If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.

Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	NewMis Jean Francois & De	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	penzer Jean Francois		
12	Vakhi Dean	29	(

NEG			
Spkr	BosLat Houston & Vaz	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Jacqueline Houston	17	3
2	Julie Vaz	28	2

Winner: New Miz Jakh	Dean debating on the	Low point win?
School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	·
	(1 Musto	
	bron 14800	
Signature:		
	10///	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

the Negative's case is altin on a solvency takerant, with no harms or disciduantages to give a net-detriment to passing plan. From talking about the time or cost tradeoff of passing & a do-nothing plan would have provided the necessary end offense to wake the sauro poper preferable. Withat a reason to vote Neg, I am left with voting Aff to key to solve the addressed harms.

For the Aff.

### **High School - Varsity**

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

#### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: 1N Speaker: Mulara The Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment Good CX questine highlightend Strategically speaking, you needed to ruy sine disadvantage or explain how passing an election 2A Speaker: 2N Speaker: ( Speaker Points: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

sign posting which project good questour o woss examistraling Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### **Affirmative Arguments**

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Fredback Ex but from s: unclessfonding of the judge that thore's a gap in the logic of two cards ix more opportant than gething your opposed to admit the gap.

you did the a fan trues,

Constructives

1AC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.) 1NC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

2AC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

2NC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

1NR (5 min.)

1AR (5 min.)

2NR (5 min.)

2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

Aff Prep Time

Room: 103 Start: 3:00 PM Varsity



4

### Gilbert, Ken

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	Brooke Adelu & Lawal	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Kehinde Adelu	29	2
2	David Lawal	36	ì

NEG			
	BosLat Potter & Bloch-jones	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Bostat Potter & Bloch-jones	(24-30)	
2	Finian Potter	27	4
1	Owen Bloch-jones	26	3

Winner:	debating on the Aft	Low point win?
School/Team Signature:	Mux Lequert	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

the Reason why the AGR was was because of their questions
During Cross ex and their assure. The way also introduced
their plan line. Aff reads to allow people to answer
what
may need to answer out the reg wasn't perpend.

		_
High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting efficiency conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments.	raking of each individual debater. The decision in a not the quality of arguments.  The speaker Points:  Illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches, fectively; managing time and evidence effectively.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  1NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  2AC (8 min.)
1A Speaker: Adel A Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	1N Speaker: Blach - Janes Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	CX (3 min.) 2 2NC (8 min.) CX (3 min.)
2A Speaker: Lawal Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  Comment:  Dun' July trush	2N Speaker: Pot 2 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Rebuttals   1NR (5 min.)   1AR (5 min.)   2NR (5 min.)   2AR (5 min.)   □
Areas of Focus for I Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negation (RFD)		
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
In this section, focus on the clash between Af	f and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	prep time.
It charter schools send demograpy have more diversity then the government	fighting againsa (upixulism?	Aff Prep Time
	Anticontialism -> It makes Anticontialism -> It	Neg Prep Time 8:00
	Socialism	

# Newman, Jennifer

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	BosLat Forges & Mohamed	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	Falianne Forges	295	1
2	Adna Mohamed	29	2

NEG			
	TechBo Malik Irish	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Techbo Matik Irish	(24 - 30)	
1	Malik Irish	28.5	3

Winner: BOS (at	debating on theO.f.f	Low point win?
School/Team 7	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature:	6- Nevra	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote aff on the perm - there's not a compering
reason why 1) the Aff doesn't perform an aspect
of the neg & 2) why the neg cont come affer
the aff. It's difficult to determine my
TUB between here, & it's difficult to see
know what the neg does that's net better
from the aff in the end that doesn't get
end up falling into the kiritians of the fig.

### **High School - Varsity**

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

#### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker:	1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

2A Speaker: 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 comment:

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

**Affirmative Arguments** 

**Negative Arguments** 

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Best specenes i veseen allolar across the board (")

Constructives

1AC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

1NC (8 min.) CX (3 min.)

2AC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

2NC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

Rebuttals

1NR (5 min.) 1AR (5 min.)

2NR (5 min.)

2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

Aff Prep Time

-8:00

**Neg Prep Time** 

### Pasiewicz, Marya-

**Boston Latin** 

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

FF Spkr	Brooke Feliz & Zaiter	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	Kelvin Feliz	28.5	1
	Kate Zaiter	28	2

NEG			
Spkr	O'Brya Gary & Gregorio Go	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	Duwayne Gary	24	3
	Rosa Gregorio Gomez	37	Ч

Winner:	Brooke	debating on the	Low point win?
	School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Signature:	longen Parierry	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ney doesn't have any affense. The negative strat is not comp. In the aff so the pure solves.

