

ENTERED SEP 12 2006

# Not A WIM SITE

<b>SHEET 10</b> <b>LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</b>  <b>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</b> <b>ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	<u>0910</u> E8
	*STATE CODE	<u>29</u>
	*SHRP SECTION ID	<u>A600</u>

## 1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1993-					
2004	See	Mo-Sheet 10	spreadsheet		

## 2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)  
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)  
☒ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)  
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Used flow maps. (7)  
☐ Other: (8)

## 3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)  
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)  
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)  
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (8)  
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)  
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)  
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)  
☒ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

Other: (9) \_\_\_\_\_

## 4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)  
☐ Other: (3) \_\_\_\_\_

## \*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)  
☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1)  
☐ Other: (3) \_\_\_\_\_

## \*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL//YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)  
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)  
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. \_\_\_\_ Tand. \_\_\_\_ Tri. \_\_\_\_  
☐ Other: (4) \_\_\_\_\_

## 7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)  
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)  
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)  
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)  
☐ Other: (6) \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)  
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)  
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)  
☐ Other: (4) Not A WIM site

NAME OF PREPARER Mary Sklodowski

DATE PREPARED May 2006

PHONE# 573-526-4907

rev. March 12, 2001

<b>SHEET 16</b> <b>LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA</b> <b>SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY</b>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0910]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[A609]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. \* DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [10/19/2004]
2. \* TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☒ CLASSIFIER ☐ BOTH
3. \* REASON FOR CALIBRATION  
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH  
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING  
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_
4. \* SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES  
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO  
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Peck ARD 3000

ENTERED FEB 13 2006

*Jan*

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS\*\*

- 6.\*\* CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:  
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☐ TEST TRUCKS  
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED  
☐ PASSES PER TRUCK  

TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	_____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2	_____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3	_____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)  
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --  
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW \_\_\_\_\_ STANDARD DEVIATION \_\_\_\_\_  
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES \_\_\_\_\_ STANDARD DEVIATION \_\_\_\_\_  
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES \_\_\_\_\_ STANDARD DEVIATION \_\_\_\_\_
8. \_\_\_\_\_ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) \_\_\_\_\_
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) \_\_\_\_\_
- 11.\*\* IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_  
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: \_\_\_\_\_

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS\*\*\*

- 12.\*\*\* METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:  
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☒ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:  
\*\*\* FHWA CLASS 9 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
\*\*\* FHWA CLASS 8 \_\_\_\_\_ FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
FHWA CLASS \_\_\_\_\_  
\*\*\* PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: \_\_\_\_\_

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: <u>Tom Jones</u>
CONTACT INFORMATION: <u>573-659-4012</u>

rev. November 9, 1999