

1919 ON XR/XL 07/15/03 15:54 00/ST/00  
TOTAL P.02

RECEIVED SEP 04 2003

SHEET 16 MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA LTPP PROGRAM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	_____
	*STATE CODE	40
	*SHRP SECTION ID	4166

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- \* DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 10/08/2003
- \* TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
- \* REASON FOR CALIBRATION  
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT  
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT  
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVIEW  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ RESEARCH  
☐ TRAINING  
☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
- \* SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO ☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES  
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO  
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☐ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER MSI / IRD

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS\*\*

- 6.\*\* CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:  
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM - ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS  
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ 1 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM		1	10	PASSES PER TRUCK
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	2	9	TRUCK	TYPE
	3		SUSPENSION	

7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)  
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN —  
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC GVW -1.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 6.5  
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC SINGLE AXLES 9.3 STANDARD DEVIATION 13.6  
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC DOUBLE AXLES -3.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 11.0

8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 65 mph ± 2
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 0.78
- 11.\*\* IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y  
IF YES, IDENTIFY AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: 24hr Class 9 2%

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS\*\*\*

- 12.\*\*\* METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:  
☐ VIDEO (1) ☒ MANUAL (2) ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS (3)
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☒ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:  
\*\*\* FHWA CLASS 9 0% FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
\*\*\* FHWA CLASS 8 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
\*\*\* PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: 0%

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: Blaine Meyer IRD 405 8161427

ENTERED SEP 18 2003 RG

<b>SHEET 10</b> <b>LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</b>  <b>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</b> <b>ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[ 40 ]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[ 4166 ]

# 1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003				1,785	938

## 2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)  
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses.(4)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)  
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Used flow maps. (7)  
☐ Other: (8)

## 3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)  
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)  
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)  
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)  
☐ Used compouterized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)  
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Other: (10)

## 4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☐ Other: (3)

## \*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

## \*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)  
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)  
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

## 7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)  
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)  
☐ Weight data from systemaverages prior years. (4)  
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)  
☐ Other: (6)

## 8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)  
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)  
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)  
☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	2/26/2009	REV.	February 21, 2000

ENTERED FEB 27 2009 J P M  
ENTERED APR 02 2009 J P M