

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [_ _ _ _] *STATE CODE [<u>10</u>] *SHRP SECTION ID [<u>1450</u>]
---	--

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
<u>1998</u>	<u>26927</u>	<u>2693</u>	<u>10771</u>	<u>1209</u>	<u>706</u>

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (9) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Growth Factor _____

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Growth Factor _____

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☒ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☒ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

**SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA**

**TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT**

*STATE ASSIGNED ID []
 *STATE CODE [10]
 *SHRP SECTION ID [1450]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1998-2007	See Attached Table				

**2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☐ Other: (8)

**4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☒ Other: (3) G.F.

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
- ☒ Other: (3) G.F.

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR
IN LTPP LANE**

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
- ☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☒ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☒ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☐ Other: (4)

**3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
- ☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Other: (9)

NAME OF PREPARER N. Whiteford PHONE# _____
 DATE PREPARED 6/11/09

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA

State Code	SHRP ID	Year	Estimated Total Vehicles AADT (two-way)	Estimated Total TRUCK AADT (two-way)	Estimated Total Vehicles AADT LTPP LANE	Estimated Total TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	Estimated ESAL's/YR LTPP LANE (X's 1000)
10	1450	1998	26927	2693	10771	1209	706
10	1450	1999	27196	2720	10878	1221	713
10	1450	2000	27468	2747	10987	1233	720
10	1450	2001	27743	2774	11097	1246	727
10	1450	2002	28020	2802	11208	1258	735
10	1450	2003	28300	2830	11320	1271	742
10	1450	2004	28583	2858	11433	1283	749
10	1450	2005	28869	2887	11548	1296	757
10	1450	2006	29158	2916	11663	1309	764
10	1450	2007	29449	2945	11780	1322	772

ENTERED JUN 17 2008