

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[9355]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1998				2,897	1,029

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	7/25/2008	REV. February 21, 2000	

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[9355]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [06/22/1998]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT	<u>RESEARCH</u>
<u>EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT</u>	<u>TRAINING</u>
<u>DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION</u>	<u>NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION</u>
<u>OTHER (SPECIFY)</u>	
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

<u>BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC</u>	<u>BARE FLAT PIEZO</u>	<u>BENDING PLATES</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO	<u>LOAD CELLS</u>	<u>QUARTZ PIEZO</u>
<u>CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INDUCTANCE LOOPS	<u>CAPACITANCE PADS</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) <u>PICCO</u>		
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER UNKNOWN

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:

<u>TRAFFIC STREAM</u>	<u>STATIC SCALE (Y/N)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TEST TRUCKS
<u>NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED</u>	<u>001</u>	NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	SUSPENSION
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	1	
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	2	
	3	

7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)

MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --	
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW	<u>19.5</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES	<u>19.8</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES	<u>21.2</u>
STANDARD DEVIATION	<u>23.1</u>
STANDARD DEVIATION	<u>20.1</u>
STANDARD DEVIATION	<u>24.4</u>
8. 03 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 51 55
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:

<u>VIDEO</u>	<u>MANUAL</u>	<u>PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS</u>
--------------	---------------	-----------------------------
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9	FHWA CLASS	
*** FHWA CLASS 8	FHWA CLASS	
	FHWA CLASS	
	FHWA CLASS	
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: CONTACT INFORMATION:	rev. November 9, 1999
--	-----------------------

KS
 ENTERED JUN 03 2009

ENTERED JAN 01 2004 M