

SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT	*STATE ASSIGNED ID *STATE CODE [01] *SHRP SECTION ID [0600]
---	---

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

* YEAR	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)	ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE	*ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S)
2003				1,293	566

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
- ☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
- ☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Used flow maps. (7)
- ☐ Other: (8) _____

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
- ☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
- ☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
- ☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
- ☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
- ☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
- ☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
- ☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
- ☐ Other: (10) _____

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☐ Other: (3) _____

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
- ☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
- ☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
- ☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes) _____
- ☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. _____ Tand. _____ Tri. _____
- ☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
- ☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
- ☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
- ☐ Other: (6) _____

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
- ☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
- ☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
- ☐ Other: (4) _____

NAME OF PREPARER <u>Dan YE</u>	PHONE # <u>512-977-1845</u>	REV. February 21, 2000
DATE PREPARED <u>2/16/2009</u>		

 ENTERED APR 02 2009 J P M
 ENTERED FEB 23 2009 J P M

SHEET 16
LTPP MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA
SITE CALIBRATION SUMMARY

*STATE ASSIGNED ID [_0_9_2_0]
*STATE CODE [_0_1]
*SHRP SECTION ID [_0_6_0_0]

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) [_1_2/_1_1/_2_0_0_3]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED ___ WIM XX CLASSIFIER ___ BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
___ REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT ___ RESEARCH
___ EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT ___ TRAINING
___ DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVISION ___ NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
XX OTHER (SPECIFY) ___ SITE ASSESSMENT _____
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
___ BARE ROUND PIEZO CERAMIC ___ BARE FLAT PIEZO ___ BENDING PLATES
___ CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO ___ LOAD CELLS ___ QUARTZ PIEZO
___ CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO ___XX_ INDUCTANCE LOOPS ___ CAPACITANCE PADS
XX OTHER (SPECIFY) _____ Piezo unknown _____
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER _____ Peek ADR 3000 _____

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
___ TRAFFIC STREAM -- ___ STATIC SCALE (Y/N) ___ TEST TRUCKS
___ NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED ___ NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
___ PASSES PER TRUCK
TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM 1 _____
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 2 _____
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 3 _____
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN —
DYNAMIC AND STATIC GVW _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC SINGLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
DYNAMIC AND STATIC DOUBLE AXLES _____ STANDARD DEVIATION _____
8. ___ NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH) _____

10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED) _____
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N) _____
IF YES, LIST AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE: _____

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
___ VIDEO XX_ MANUAL ___ PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT ___ TIME _XX_ NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 ___0___ FHWA CLASS ___5___ ___33___
*** FHWA CLASS 8 ___0___ FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
FHWA CLASS _____
*** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES: ___0___

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT: _____ Dean J. Wolf _____
CONTACT INFORMATION: _____ 301-210-5105 _____ rev. November 9, 1999

ENTERED JAN 26 2004 RG