

Entered
21 Feb 2012
C.O.

SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA

TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT

*STATE ASSIGNED ID 0188 NB
*STATE CODE 29
*SHRP SECTION ID 5000

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>2011</u>	<u>13128</u>	<u>4022</u>	<u>5144</u>	<u>1838</u>	<u>939</u>

**2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

**3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

Other:
(9) _____

**4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR
IN LTPP LANE**

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
☐ Other:(4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☒ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER M J CHAVEZ
DATE PREPARED 2-1-12

PHONE# 573 522-9465

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0188] NB
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5000]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) IS 35 NB

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) 13.02 2 MILES N/O RTB

FILENAME _____ DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/2011 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/2011 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS [☒] MONTHS

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA _____ OTHER MODOT STAT
SPECIFIC

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 CLASS NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT ☒

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# IRD 1067

SENSOR TYPE PIEZO, CABLE, INDUCTANCE Loop

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS) _____

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>MJ CHAVEZ</u>	PHONE <u>(573) 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2-1-12</u>	revised November 11, 1999

SHEET 13 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA VEHICLE WEIGHT DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0188]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5000]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS SESSION) _____

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS SESSION) _____

FILENAME _____ DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1-1-2011 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12-31-2011 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS ☒ MONTHS

WEIGHT SCALE TYPE: PORT. WIM _____ PERM. WIM ☒ OTHER _____

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# IR0 1067

SENSOR TYPE PIEZO CABLE, INDUCTANCE LOOP

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD:

7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 18-19 _____ 7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 22-23 _____

7-card 6 digit Truck Weight study _____ W-card ☒ OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 CLASS SYSTEM.

METHOD OF CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY: TEST TRUCK ONLY
PERFORMED ANNUALLY OR AS NEEDED

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>MJ CHAVEZ</u>	PHONE <u>573 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2-1-12</u>	revised February 21,2000

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0188]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5000]

Do NOT ENTER

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [11/02/2011]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ___ WIM ___ CLASSIFIER ___ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
 ___ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ___ RESEARCH
 ___ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ___ TRAINING
 ___ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ___ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
 ___ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 ___ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ___ BARE FLAT PIEZO ___ BENDING PLATES
 ___ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ___ LOAD CELLS ___ QUARTZ PIEZO
 ___ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ___ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ___ CAPACITANCE PADS
 ___ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER _____

ON COUNT ONLY
REPAIR 2012

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
 ___ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ___ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ___ TEST TRUCKS
 ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ___ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
 ___ PASSES PER TRUCK
 TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
 TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM 1
 SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 2
 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 3
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. ___ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) ___
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
 ___ VIDEO ___ MANUAL ___ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ___ TIME ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: _____
 CONTACT INFORMATION: _____