



AFF NEG (Circle One)

Spkr	3004	POINTS (0-30)
1	Alexander Luo	28.5
2	John Manahan	29

(Circle One) AFF NEG

Spkr	3021	POINTS (0-30)
2	Albert Wen	28.5
1	Albert Wu	28.5

Winner: 3021 (A. Wen / A. Wu) debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Ron Melendi, William Millman. Please do not start until all Judges are present.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1. The main arguments of the AFF side are AUMF is unconstitutional and AUMF authorized drone attack draws very unfavorable consequence. The NEG side rebutted with evidence that ~~no~~^{all} court rulings are favorable to AUMF. On this issue, AFF side didn't defend ~~their~~ or elaborate their point of view.
2. Overall, the NEG team stressed that AFF side didn't prove AUMF is unconstitutional and too much power perse. However, they should have hammered opponents more on this matter without entangling ~~on su~~ with opponents on subjects like drone-related side effects.
3. ~~The~~ 2nd speaker from AFF side touched upon the issue of foreign intervention time limit and could have done even better to emphasize its implication of ~~too~~ "too much power".
4. 2nd speaker of AFF side performed the best, but NEG side is better as a team.