

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5024]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003				528	155

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	7/25/2008	REV. February 21, 2000	

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5024]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 10/16/2003
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC BARE FLAT PIEZO BENDING PLATES
☒ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO LOAD CELLS QUARTZ PIEZO
☒ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO INDUCTANCE LOOPS CAPACITANCE PADS
☒ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermo Coax
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED 001 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

004 PASSES PER TRUCK

TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
1	5	1
2		
3		

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
 SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING
 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)

7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 2.3 2.2 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.5 2.6
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 9.1 1.4 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.7 2.3
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES --- --- STANDARD DEVIATION --- ---
8. --- NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____

10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____

- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☐ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED OCT 30 2003 M D

ENTERED JUN 17 2005 NM

ENTERED JUN 03 2009 KS

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5024]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 11/25/2003
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermo coax
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED 2 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM		
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING		
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)		
	3 PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	TYPE
	1	5
	2	6
	3	
		SUSPENSION
		1

6/21/05
GEP

7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 1.6 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.5
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 10.7 STANDARD DEVIATION 3.1
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 2.8 STANDARD DEVIATION 1.5
8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 51-59

10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____

- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☐ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED APR 30 2004 RG