <p align="center">LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</p> <p align="center">LOG OF CHANGES AT GPS TEST LOCATIONS WITH PERM. AVC OR WIM</p>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[P06]
	*STATE CODE	[53]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[3813]

LOCATION SR 14 TYPE EQUIP. Piezo (Class 1)

MP # 11.9 MODEL # IRD 1060[illegible]

MP # 11.9 MODEL # IRD 1060

[illegible]

file 12-11-8-12

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[P 0 6]
	*STATE CODE	[5 3]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[3 8 1 3]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2002	32000	4780	10966	1219	234

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Averaged and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☒ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (9) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Factors from recorder classification data for April, 2000. applied to AADT for 2002

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Percents from recorder for April, 2000 applied to AADT, factored for Dir, Lane.

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. _____ Tand. _____ Tri. _____
☒ Other: (4) 3 Truck factors (ESAL) applied to volumes of Single, Double, Triple unit trucks.

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☒ Other: (6) See #6

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Guorong Liu</u>	PHONE # <u>(360) 570-2420</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2-9-04</u>	<u>liu@wsdot.wa.gov</u> rev. March 12, 2001

ENTERED FEB 26 2004

5874