

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[044]	SW
	*STATE CODE	[29]	
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0900]	

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1997					
2004	See MO - sheet 10 Spreadsheet				

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE
AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6) (1997 Data Only)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☒ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK
AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☒ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☒ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7) (1997 Data Only)
- ☐ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☒ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

Other: _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3)

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL//YEAR
IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
- ☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☒ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER Mary L. Klavon

DATE PREPARED May 2006

PHONE# 573-526-4907

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[441]
	*STATE CODE	[22]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0700]

SB

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [11 / 05 / 2002]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☒ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☐ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER IRD

ENTERED NOV 18 2003

TRF-89

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☒ TRAFFIC STREAM ☒ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☐ TEST TRUCKS

____ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

____ PASSES PER TRUCK
TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM 1 _____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 2 _____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 3 _____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ / . 0 STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. _____ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) 55 - 70
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) 1.35
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) Y
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☐ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
*** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: _____
CONTACT INFORMATION: _____

rev. November 9, 1999