

<b>SHEET 16</b> <b>LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA</b> <b>SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[ 48 ]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[ 5274 ]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. \* DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [ 11 / 09 / 2004 ]
2. \* TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED      WIM      CLASSIFIER      BOTH
3. \* REASON FOR CALIBRATION  
     REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT      RESEARCH  
     EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT      TRAINING  
     DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION      NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION  
     OTHER (SPECIFY)
4. \* SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  
     BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC      BARE FLAT PIEZO      BENDING PLATES  
     CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO      LOAD CELLS      QUARTZ PIEZO  
     CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO      INDUCTANCE LOOPS      CAPACITANCE PADS  
     OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermocox
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS\*\*

- 6.\*\* CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:  
     TRAFFIC STREAM --      STATIC SCALE (Y/N)      TEST TRUCKS  
     NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED      NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED  

4 PASSES PER TRUCK  

TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
1	6	1
2		
3		
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)  
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --  
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW      2.9 STANDARD DEVIATION 3.0  
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES      3.7 STANDARD DEVIATION 6.5  
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES      2.5 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.5
8.      ( NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 54-60
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED)
- 11.\*\* IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y  
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE:

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS\*\*\*

- 12.\*\*\* METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:  
     VIDEO      MANUAL      PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT      TIME      NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:  
\*\*\* FHWA CLASS 9      FHWA CLASS       
\*\*\* FHWA CLASS 8      FHWA CLASS       
FHWA CLASS       
FHWA CLASS       
\*\*\* PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES:

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:  
CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JAN 06 2005 CT

<b>SHEET 10</b> <b>LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</b>  <b>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</b> <b>ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[ 48 ]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[ 5274 ]

# 1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2004	_____	_____	_____	1,481	921

## 2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)  
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)  
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Used flow maps. (7)  
☐ Other: (8) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)  
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)  
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)  
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)  
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Other: (10) \_\_\_\_\_

## 4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☐ Other: (3) \_\_\_\_\_

## \*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

## \*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)  
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri. \_\_\_\_\_  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

## 7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)  
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)  
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)  
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)  
☐ Other: (6) \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)  
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)  
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)  
☐ Other: (4) \_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	7/25/2008	REV.	February 21, 2000

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G