

ENTERED MAY 29 2006

AI

<p align="center">SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</p> <p align="center">TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT</p>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	<u>0188</u>
	*STATE CODE	<u>29</u>
	*SHRP SECTION ID	<u>5000</u>

5058
5081
5091

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>0007</u>	<u>13680</u>	<u>4498</u>	<u>5417</u>	<u>0061</u>	<u>1053</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☐ Other: (8)

Other: (9) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1) *from previous year*
- ☐ Other: (3) _____

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1) *from previous year*
- ☐ Other: (3) _____

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. _____ Tand. _____ Tri. _____
- ☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☒ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☐ Other: (4) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
- ☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

NAME OF PREPARER Darla Fischer
DATE PREPARED 11/28/08

PHONE# 513 751 0842

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0188] nb
	*STATE CODE	[09]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5000]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) IS 35 NB

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) 13.00 (2.0 miles n/b Rt. B)

FILENAME MODOT-LTPP07 DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/07 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/07 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 10 [] HOURS [] DAYS [x] MONTHS

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA _____ OTHER ✓ MODOT-state specific

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 class NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT ✓

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# IRD 1067

SENSOR TYPE pump cable / Inductance loop

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS) _____

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Danla Fischer</u>	PHONE <u>513 7510842</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>1/22/08</u>	revised November 11, 1999

SHEET 13 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA VEHICLE WEIGHT DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0188] nb
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5000]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS SESSION) IS 35 NB

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS SESSION) 13.02 (2 miles n to Rt. B)

FILENAME MODOT LTPP 07 DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/11/07 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/07 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 1d [] HOURS [] DAYS [☒] MONTHS

WEIGHT SCALE TYPE: PORT. WIM _____ PERM. WIM ☒ OTHER _____

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# IRD 1067

SENSOR TYPE pump cable - loop

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD:

7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 18-19 _____ 7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 22-23 _____
 7-card 6 digit Truck Weight study _____ W-card ☒ OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F NO. OF BINS 13

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 CLASS SYSTEM.

METHOD OF CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY: Test truck only
performed annually or as needed

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Darla Fischer</u>	PHONE <u>513 751 2842</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>1/22/08</u>	revised February 21, 2000

ENTERED MAY 29 1999

<p align="center">SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY</p>	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0188]
	*STATE CODE	[89]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[5000]

nb

AI
5058
5081
5091

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 10/31/2008 **7**
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☒ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☐ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☐ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☐ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER IRD 1067

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☐ TEST TRUCKS

☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED _____

☐ PASSES PER TRUCK _____

	TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	_____	_____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2	_____	_____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3	_____	_____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. _____ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☐ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: <u>Darla Fischer</u> CONTACT INFORMATION: <u>513-751-0840</u>	rev. November 9, 1999
---	-----------------------