

Entered
21 FEB/2012
c-o.

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0658]	NB
	*STATE CODE	[29]	
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0700]	

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>2011</u>	<u>19283</u>	<u>1516</u>	<u>7147</u>	<u>649</u>	<u>252</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

Other:
(9) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☒ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☐ Other: (3) _____

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL//YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☒ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☒ Other: (6) NOT A WIM SITE

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☒ Other: (4) NOT A WIM SITE

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)

NAME OF PREPARER M J CHAVEZ
DATE PREPARED 2-1-12

PHONE# (573) 522-9465

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 12 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA CLASSIFICATION DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0658]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0700]

NB

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS COUNT) US 67MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS COUNT) 0.7 MILES S/O RT JJ

FILENAME _____ DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE 1-1-2011 BEGINNING TIME _____ENDING DATE 12-31-2011 ENDING TIME _____COUNT DURATION 12 [] HOURS [] DAYS ☒ MONTHSVEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD: FHWA _____ OTHER MODOTNAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: F-13 CLASS NO. OF BINS 15

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM.

TYPE OF AVC EQUIPMENT: PORTABLE _____ PERMANENT ☒EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# PEEK ADR 3000SENSOR TYPE PIEZO CABLE, LOOP

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR ESTIMATING AVERAGE ANNUAL VOLUMES BY CLASSIFICATION:

GENERAL FACTORS: _____

CLASS SPECIFIC FACTORS (PROVIDE BY CLASS OF CLASS GROUPS) _____

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>MJ CHAVEZ</u>	PHONE <u>573 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2-1-12</u>	revised November 11, 1999

SHEET 13 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA VEHICLE WEIGHT DATA TRANSMITTAL FORM	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0658]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0700]

HIGHWAY RT. NO. (THIS SESSION) Not A WIM Site

MILEPOST NO. OR LOCATION (THIS SESSION) _____

FILENAME _____ DISK ID _____

BEGINNING DATE _____ BEGINNING TIME _____

ENDING DATE _____ ENDING TIME _____

COUNT DURATION _____ [] HOURS [] DAYS [] MONTHS

WEIGHT SCALE TYPE: PORT. WIM _____ PERM. WIM _____ OTHER _____

EQUIPMENT MAKE/MODEL# _____

SENSOR TYPE _____

VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION METHOD:

7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 18-19 _____ 7-card FHWA 13 bin in cols. 22-23 _____

7-card 6 digit Truck Weight study _____ W-card _____ OTHER _____

NAME OF AGENCY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME: _____ NO. OF BINS _____

NOTE: IF NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO SHRP/LTPP, PLEASE ATTACH SHEET 6 DESCRIBING THE VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES AND ALSO ATTACH SHEET 7 DESCRIBING HOW THE AGENCY WOULD CONVERT ITS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME TO THE FHWA 13 CLASS SYSTEM.

METHOD OF CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY: _____

COMMENTS _____

FILL OUT ONE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR EACH DATA FILE SUBMITTED.

NAME OF PREPARER <u>M J CHAVEZ</u>	PHONE <u>573 522-9465</u>
DATE PREPARED <u>2-1-12</u>	revised February 21, 2000

ENTERED JUN 28 2011

ME

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0658]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	10700

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

- * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [01/06/2011]
- * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☒ CLASSIFIER ☐ BOTH
- * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
- * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☒ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
- EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER PEEK

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- ** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☐ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED _____ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED _____
☐ PASSES PER TRUCK _____

TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	_____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2	_____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3	_____
- SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
- _____ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
- DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____
- CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- ** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- *** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
- METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT _____ TIME _____ 45 NUMBER OF TRUCKS
- MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ 0 FHWA CLASS 11 _____ 0
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ 0 FHWA CLASS _____
 FHWA CLASS _____
 FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: _____
 CONTACT INFORMATION: _____ rev. November 9, 1999

Entered
Feb 28, 2012
C.O.

SHEET 16 LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY	*STATE ASSIGNED ID	[0658]
	*STATE CODE	[29]
	*SHRP SECTION ID	[0700]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [11/1/2011]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☐ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☐ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☒ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☐ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☒ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☐ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER PEEK

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☐ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
☐ PASSES PER TRUCK
TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM 1 _____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 2 _____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 3 _____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. _____ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME 39 NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 0 FHWA CLASS 10 0
*** FHWA CLASS 8 0 FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS' _____
FHWA CLASS _____
*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: _____
CONTACT INFORMATION: _____ rev. November 9, 1999