

| | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT | *STATE ASSIGNED ID | [] |
| | *STATE CODE | [48] |
| | *SHRP SECTION ID | [0800] |

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

| * YEAR | ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY) | ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY) | ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE | *ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE | *ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S) |
|--------|--|---|--|--|---|
| 2007 | | | | 43 | 17 |

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

| | | | |
|------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|
| NAME OF PREPARER | Dan YE | PHONE # | 512-977-1845 |
| DATE PREPARED | 7/25/2008 | REV. February 21, 2000 | |

ENTERED SEP 30 2008 J P M

| | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY | *STATE ASSIGNED ID | [] |
| | *STATE CODE | [48] |
| | *SHRP SECTION ID | [0 0 0 0] |

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [12 / 4 / 2007]
- * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
- * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
☐ RESEARCH
☐ TRAINING
☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
- * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☒ OTHER (SPECIFY) Piezo Class 1 Thermocou
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Hestia Electronic

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- ** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM - ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| | PASSES PER TRUCK | | |
| | TRUCK | TYPE | SUSPENSION |
| TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING | 2 | _____ | _____ |
| 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) | 3 | _____ | _____ |
- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 0.3 STANDARD DEVIATION 4.7
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 1.1 STANDARD DEVIATION 5.5
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES STANDARD DEVIATION
- 2 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 48 - 61
- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____

- ** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- *** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
- METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
- MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 FHWA CLASS _____
 FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: | rev. November 9, 1999 |
| CONTACT INFORMATION: | |

ENTERED JAN 09 2008 C G
 ENTERED JAN 31 2008 C G