

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID [_ _ _ _] *STATE CODE [_10_] *SHRP SECTION ID [_0100_]
---	--

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003	15435	1667	6173	556	587

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (9) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) __ Growth Factor _____

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
☒ Other: (3) __ Growth Factor _____

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE**

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
☐ Other: (4) _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☒ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☒ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Nancy Whiteford</u>	PHONE# _____	
DATE PREPARED <u>June 2009</u>	rev. March 12, 2001	

**SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA**

**TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD
ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT**

*STATE ASSIGNED ID [_ _ _]
 *STATE CODE [10]
 *SHRP SECTION ID [0100]

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

*YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL=S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
1992-2006	See Attached Table				

**2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☒ Growth factored last year=s estimate. (6)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations. (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☐ Other: (8)

**3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK
AADT (TWO-WAY)**

- ☐ Used system averages from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
- ☒ Used system averages from previous years. (8)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Other: (9)

**4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES
LTPP LANE AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☒ Other: (3) G.F.

***5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS,
LTPP LANE, AADT**

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane data count. (1)
- ☒ Other: (3) G.F.

***6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR
IN LTPP LANE**

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. ____ Tand. ____ Tri. ____
- ☐ Other: (4)

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☒ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☒ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☐ Other: (4)

NAME OF PREPARER N. Whiteford PHONE# _____
 DATE PREPARED 6/11/09

rev. March 12, 2001

SHEET 10
LTPP TRAFFIC DATA

State Code	SHRP ID	Year	Estimated Total Vehicles AADT (two-way)	Estimated Total TRUCK AADT (two-way)	Estimated Total Vehicles AADT LTPP LANE	Estimated Total TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	Estimated ESAL's/YR LTPP LANE (X's 1000)
10	0100	1992	10348	1117	4139	373	394
10	0100	1993	10452	1129	4181	377	398
10	0100	1994	10558	1140	4223	380	402
10	0100	1995	10664	1152	4265	384	406
10	0100	1996	10772	1163	4308	388	410
10	0100	1998	11534	1246	4613	416	439
10	0100	1999	12226	1320	4890	440	465
10	0100	2000	12959	1399	5183	467	493
10	0100	2001	13737	1483	5494	495	523
10	0100	2002	14561	1572	5824	525	554
10	0100	2003	15435	1667	6173	556	587
10	0100	2004	16361	1767	6544	589	623
10	0100	2005	17343	1873	6936	625	660
10	0100	2006	18383	1985	7353	662	699

ENTERED JUN 1 1 2000

SHEET 16
LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA
SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY

*STATE ASSIGNED ID [8_0_9_5_]
*STATE CODE [10_]
*SHRP SECTION ID [0_1_0_0]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

ENTERED FEB 13 2004

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [1_0/_2_8/_2_0_0_3]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ___ WIM ___XX CLASSIFIER ___ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
___ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ___ RESEARCH
___ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ___ TRAINING
___ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ___ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
___XX_ OTHER (SPECIFY) ___ Site assessment
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
___ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ___ BARE FLAT PIEZO ___ BENDING PLATES
___ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ___ LOAD CELLS ___ QUARTZ PIEZO
___ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ___XX_ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ___ CAPACITANCE PADS
___XX_ OTHER (SPECIFY) ___piezo - type undetermined
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER ___Peek ADR 3000

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.**CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
___ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ___ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ___ TEST TRUCKS
___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ___ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
___ PASSES PER TRUCK
TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. ___ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
___XX_ VIDEO ___ MANUAL ___ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ___XX_ TIME ___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 _____3_____ FHWA CLASS _____
*** FHWA CLASS 8 _____1_____ FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: ___0___ . ___0___

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: ___Dean Wolf___
CONTACT INFORMATION: ___DJWolf@mactec.com___ rev. November 9, 1999