

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5278]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [04/23/2003]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ___ WIM ___ CLASSIFIER ___ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
 ___ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ___ RESEARCH
 ___ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ___ TRAINING
 ___ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ___ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
 ___ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 ___ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ___ BARE FLAT PIEZO ___ BENDING PLATES
 ✓ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ___ LOAD CELLS ___ QUARTZ PIEZO
 ___ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ___ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ___ CAPACITANCE PADS
 ✓ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermocox
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
 ___ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ___ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ✓ TEST TRUCKS
 ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ___ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
- 5 PASSES PER TRUCK
- | | TRUCK | TYPE | SUSPENSION |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------|------------|
| TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING | 2 | | |
| 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) | 3 | | |
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 14.7 STANDARD DEVIATION 5.4
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 12.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 12.9
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 15.7 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.9
8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 53 - 55
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
 ___ VIDEO ___ MANUAL ___ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ___ TIME ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 02 2009 K S
ENTERED APR 30 2004 R G

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID []
	*STATE CODE [48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID [5278]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003				207	55

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) ☐
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri. ☐
☒ Other: (4) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER Joe Kim
DATE PREPARED 6/11/2009

PHONE # 512-977-1800
REV. February 21, 2000

ENTERED JUN 11 2009 K S

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5278]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [04/23/2003]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☒ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermo Coax
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
 _____ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	5 PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	TYPE
	1	5
	2	
	3	

11/10/04

7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)

MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---

DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW

DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES

DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES

STANDARD DEVIATION

STANDARD DEVIATION

STANDARD DEVIATION

17.3

17.4

6/8/05

8. 3 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED

9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 53 54 55

10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 420

- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS

13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME 1 NUMBER OF TRUCKS

14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9

FHWA CLASS

*** FHWA CLASS 8

FHWA CLASS

FHWA CLASS

FHWA CLASS

*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 14 2005 NM