

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [0625] NB
	*STATE CODE [29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID [5393]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>2015</u>	<u>12985</u>	<u>575</u>	<u>6490</u>	<u>286</u>	<u>81</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Averaged and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (9)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) **13**
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri.
☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☒ Other: (6) *Not a WIM site*

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☒ Other: (4) *Not a WIM site*

92-16 files

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Manny Chavez</u>	PHONE # <u>(573) 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>July 6, 2016</u>	rev. March 12, 2001

ENTERED 9/DEC/2016

C.O

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0625]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5393]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) MO 79

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) 0.3 miles n/o RT Y

FILENAME C295393.Cla DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/2015 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/2015 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS ☒ MONTHS

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA _____ OTHER MoDOT-State Specific

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 Class NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT ☒

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# Peek, ADR 3000

SENSOR TYPE Piezo Cable, Inductance Loop

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS)

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA SUBMITTAL.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Manny Chavez</u>	PHONE # <u>(573) 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>July 6, 2016</u>	revised November 11, 1999

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0625]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5393]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [12 / 09 / 2015]
- * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ___ WIM / CLASSIFIER ___ BOTH
- * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
☐ RESEARCH
☐ TRAINING
☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
- * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER _____

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- **CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
 ___ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ___ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ___ TEST TRUCKS
 ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ___ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
 ___ PASSES PER TRUCK

	TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	_____	_____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2	_____	_____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3	_____	_____
- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ . ____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____ . ____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ . ____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____ . ____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ . ____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____ . ____
- ___ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____

- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____ . ____
- ** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- *** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
 ___ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ___ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS

13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ____ TIME 2 NUMBER OF TRUCKS

14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9 ____ 0 FHWA CLASS ____
*** FHWA CLASS 8 ____ 0 FHWA CLASS ____
FHWA CLASS ____
FHWA CLASS ____

*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: ____ . 0

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:

CONTACT INFORMATION: Doug Struempf (573) 751-2784

rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED 12/DEC/2016
C.O.