

# VENEZUELA SUDDEN VACUUM



## Key Judgments

- The removal of Nicolás Maduro through a U.S. air strike and Delta Force-led special operation created an immediate leadership vacuum but did not dismantle Venezuela's underlying power structure.
- The Venezuelan armed forces and intelligence services emerged as the decisive actors during the first 72 hours after the operation; their cohesion limited the risk of immediate state collapse.
- The dominant outcome was regime continuity under remaining insiders and security elites rather than a rapid opposition-led transition.
- The primary risks to civilians stemmed from internal repression, armed civilian group activity, and disruption to critical services, not from sustained interstate military conflict.
- Regional instability and migration pressures increased rapidly despite the absence of large-scale fighting.



## Background and Precipitating Conditions

The U.S. operation followed a period of acute escalation marked by intensified unrest inside Venezuela and a visible U.S. military buildup across the Caribbean basin. In the weeks preceding the strike, Venezuela experienced heightened political paralysis, economic strain, and growing public protests following the breakdown of negotiations between the government and opposition forces. These dynamics increased regime reliance on coercive control and sharpened U.S. assessments that the situation had entered a decisive phase.

At the same time, U.S. military posture shifted from routine regional presence to clear operational readiness. Naval assets were surged, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance coverage intensified, and forward staging indicated preparation for a time-sensitive leadership capture mission. Diplomatic messaging and information operations accompanied the buildup, framing Venezuela as an urgent hemispheric security concern while quietly preparing regional partners for potential spillover effects.

The kinetic phase of the operation was launched at approximately 2:00 a.m. local time. The timing exploited reduced civilian movement and lower alert levels within regime security elements. U.S. special operations forces captured President Nicolás Maduro and his wife, Cilia Adela Flores, alive and removed them from Venezuelan territory. Both were transferred into U.S. custody and later appeared in federal court in New York, where they faced longstanding charges including narcotics trafficking conspiracy, corruption-related offenses, and

sanctions violations. The decision to capture rather than kill the leadership preserved intelligence value, reinforced a narrative of legal accountability, and avoided the immediate creation of martyrdom dynamics.

## Immediate Aftermath (0–72 Hours): Control Versus Collapse

The first three days following Maduro's removal were characterized by shock, uncertainty, and competing efforts to control the narrative. Venezuelan state media initially denied or reframed the event as foreign aggression and disinformation, while opposition figures and external governments moved quickly to confirm the facts and shape international perception. Temporary communications disruptions, both deliberate and incidental, amplified public confusion and fueled rumors.

The central question during this phase was the unity of the Venezuelan armed forces. Senior commanders responsible for Caracas and strategic regions acted rapidly to assert control, secure key installations, and prevent mass unrest. Intelligence services moved to protect sensitive files, monitor internal loyalty, and neutralize perceived threats within the regime. The ruling party apparatus convened emergency consultations to designate interim leadership figures and project institutional continuity.

Pro-government armed civilian groups mobilized quickly in several urban areas. Initially operating to secure neighborhoods and intimidate opposition activists, their actions became more erratic as command signals weakened, increasing the risk of localized violence and criminal opportunism.

## Short-Term Trajectories (Days to Weeks)

### Continuity Without Maduro

In practice, authority consolidated around remaining regime insiders and senior security officials. A replacement leadership structure was elevated that preserved the existing security and governance framework. Public messaging emphasized sovereignty, order, and resistance to foreign interference, while security forces maintained a heavy presence. Limited concessions were offered selectively to fragment opposition coordination, but repression remained targeted and persistent.

### Military-Guided Interim Authority

Elements of the senior military leadership assumed an expanded political role, justifying their actions as necessary to preserve constitutional order and national stability. This arrangement focused on preventing internal fragmentation, managing international pressure, and exploring conditional economic relief. Although it reduced the likelihood of immediate violence, it remained vulnerable to rivalry between military and intelligence factions.

### Fragmentation Risks

While full fragmentation was avoided, localized command frictions emerged within security services and civilian armed groups. These tensions produced sporadic violence, short-term service disruptions, and increased criminal activity, particularly in peripheral regions.

### Economic and Humanitarian Impact

Venezuela's economy proved highly sensitive to the shock. Short-term insecurity disrupted fuel distribution, electricity generation, and food supply chains, especially in major urban centers. Ports and transportation corridors experienced intermittent shutdowns. Humanitarian conditions deteriorated quickly, with shortages and access constraints exacerbated by political competition over aid distribution.

Migration pressures increased almost immediately. Perceptions of instability, rather than direct violence alone, drove new outward flows, placing additional strain on neighboring states' border management and humanitarian systems.

### International Dynamics and Recognition

The international response fractured along recognition lines. The United States and aligned partners moved to legitimize post-Maduro authorities, while other states emphasized sovereignty and non-intervention. Recognition decisions directly affected control of overseas assets, access to financial systems, and the ability to renegotiate oil exports and external debt.

This fragmented environment complicated compromise among Venezuelan elites and prolonged uncertainty.

## Security Flashpoints and Escalation Triggers

Caracas remained the principal focal point for unrest, particularly around government buildings, military headquarters, and state media facilities. Oil infrastructure, ports, and border regions emerged as secondary flashpoints. Prison facilities posed a latent risk, with several incidents of unrest linked to weakened oversight.

Key escalation triggers included concerns over the treatment of the captured leadership, internal purges within security institutions, breakdowns in pay and logistics for rank-and-file personnel, and premature attempts by opposition actors to seize authority without security guarantees.

Strategically, the operation aligned with Donald Trump's broader objective of reasserting U.S. primacy across the Western Hemisphere. Venezuela was treated as both a political challenge and a strategic asset. U.S. policy following the operation sought to curtail extra-hemispheric influence, reinforce American leadership throughout the Americas, and reshape regional alignment under clear U.S. dominance.

Energy considerations were central to this approach. Venezuela holds an estimated 20 percent of global proven oil reserves, much of them previously inaccessible due to sanctions, mismanagement, and infrastructure decay. Securing favorable access to these reserves through a compliant post-Maduro authority, revised sanctions regimes, or restructured concessions carried significant implications for global energy markets and U.S. strategic leverage. In this context, Maduro's removal functioned as a catalyst within a broader effort to reconfigure political control, economic access, and strategic balance across the Americas.

## Overall Assessment

The removal of Nicolás Maduro constituted a profound shock to Venezuela's political system but did not produce immediate systemic collapse. Power consolidated around coercive institutions, resulting in a tense post-operation period defined by repression, elite bargaining, and economic disruption. The trajectory of instability was driven less by mass public sentiment than by elite calculations over survival, immunity, and control.



# Risk Intelligence that Keeps You Safe

## ABOUT THREATRATE

ThreatRate helps you benchmark your Risk Management strategy relative to the rapidly evolving globe and local trends with real-time actionable analytics and insights. Members have access to:

- Customized dashboards and Infographics
- ThreatRate experts with cross-industry depth
- 100+ risk-focused reports published annually
- API customized access option

For more information contact us at

[info@threatrate.com](mailto:info@threatrate.com)