

<b>SHEET 10</b> <b>LTTP TRAFFIC DATA</b>  <b>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</b> <b>ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [        ]  *STATE CODE [ 48 ]  *SHRP SECTION ID [ 3003 ]
---	--

### 1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTTP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTTP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTTP LANE (1000'S)
1998	_____	_____	_____	400	134

### 2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)  
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTTP site. (1)  
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTTP site. (2)  
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTTP site. (5)  
☐ Used flow maps. (7)  
☐ Other: (8) \_\_\_\_\_

### 3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)  
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)  
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTTP site. (7)  
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTTP site. (5)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTTP site. (4)  
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTTP site. (2)  
☐ Other: (10) \_\_\_\_\_

### 4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTTP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☐ Other: (3) \_\_\_\_\_

### \*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTTP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

### \*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTTP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)  
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. \_\_\_\_\_ Tand. \_\_\_\_\_ Tri. \_\_\_\_\_  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

### 7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTTP site prior years. (2)  
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)  
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)  
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)  
☐ Other: (6) \_\_\_\_\_

### 8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)  
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)  
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)  
☐ Other: (4) \_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Dan YE</u>	PHONE # <u>512-977-1845</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>7/25/2008</u>	REV. February 21, 2000

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[3003]

### SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. \* DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 06/23/1998
2. \* TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. \* REASON FOR CALIBRATION
 

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT	<input type="checkbox"/> RESEARCH
<input type="checkbox"/> EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> TRAINING
<input type="checkbox"/> DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION	<input type="checkbox"/> NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) _____	
4. \* SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC	<input type="checkbox"/> BARE FLAT PIEZO	<input type="checkbox"/> BENDING PLATES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO	<input type="checkbox"/> LOAD CELLS	<input type="checkbox"/> QUARTZ PIEZO
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INDUCTANCE LOOPS	<input type="checkbox"/> CAPACITANCE PADS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) <u>Piez</u>		
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Unknown

### WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS\*\*

- 6.\*\* CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> TRAFFIC STREAM	<input type="checkbox"/> STATIC SCALE (Y/N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TEST TRUCKS
<input type="checkbox"/> NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED	<u>001</u>	NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

	PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	TYPE
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	SUSPENSION
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2	_____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3	_____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 

MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---		
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW	<u>9.5</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>16.0</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES	<u>25.0</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>23.2</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES	<u>11.0</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>10.0</u>
8. 04 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 42 54
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) \_\_\_\_\_
- 11.\*\* IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N  
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: \_\_\_\_\_

### CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS\*\*\*

- 12.\*\*\* METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> VIDEO	<input type="checkbox"/> MANUAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 

*** FHWA CLASS 9	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
*** FHWA CLASS 8	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____

\*\*\* PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: \_\_\_\_\_

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 03 2009 KS

ENTERED JAN 01 2004 W 1007 L 0447