

A Bill to Ban the Sale of Plastic Water Bottles

1. **Whereas**, personal use disposable plastic water bottles are an environmental hazard, and
2. **Whereas**, the production of personal use disposable plastic water bottles requires the use of
3. large amounts of oil each year, and
4. **Whereas**, only a fraction of the total number produced each year are recycled, therefore
5. **Be it enacted** by this student congress here assembled that,
6. **Section 1)** The state of California shall institute a ban on the sale of personal use disposable
7. plastic water bottles.
8. **Section 2)** Beginning January 1, 2020, it shall be unlawful for any individual or company to
9. package, distribute, or sell water in disposable plastic bottles that hold 50 ounces (1.48 liters)
10. or less.
11. **Section 3)** This ban shall include water intended for sale out of the state of California.
12. **Section 4)** This prohibition shall not apply to carbonated beverages, sports drinks, juices,
13. flavored beverages, or dairy and non-dairy milks packaged in colored plastics.
14. **Section 5)** Any company found to be in violation of this law shall be fined up to \$1 per bottle
15. **Section 6)** The California state Attorney General, shall be responsible for enforcement.
16. **Section 7)** All laws in conflict the with the provision of this law are declared null and void.

A Resolution in Support of the Russia/US Non-Interference Pact

1. **Whereas**, in July 2017 and then again in December 2017 the Russian government proposed,
2. through correspondence with Ambassador Jon Huntsman, to begin normalization of
3. relations between our countries in exchange for a non-interference pact which would
4. prohibit either country from meddling in the elections or other internal affairs of the
5. other, and
6. **Whereas**, the Trump administration has promptly rejected this offer, and
7. **Whereas**, the United States has planned high-level meetings with Russian officials in early
8. 2018 to discuss military and security concerns, and
9. **Whereas**, the integrity and security of U.S. elections, and the security of the world may
10. depend upon the peaceful coexistence and cooperation of the United States and Russia,
11. therefore:
12. **Be it Resolved** by this Congress here assembled that,
13. We urge the President and the Secretary of State to negotiate for such a non-interference pact
14. and work toward normalizing U.S. / Russia relations in a manner which will assure the
15. integrity of U.S. elections prior to the 2018 congressional elections.

A Constitutional Amendment to Prohibit Gerrymandering

1. Upon passage by a 2/3rd vote of both houses of congress and ratification of 3/4ths of the
2. states, the Constitution of the United States shall be amended as follows:
3. “Districts represented by members of Congress, or by members of any state legislative body,
4. shall be compact and composed of contiguous territory. The state shall have the burden of
5. justifying any departures from this requirement by reference to neutral criteria such as
6. natural, political, or historical boundaries or demographic changes. The interest in enhancing
7. or preserving the political power of the party in control of the state government is not such a
8. neutral criterion.”
9. The provisions of this amendment shall be enforced in the first congressional election to be
10. held 18 months or more after final adoption of this amendment in order to give states time to
11. redraw boundaries.

A Resolution in Support of Border Wall Funding from NAFTA Renegotiation

1. **Whereas**, President Trump made the construction of a physical wall on the U.S. / Mexico
2. border a centerpiece of his campaign, and
3. **Whereas**, prototypes of possible wall designs have been constructed, and
4. **Whereas**, President Trump repeatedly promised during the campaign that the wall would be
5. a “big beautiful wall”, a physical wall, and not a fence or a metaphorical wall, and
6. Whereas, President Trump repeatedly promised that Mexico would pay for the wall, and
7. **Whereas**, in early January of 2018 President Trump claimed that the renegotiation of
8. NAFTA could be the mechanism by which Mexico would “indirectly” pay for the border
9. wall, therefore,
10. **Be it resolved** that:
 11. **Section 1)** This Congress hereby directs the Government Accountability Office to
 12. prepare a report estimating the full cost of a solid boarder wall using one or more of
 13. the proposed prototypes, extending from the Pacific Ocean to the Gulf of Mexico
 14. along the U.S. / Mexico border. This cost estimate must include not only the cost of
 15. materials and other construction costs, but also the cost of securing the necessary land
 16. along the border through eminent domain.
 17. **Section 2)** This congress pledges to support construction of the wall once President
 18. Trump or his designee has negotiated, and this congress has confirmed, full funding
 19. of the wall by Mexico in the amount specified by the above-mentioned GAO report
 20. through a renegotiation of NAFTA approved by the U.S. Senate and Mexico.