

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[9005]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>2003</u>				<u>189</u>	<u>35</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. _____ Tand. _____ Tri. _____
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER	<u>Dan YE</u>	PHONE #	<u>512-977-1845</u>
DATE PREPARED	<u>7/25/2008</u>	REV.	<u>February 21, 2000</u>

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [] *STATE CODE [48] *SHRP SECTION ID [9005]
--	---

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 10/21/2003
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION 1/31/08
- ☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
- ☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☐ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☒ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermocox
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
- ☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED _____
- 5 PASSES PER TRUCK
- | TRUCK | TYPE | SUSPENSION |
|-------|------|------------|
| 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
- TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
 SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING
 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) _____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
- MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 8.5 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.4
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 3.4 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.3
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 13.4 STANDARD DEVIATION 3.5
8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 52-54
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
- ☐ VIDEO ☐ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT _____ TIME _____ NUMBER OF TRUCKS _____
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
- *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 FHWA CLASS _____
 FHWA CLASS _____
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JAN 09 2008 C G
 ENTERED JAN 31 2008 C G