

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [0441] SB *STATE CODE [29] *SHRP SECTION ID [0900]
---	---

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>2012</u>	<u>8624</u>	<u>901</u>	<u>3696</u>	<u>429</u>	<u>166</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Averaged and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
- ☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Other: (9)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3)

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3)

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL//YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri.
- ☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☒ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☐ Other: (4)

02 - 80 Flex

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Manny Chavez</u>	PHONE # <u>(573) 522-9465</u>	rev. March 12, 2001
DATE PREPARED <u>February 25, 2013</u>		

ENTERED
27/MAY/2013
C.O.

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0441]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0900]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) IS 65

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) 3.0 miles n/o RT H & HH

FILENAME _____ DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/2012 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/2012 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS ☒ MONTHS

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA _____ OTHER MoDOT-State Specific

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 Class NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT ☒

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# IRD 1067

SENSOR TYPE Piezo Cable, Inductance Loop

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS)

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA SUBMITTAL.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Manny Chavez</u>	PHONE # <u>(573) 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>February 25, 2013</u>	revised November 11, 1999

SHEET 13 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA VEHICLE WEIGHT DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0441]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0900]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS SESSION) US 65

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS SESSION) 3.0 miles n/o RTs H & HH

FILENAME _____ DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1/1/2012 BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE 12/31/2012 ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS [☒] MONTHS

WEIGHT SCALE TYPE: PORT. WIM _____ PERM. WIM _____ OTHER _____

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# IRD 1067

SENSOR TYPE Piezo Cable, Inductance Loop

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD:

7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 18-19 _____ 7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 22-23 _____

7-card 6 digit Truck Weight study _____ W-card ☒ OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 CLASS SYSTEM.

METHOD OF CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY: Test Truck only, performed annually or as needed

COMMENTS

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA SUBMITTAL.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Manny Chavez</u>	PHONE # <u>(573) 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>February 25, 2013</u>	revised February 21, 2000

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0441]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0900]

58

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) 11/29/2012
- * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER ✓ BOTH
- * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
☐ RESEARCH
☐ TRAINING
☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
- * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO
☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO
☐ LOAD CELLS
☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS
☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER IRO

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- ** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ ☒ 1 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
☒ 10 PASSES PER TRUCK

TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
1	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>
2	_____	_____
3	_____	_____

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
 SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING
 3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) _____

- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 10.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 1.0
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES 0 STANDARD DEVIATION 0
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES 10.0 STANDARD DEVIATION 1.0

- NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED _____

- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 50 55 60

- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____

Sen56 - 1.4712
 Sen57 1.7996

- ** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) ☒
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- *** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS

ENTERED

27/MAY/2013

C.O.

13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ____ TIME 54 NUMBER OF TRUCKS

14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:

*** FHWA CLASS 9 ____ 0

FHWA CLASS ____

*** FHWA CLASS 8 ____ 0

FHWA CLASS ____

FHWA CLASS ____

FHWA CLASS ____

*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: ____ . ____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:

CONTACT INFORMATION: _____

rev. November 9, 1999