

| | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| SHEET 10 LTPP TRAFFIC DATA TRAFFIC VOLUME AND LOAD ESTIMATE UPDATE-NO SITE COUNT | *STATE ASSIGNED ID | |
| | *STATE CODE | [01] |
| | *SHRP SECTION ID | [0100] |

1. ANNUAL TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

| * YEAR | ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT (TWO-WAY) | ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY) | ESTIMATED TOTAL VEHICLES AADT LTPP LANE | *ESTIMATED TOTAL TRUCK AADT LTPP LANE | *ESTIMATED ESAL'S/YR LTPP LANE (1000'S) |
|--------|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1991 | | | | 689 | 302 |

2. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL VEHICLE AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Growth factored last year's estimate. (6)
☐ Estimated based on volume counts at nearby locations (3)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (1)
☐ Average multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Average and factored multiple count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Used flow maps. (7)
☐ Other: (8)

3. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCK AADT (TWO-WAY)

- ☐ Used system average from counts taken this year. (6)
☐ Used count data from nearby sites. (3)
☐ Used count data from previous years at the LTPP site. (7)
☐ Used system averages from previous years. (9)
☐ Used computerized network analyses. (4)
☐ Used a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (5)
☐ Factored a single count taken this year at the LTPP site. (4)
☐ Averaged multiple counts taken this year at the LTPP site. (2)
☐ Other: (10)

4. METHOD FOR ESTIMATEING TOTAL VEHICLES LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☐ Other: (3)

*5. METHOD FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL TRUCKS, LTPP LANE AADT

- ☐ System distribution factors. (2)
☐ Based on actual lane count data. (1)
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

*6. METHOD FOR ESTIMAING ESAL/YEAR IN LTPP LANE

- ☐ ESAL/Truck factor (1)
☐ ESAL/Vehicle class. (2) (No. of classes)
☐ ESAL/Axle(3) Sing. Tand. Tri.
☒ Other: (3) Projected from available data

7. ESAL ESTIMATES - SOURCE OF DATA

- ☐ Weight data collected at LTPP site prior years. (2)
☐ Weight data from system averages this year. (3)
☐ Weight data from system averages prior years. (4)
☐ Weight data from historic W-4 Tables used. (5)
☐ Other: (6)

8. WEIGHT SCALE TYPE

- ☐ WIM scale. (1)
☐ Static scale used for enforcement. (2)
☐ Static scale not used for enforcement. (3)
☐ Other: (4)

| | | | |
|------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|
| NAME OF PREPARER | Dan YE | PHONE # | 512-977-1845 |
| DATE PREPARED | 2/16/2009 | REV. | February 21, 2000 |

ENTERED FEB 23 2009 J P M
ENTERED APR 02 2009 J P M

3343536312
RECEIVED OCT 27 2003

JUL-15-2003 11:42 FRUGRE BRE

512 973 9565 P.03/03

SHEET 16
MONITORED TRAFFIC DATA
LTPP PROGRAM

*STATE ASSIGNED ID (1003)
*STATE CODE (01)
*SHRP SECTION ID (0100)

SITE CALIBRATION INFORMATION

1. * DATE OF CALIBRATION (MONTH/DAY/YEAR) See attached letter
[]/[]/[]
2. * TYPE OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIM CLASSIFIER BOTH
3. * REASON FOR CALIBRATION
REGULARLY SCHEDULED SITE VISIT RESEARCH
EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT TRAINING
DATA TRIGGERED SYSTEM REVIEW NEW EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION
OTHER (SPECIFY)
4. * SENSORS INSTALLED IN LTPP LANE AT THIS SITE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
BARE ROUND PIEZO BARE FLAT PIEZO BENDING PLATES
X CHANNELIZED ROUND PIEZO LOAD CELLS QUARTZ PIEZO
CHANNELIZED FLAT PIEZO INDUCTANCE LOOPS CAPACITANCE PADS
OTHER (SPECIFY)
5. EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER PEEK

WIM SYSTEM CALIBRATION SPECIFICS**

- 6.** CALIBRATION TECHNIQUE USED:
TRAFFIC STREAM - STATIC SCALE (Y/N) TEST TRUCKS
NUMBER OF TRUCKS COMPARED NUMBER OF TEST TRUCKS USED
PASSES PER TRUCK
TRUCK TYPE SUSPENSION
TYPE PER FHWA 13 BIN SYSTEM
SUSPENSION: 1 - AIR; 2 - LEAF SPRING 1
3 - OTHER (DESCRIBE) 2
3
7. SUMMARY CALIBRATION RESULTS (EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT)
MEAN DIFFERENCE BETWEEN --
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC GVW STANDARD DEVIATION
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC SINGLE AXLES STANDARD DEVIATION
DYNAMIC VS. STATIC DOUBLE AXLES STANDARD DEVIATION
8. NUMBER OF SPEEDS AT WHICH CALIBRATION WAS PERFORMED
9. DEFINE THE SPEED RANGES USED (MPH)
10. CALIBRATION FACTOR (AT EXPECTED FREE FLOW SPEED)
- 11.** IS AUTO-CALIBRATION USED AT THIS SITE? (Y/N)
IF YES, IDENTIFY AND DEFINE AUTO-CALIBRATION VALUE:

CLASSIFIER TEST SPECIFICS***

- 12.*** METHOD FOR COLLECTING INDEPENDENT VOLUME MEASUREMENT BY VEHICLE CLASS:
VIDEO (1) X MANUAL (2) PARALLEL CLASSIFIERS (3)
13. METHOD TO DETERMINE LENGTH OF COUNT X TIME NUMBER OF TRUCKS
14. MEAN DIFFERENCE IN VOLUMES BY VEHICLES CLASSIFICATION:
*** FHWA CLASS 9 FHWA CLASS
*** FHWA CLASS 8 FHWA CLASS
FHWA CLASS
FHWA CLASS
FHWA CLASS
- *** PERCENT "UNCLASSIFIED" VEHICLES:

PERSON LEADING CALIBRATION EFFORT:
CONTACT INFORMATION: