

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[2176]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1998				92	16

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (4) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER E Joe Kim
DATE PREPARED 6/11/2009

PHONE # 512-977-1800
REV. February 21, 2000

ENTERED JUN 11 2009 K S

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[2176]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [04/08/1998]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT	<input type="checkbox"/> RESEARCH
<input type="checkbox"/> EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> TRAINING
<input type="checkbox"/> DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION	<input type="checkbox"/> NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) _____	
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC	<input type="checkbox"/> BARE FLAT PIEZO	<input type="checkbox"/> BENDING PLATES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO	<input type="checkbox"/> LOAD CELLS	<input type="checkbox"/> QUARTZ PIEZO
<input type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INDUCTANCE LOOPS	<input type="checkbox"/> CAPACITANCE PADS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) <u>Flaco</u>		
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER UNKNOWN

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:

<input type="checkbox"/> TRAFFIC STREAM	<input type="checkbox"/> STATIC SCALE (Y/N)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TEST TRUCKS
<input type="checkbox"/> NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED	<u>001</u> NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED	

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	SUSPENSION
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	1	
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	2	
	3	
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)

MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --		
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW	<u>14.2</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>16.0</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES	<u>19.1</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>19.2</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES	<u>20.4</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>10.2</u>
8. 04 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 5/55
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:

<input type="checkbox"/> VIDEO	<input type="checkbox"/> MANUAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
*** FHWA CLASS 8	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____

*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 03 2009 KS

RECEIVED DEC 20 2009