

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID *STATE CODE [48] *SHRP SECTION ID [3719]
---	--

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1999				709	390

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. _____ Tand. _____ Tri. _____
☒ Other: (4) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER Joe Kim
 DATE PREPARED 6/11/2009

PHONE # 512-977-1800
 REV. February 21, 2000

ENTERED JUN 11 2009 K S

SHEET 16
LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA
SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY

*STATE ASSIGNED ID []
*STATE CODE [48]
*SHRP SECTION ID [3719]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [04/06/1999]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ___ WIM ___ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT
___ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT
___ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION
___ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
KPS 6/3/09
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC
☒ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO
☒ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO
___ BARE FLAT PIEZO
___ LOAD CELLS
☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS
___ BENDING PLATES
___ QUARTZ PIEZO
___ CAPACITANCE PADS
___ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER UNKNOWN

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
___ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ___ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED 001 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
- | TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) | PASSES PER TRUCK | |
|---|------------------|------|
| | TRUCK | TYPE |
| 1 | ___ | ___ |
| 2 | ___ | ___ |
| 3 | ___ | ___ |
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 22.1 STANDARD DEVIATION 22.8
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 28.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 25.7
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 23.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 20.6
8. 04 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 41 54
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
___ VIDEO ___ MANUAL ___ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ___ TIME ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
*** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

KS
ENTERED JUN 03 2009

ENTERED JAN 09 2004 M