

<b>SHEET 10</b> <b>LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</b>  <b>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</b> <b>ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[ ]
	*STATE CODE	[ 40 ]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[ 4160 ]

### 1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003	_____	_____	_____	395	136

### 2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)  
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)  
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Used flow maps. (7)  
☐ Other: (8) \_\_\_\_\_

### 3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)  
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)  
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)  
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)  
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Other: (10) \_\_\_\_\_

### 4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☐ Other: (3) \_\_\_\_\_

### \*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

### \*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)  
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. \_\_\_\_\_ Tand. \_\_\_\_\_ Tri. \_\_\_\_\_  
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

### 7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)  
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)  
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)  
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)  
☐ Other: (6) \_\_\_\_\_

### 8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)  
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)  
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)  
☐ Other: (4) \_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF PREPARER	Dan YE	PHONE #	512-977-1845
DATE PREPARED	2/26/2009		REV. February 21, 2000

ENTERED FEB 27 2009 J P M  
 ENTERED APR 02 2009 J P M

11919 ON YR/XLI 49:51 ENL 00/ST/20

RECEIVED SEP 04 2003

TOTAL P.02

**SHEET 16  
MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA  
LTPP PROGRAM**

\*STATE ASSIGNED ID  
\*STATE CODE  
\*SHRP SECTION ID

\_\_\_\_\_  
40  
4160

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. \* DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 10/20/2003
2. \* TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☒ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. \* REASON FOR CALIBRATION  
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH  
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING  
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVIEW ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_
4. \* SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO ☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES  
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO  
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☐ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER MSE / PRD

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS\*\*

- 6.\*\* CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:  
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS  
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED 1 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED  

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	2	3	10	PASSES PER TRUCK
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	1	2	3	TRUCK	TYPE
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	1	2	3	9	1
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)  
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN —  
 DYNAMIC VS. STATIC GVW -3.2 STANDARD DEVIATION 4.3  
 DYNAMIC VS. STATIC SINGLE AXLES 4.2 STANDARD DEVIATION 3.9  
 DYNAMIC VS. STATIC DOUBLE AXLES -4.8 STANDARD DEVIATION 7.6
8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 60 mph ± 5 mph
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 0.62
- 11.\*\* IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y  
 IF YES, IDENTIFY AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: 24W Class 9 2%

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS\*\*\*

- 12.\*\*\* METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:  
☐ VIDEO (1) ☒ MANUAL (2) ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS (3)
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☒ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:  
 \*\*\* FHWA CLASS 9 0 FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 \*\*\* FHWA CLASS 8 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
 FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_
- \*\*\* PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: 0

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:

Blaine Meyers PRD 4058161427

ENTERED SEP 17 2003 RG