

RECEIVED SEP 0 4 2003

SHEET 16 MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA LTPP PROGRAM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	
	*STATE CODE	40
	*SHRP SECTION ID	5021

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 10/06/2003
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVIEW ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO ☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☐ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER MSI / IRO

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
☐ PASSES PER TRUCK
TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM 1 9 1
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 2
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 3

7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN —
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC GVW 1.7 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.6
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC SINGLE AXLES 4.5 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.2
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 1.1 STANDARD DEVIATION 4.9

8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 67 mph ± 2
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 0.43
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
IF YES, IDENTIFY AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: 24 hrs Class 9 206

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO (1) ☒ MANUAL (2) ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS (3)
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☒ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 0% FHWA CLASS _____
*** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: 0%

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: Blaine Meyers IRO 405 816 1427

ENTERED SEP 18 2003 RG

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[]
	*STATE CODE	[40]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5021]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003				925	287

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	2/26/2009	REV. February 21, 2000	

ENTERED FEB 27 2009 J P M
ENTERED APR 02 2009 J P M