

LTPP TRAFFIC DATA

TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT

*STATE ASSIGNED ID [_____]

*STATE CODE [37]

*SHRP SECTION ID [1030]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YRLTPP LANE (1000'S)
2000	11334	678	3723	273	131

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT
(TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations.
 (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site.
 (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site.
 (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at
 the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☒ Other: (8) Used counts from the site.

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT
(TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)

☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site.
 (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP
 site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5 METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR
IN LTPP LANE

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ___ Tand. ___ Tri. ___
☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES .SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☒ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☒ Other: (4) None.

NAME OF PREPARER Michael H. Ashbrook

DATE PREPARED 2/26/01

PHONE 919-733-4796

rev. February 21, 2000

<p style="text-align: center;">SHEET 10</p> <p style="text-align: center;">LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</p> <p style="text-align: center;">TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</p>	<p>*STATE ASSIGNED ID []</p> <p>*STATE CODE [37]</p> <p>*SHRP SECTION ID [1030]</p>
--	--

see 1165 other sheet-10

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YRLTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>2000</u>	<u>11334</u>	<u>678</u>	<u>3723</u>	<u>273</u>	<u>131</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☒ Other: (8) Used counts from the site.

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
- ☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Other: (10) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3) _____

***5 METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3) _____

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL//YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ___ Tand. ___ Tri. ___
- ☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☒ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☒ Other: (4) None.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Michael H. Ashbrook</u>	PHONE <u>919-733-4796</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2/26/01</u>	rev. February 21, 2000

SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA

TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT

*STATE ASSIGNED ID []
*STATE CODE [37]
*SHRP SECTION ID [1030]

ENTERED MAR 30 2001

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YRLTPP LANE (1000'S)
2000	11334 11820	678 749	3723 4718	273 301	431 82

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☒ Other: (8) Used counts from the site.

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

***5 METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ___ Tand. ___ Tri. ___
☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☒ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☒ Other: (4) None.

NAME OF PREPARER Michael H. Ashbrook

DATE PREPARED 2/26/01

PHONE 919-733-4796

rev. February 21, 2000

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[_____]
	*STATE CODE	[<u>37</u>]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[<u>1030</u>]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) US 17

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) .4 Mi. s. of US 158

FILENAME C371030.M1A DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 11/1/00 BEGINNING TIME 0000

ENDING DATE 12/31/00 ENDING TIME 2400

COUNT DURATION 61 [] HOURS [X] DAYS [] MONTHS

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA X OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME _____ NO. OF BINS: _____

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT X

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# Peek ADR-3000

SENSOR TYPE Bare flat piezo.

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS) _____

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Michael H. Ashbrook</u>	PHONE <u>919-733-4796</u>
DATE PREPARED _____	
revised November 11, 1999	

SHEET 13 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA VEHICLE WEIGHT DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	STATE ASSIGNED ID [_____]
	*STATE CODE [37]
	*SHRP SECTION ID [1030]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS SESSION) US 17

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS SESSION) .4 Mi. S. of US 158

FILENAME W371030.NFA DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 12/16/00 BEGINNING TIME 0000

ENDING DATE 12/22/00 ENDING TIME 2400

COUNT DURATION 7 [] HOURS [X] DAYS [] MONTHS

WEIGHT SCALE TYPE: PORT. WIM _____ PERM. WIM X OTHER _____

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# Peek ADR-3000

SENSOR TYPE Bare flat piezo

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD:

7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 18-19 _____ 7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 22-23 _____

7-card 6 digit Truck Weight study _____ W-card X OTHER 7-card FHWA 13 bin cols. 20-21

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: _____ NO. OF BINS _____

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 CLASS SYSTEM.

METHOD OF CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY: Self calibration factor adjusted hourly on predominate Vehicle class at the site.

COMMENTS Automatic calibration capabilities

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Michael H. Ashbrook</u>	PHONE <u>919-733-4796</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2/26/01</u>	revised February 21,2000

SHEET 14 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION LOG	*STATE ASSIGNED ID []	LOCATION <u>US 17 S., .4 Mi. S. of US 158</u>
	*STATE CODE [37]	INSTALLATION DATE <u>9/22/00</u>
	*SHRP SECTION ID [1030]	

LOCATION US 17 S., .4 Mi. S. of US 158

INSTALLATION DATE 9/22/00

revised November 11, 1999)

SHEET 16
LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA
SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY

*STATE ASSIGNED ID []
*STATE CODE [37]
*SHRP SECTION ID [1030]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. *DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [9/27/00]
2. *TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED _____ WIM _____ CLASSIFIER ☒ BOTH
3. *REASON FOR CALIBRATION
____ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT
____ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT
____ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION
____ RESEARCH
____ TRAINING
☒ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
- ENTERED JUN 14 2002
4. *SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
____ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO
____ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO _____ LOAD CELLS
____ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO _____ INDUCTANCE LOOPS
____ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
____ BENDING PLATES
____ QUARTZ PIEZO
____ CAPACITANCE PADS
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Peck TRAFFIC

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
____ TRAFFIC STREAM _____ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
____ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
- ____ PASSES PER TRUCK
- | TRUCK | TYPE | SUSPENSION |
|-------|------|------------|
| 1 | 9 | 2 |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
- TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 0 . 92 STANDARD DEVIATION 3 . 4
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 3 . 81 STANDARD DEVIATION 7 . 6
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 0 . 28 STANDARD DEVIATION 3 . 59
8. 1 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 55 mph.
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 1 . 00
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) YES L_{N1}: CLASS 2, Axle 1, Expected WT 2,170 lbs
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: L_{N2}: CLASS 2, Axle 1, Expected WT 1,950 lbs

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
____ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL _____ PARRALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT 3 hrs TIME _____ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
- MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
- | | | | |
|------------------|------------|------------|-------|
| *** FHWA CLASS 9 | <u>0</u> | FHWA CLASS | _____ |
| *** FHWA CLASS 8 | <u>1.5</u> | FHWA CLASS | _____ |
| | | FHWA CLASS | _____ |
| | | FHWA CLASS | _____ |
- *** PERCENT UNCLASSIFIED VEHICLES: 0

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT Michael H. Ashbrook

CONTACT INFORMATION 919-733-4796

rev. November 9, 1999