

**SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA**

**TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT**

*STATE ASSIGNED ID

[05 63]

wb

*STATE CODE

[29]

*SHRP SECTION ID

[1002]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES


*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2006	4519	222	2288	105	27

**2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☒ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

**3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)



Other:
(9)

**4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1) from previous year
☐ Other: (3)

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1) from previous year
☐ Other: (3)

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR
IN LTPP LANE**

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☒ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☒ Other: (6) not a wim site

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☒ Other: (4) not a wim site

NAME OF PREPARER Darla Fischer
 DATE PREPARED 1/30/2007

PHONE# 573/751 2842

rev. March 12, 2001

**SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA**

**TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT**

*STATE ASSIGNED ID [0563] *wb*

*STATE CODE [29]

*SHRP SECTION ID [1002]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2006	4519	222	2288	105	

**2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

**3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

Other: (9) _____

**4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1) *from previous year*
☐ Other: (3) _____

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1) *from previous year*
☐ Other: (3) _____

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR
IN LTPP LANE**

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☒ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER Darla Fischer
 DATE PREPARED 1/30/2007

PHONE# 513/751-2842

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0563]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[1002]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) Rt. C

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) 6.52 (0.7 miles e/o Rt. D)

FILENAME moDOT-LTPP056 DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/06 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/06 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 1d [] HOURS [] DAYS ☒ MONTHS

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA ☒ OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 class NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT ☒

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# Peek ADR 3000

SENSOR TYPE Piezo cable-loops

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS) _____

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Darla Fischer</u>	PHONE <u>513-751-2842</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>1/19/07</u>	revised November 11, 1999

SHEET 13 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA VEHICLE WEIGHT DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0563]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[1002]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS SESSION) Rt C

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS SESSION) 6.52 (0.7 miles elo Rt. D)

FILENAME moDOT LTPP 056 DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/06 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/06 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS ☒ MONTHS

WEIGHT SCALE TYPE: PORT. WIM ☒ PERM. WIM _____ OTHER _____

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# Peek ADR 3000

SENSOR TYPE piezo cable loops

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD:

7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 18-19 _____ 7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 22-23 _____

7-card 6 digit Truck Weight study _____ W-card ☒ OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F NO. OF BINS 13

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 CLASS SYSTEM.

METHOD OF CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY: Test Truck only
performed annually or as needed

COMMENTS no wim collected

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Darla Fischer</u>	PHONE <u>513 751 2842</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>1/19/07</u>	revised February 21, 2000

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0563]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[1002]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 01/04/2006
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER Peek ADR 3000

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☐ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
☐ PASSES PER TRUCK

	TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	_____	_____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2	_____	_____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3	_____	_____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. _____ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☒ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
*** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: <u>Tom Jones</u>
CONTACT INFORMATION: <u>573-659-9012</u>

rev. November 9, 1999