

<p>SHEET 10</p> <p>LTPP TRAFFIC DATA</p> <p>TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD</p> <p>ESTIMATE UPDATE - NO SITE COUNT</p>	<p>*STATE ASSIGNED ID [_ _ _ _]</p> <p>*STATE CODE [06]</p> <p>*SHRP SECTION ID [101]</p>
--	---

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT GPS LANE	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCKS AADT GPS LANE	ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR GPS LANE (1000's)
1997	27,500	3598	11000	1439	886

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☒ Growth factored last year's estimate.
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations.
- ☐ Used computerized network analysis.
- ☐ Other _____

5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, GPS LANE, AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors.
- ☐ Other _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year.
- ☒ Used count data from nearby sites.
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at GPS site.
- ☐ Used system averages from previous year counts.
- ☐ Used computerized network analysis.
- ☐ Other _____

6. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING ESAL/YEAR IN GPS LANE

- ☒ ESAL/Truck factor.
- ☐ ESAL/vehicle class factors -
Number of classes _____
- ☐ Other _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLES GPS LANE AADT

- ☒ System distribution factors.
- ☐ Other _____

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Prior years data collected at GPS site.
- ☒ Current year system average.
- ☐ Prior year system average.
- ☐ Historical W-4 tables.
- ☐ Other _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM Scale.
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement.
- ☐ Static scale not used for enforcement.
- ☐ Other _____

NAME OF PREPARER _____	PHONE # _____
DATE PREPARED _____	

SHEET 16
LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA
SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY

*STATE ASSIGNED ID [9107]
 *STATE CODE [06]
 *SHRP SECTION ID [9107]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [7/16/1997]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ☒ WIM ☐ CLASSIFIER ☐ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
☐ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ☐ RESEARCH
☐ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ☐ TRAINING
☐ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ☒ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ☐ BARE FLAT PIEZO ☒ BENDING PLATES
☐ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ☐ LOAD CELLS ☐ QUARTZ PIEZO
☐ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ☒ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ☐ CAPACITANCE PADS
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER IRD

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
☐ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ☐ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ☒ TEST TRUCKS
☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ☐ 1 NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED

	10	PASSES PER TRUCK	
	TRUCK	TYPE	SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM	1	9	1
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING	2		
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE)	3		
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
 MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ---
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW 2.6 STANDARD DEVIATION 1.4
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES -.6 STANDARD DEVIATION 2.7
 DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES . . . STANDARD DEVIATION . . .
8. ☐ 10 NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) ☐ 40-50 ☐ 50-60 _____
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) ☒ n
 IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
☐ VIDEO ☒ MANUAL ☐ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ☐ TIME ☐ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
 *** FHWA CLASS 9 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** FHWA CLASS 8 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 _____ FHWA CLASS _____
 *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: _____

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
 CONTACT INFORMATION: Joe Avis

rev. November 9, 1999

SEP 11 2003