

ENTERED DEC 14 2006

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0740] SB
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5503]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1992 - 2003	See MO - Sheet 10 spreadsheet				

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☒ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

Other: (9) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL//YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☒ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

Out of study 4/2004

NAME OF PREPARER _____ PHONE# _____
 DATE PREPARED _____ rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[740]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5503]

SB

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [10 / 08 / 2002]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED X WIM CLASSIFIER - BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT RESEARCH
 EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT TRAINING
 DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
 OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC BARE FLAT PIEZO BENDING PLATES
 CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO LOAD CELLS QUARTZ PIEZO
 CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS CAPACITANCE PADS
 OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER IRD

ENTERED NOV 18 2003

TRF-89

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☒ TRAFFIC STREAM - ☒ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) TEST TRUCKS
 6 NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
 PASSES PER TRUCK
 TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
 TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM 1 _____
 SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 2 _____
 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 3 _____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 1.0 STANDARD DEVIATION
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES STANDARD DEVIATION
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES STANDARD DEVIATION
8. NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 55 - 70
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 1.10
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
 VIDEO MANUAL PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

 PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: _____
 CONTACT INFORMATION: _____

rev. November 9, 1999