

<b>SHEET 10</b> <b>LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</b>  <b>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</b> <b>ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[ 48 ]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[ 4142 ]

# 1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1998	_____	_____	_____	446	194

## 2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)  
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)  
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Used flow maps. (7)  
☐ Other: (8) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)  
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)  
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)  
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)  
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Other: (10) \_\_\_\_\_

## 4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☐ Other: (3) \_\_\_\_\_

## \*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

## \*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)  
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. \_\_\_\_\_ Tand. \_\_\_\_\_ Tri. \_\_\_\_\_  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

## 7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)  
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)  
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)  
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)  
☐ Other: (6) \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

☐ WIM scale. (1)  
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)  
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)  
☐ Other: (4) \_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	7/25/2008	REV.	February 21, 2000

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[4142]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- \* DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [07/30/1998]
- \* TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
- \* REASON FOR CALIBRATION  
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH  
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING  
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_
- \* SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  
 KDS 6/3/09  
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES  
☒ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO  
☒ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS  
☒ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piez
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER UNKNOWN

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS\*\*

- \*\* CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:  
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS  
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED 001 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
- |                                      |                  |      |            |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------|------------|
|                                      | PASSES PER TRUCK |      |            |
|                                      | TRUCK            | TYPE | SUSPENSION |
| TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM          | 1                |      |            |
| SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING | 2                |      |            |
| 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)                 | 3                |      |            |
- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)  
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---  
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 33.8 STANDARD DEVIATION 39.8  
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 22.9 STANDARD DEVIATION 12.6  
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 49.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 44.6
- 02 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 55 57
- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) \_\_\_\_\_
- \*\* IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N  
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: \_\_\_\_\_

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS\*\*\*

- \*\*\* METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:  
☐ VIDEO ☐ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
- METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
- MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:  
 \*\*\* FHWA CLASS 9 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 \*\*\* FHWA CLASS 8 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 \*\*\* PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: \_\_\_\_\_

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 03 2009 KS

ENTERED JAN 01 2004 M