

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[1093]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1998				1,181	331

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	7/25/2008	REV.	February 21, 2000

ENTERED OCT 07 2008 C G G

<p align="center">SHEET 16</p> <p align="center">LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA</p> <p align="center">SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY</p>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[48]
	*STATE CODE	[48]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[1093]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 01/04/1998
- * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
- * REASON FOR CALIBRATION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT	<u>RESEARCH</u>
<u>EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT</u>	<u>TRAINING</u>
<u>DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION</u>	<u>NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION</u>
<u>OTHER (SPECIFY) _____</u>	
- * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC	<u>BARE FLAT PIEZO</u>	<u>BENDING PLATES</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO	<u>LOAD CELLS</u>	<u>QUARTZ PIEZO</u>
<u>CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INDUCTANCE LOOPS	<u>CAPACITANCE PADS</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) <u>Piez</u>		
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Unknown

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- ** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:

<u>TRAFFIC STREAM</u>	<u>STATIC SCALE (Y/N)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TEST TRUCKS
<u>NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED</u>	<u>001</u>	<u>NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED</u>

	PASSES PER TRUCK		
	TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1		
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2		
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3		

- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)

MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---		
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW	<u>28.8</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>18.9</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES	<u>35.4</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>24.8</u>
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES	<u>37.5</u>	STANDARD DEVIATION <u>9.4</u>
- 02 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 41-55
- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- ** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) N
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- *** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:

<u>VIDEO</u>	<u>MANUAL</u>	<u>PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS</u>
--------------	---------------	-----------------------------
- METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
- MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
*** FHWA CLASS 8	_____	FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____
		FHWA CLASS	_____
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
CONTACT INFORMATION:

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JUN 03 2009 KS

ENTERED JAN 01 2004 M