

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [] *STATE CODE [48] *SHRP SECTION ID [4142]
---	---

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2000	_____	_____	_____	502	223

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle (3) Sing. _____ Tand. _____ Tri. _____
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Dan YE</u>	PHONE # <u>512-977-1845</u>	REV. February 21, 2000
DATE PREPARED <u>2/26/2009</u>		

ENTERED APR 02 2009 J P M

ENTERED FEB 27 2009 J P M

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[4142]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [10/04/2000]
- * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
- * REASON FOR CALIBRATION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT	<input type="checkbox"/> RESEARCH
<input type="checkbox"/> EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> TRAINING
<input type="checkbox"/> DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION	<input type="checkbox"/> NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) _____	
- * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC	<input type="checkbox"/> BARE FLAT PIEZO	<input type="checkbox"/> BENDING PLATES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO	<input type="checkbox"/> LOAD CELLS	<input type="checkbox"/> QUARTZ PIEZO
<input type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INDUCTANCE LOOPS	<input type="checkbox"/> CAPACITANCE PADS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) <u>Piezo</u>		
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER UNKNOWN

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- ** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:

<input type="checkbox"/> TRAFFIC STREAM	<input type="checkbox"/> STATIC SCALE (Y/N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TEST TRUCKS
<input type="checkbox"/> NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED	<u>001</u> NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED	

		PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BQN SYSTEM	1		
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2		
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3		
- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)

MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --		
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW	<u>13.2</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>1.6</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES	<u>15.2</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>3.1</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES	<u>33.7</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>7</u>
- 02 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 49.50
- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- ** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- *** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:

<input type="checkbox"/> VIDEO	<input type="checkbox"/> MANUAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---
- METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
- MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
*** FHWA CLASS 8	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 03 2009 KS

RECEIVED JAN 09 2010