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	on the quality of arguments.  Or Speaker Points:  illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. fectively; managing time and evidence effectively.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 2AC (8 min.) □
1A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)
2A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Rebuttals         1NR (5 min.)       □         1AR (5 min.)       □         2NR (5 min.)       □         2AR (5 min.)       □
Areas of Focus for Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega-		
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
In this section, focus on the clash between Af	f and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	prep time.
		Aff Prep Time
		8:00 1:3 o
		8:00
		8:00 $1:3$ o
		8:00 $1:3$ o

# Arons, Matthew

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

	BosLat Shayne Clinton	POINTS	RANK
Spkr		(24-30)	
	Shayne Clinton	285	

IEG			
Spkr	Bright Lybille Rocher	<b>POINTS</b> (24 - 30)	RANK
	Lybille Rocher	28	2

Winner: Boslat Shayne	debating on theSide (Aff or Neg)	Low point win?
Signature: Mault	Crop	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff Put for ward a strong positive case focused on food acress social Justice, a carbinic achievent, and socially. The neg faked two kies questions: () topicaling and (b) relevant point focus. Ultimality, I felt both may analismade failed, leading to an affination.

[opicality - I was personal by the afficient to establish in food, not just quality food, was sufficient to establish topicality. The increase need not be as narrowly defined as not claring.

Birly looks - new admitted in at that the food program had birly looks - new admitted in a that the food is not itself a meaning by pollow focus. While food is not itself a meaning by pollow focus. While food is not itself education, aff provided on rebutted links between food education, aff provided on rebutted links between food educations and gehood performance.

- great round by both sides!

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	on the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  d illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  ffectively; managing time and evidence effectively.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.)   CX (3 min.)   1NC (8 min.)   CX (3 min.)   2AC (8 min.)
1A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)
2A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Rebuttals  1NR (5 min.) □  1AR (5 min.) □  2NR (5 min.) □  2AR (5 min.) □
Areas of Focus for Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega Decision (RFD)	Deciding Win/Loss: tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8
In this section, focus on the clash between A	ff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	minutes of total prep time.
		Aff Prep Time
		8:00
		8:00

Room: 115 Start: 3:00 PM Varsity Leouve

4

## **Boudett, Michael**

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	Brooke Dechraoui & Garcia	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
3	Nassim Dechraoui	26	2
3	Lillian Garcia	26	3

Spkr	BosLat Farrah Pierre-Louis	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	Farrah Pierre-Louis	27	1

Winner:	Bos	Lat /F.	Pierre - Louideb	ating on the_	Neg.	Low point win?
		School/Team	1 - 0	. 1	Side (Aff of Neg)	
			Misala	Doll		
		Signature:	1011 Seelle	and		-

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Neg. side persuaded me that the Aff. plan had no specifics or evidence of likely success, and was not topical b/c it did not involve federal funding.

The Aff. team was persuasive about the examproblems with the S.a. they wanted to address. Their plan needs some Cleshing out, however, and some solvency evidence would help a lot.

The speakers were all very close in level. Thank you for a good and civil debate.

High Scho	ol - Varsity	Y 
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spe round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather or Areas of Focus fo Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting eff Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments.	n the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. fectively; managing time and evidence effectively.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 2AC (8 min.) □
1A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	1N Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)
2 5 E		Rebuttals
2A Speaker: Lilly Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	1NR (5 min.)
Areas of Focus for I	Deciding Win/Loss:	
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negat Decision (RFD)	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8
In this section, focus on the clash between Af	f and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	minutes of total prep time.
		Aff Prep Time 8:00 - 1
		Neg Prep Time  8:00  - 2  - 2

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	BosLat Iqbal & Thompson	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Hasna Iqbal	21	-1
2	Nia Thompson	26	2

NEG			14
Spkr	Charle Wenle Liang	<b>POINTS</b> (24 - 30)	RANK
1/2	Wenle Liang	25	3

Winner:	Boslat	debating on the Aff	Low point win?
	School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Signature:	(full state of the	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Hasaa I Igbal made a solod case that disabled students do not have long to apportunity to succeed, and therefore the government increase funding towards belong special education. Wente argued that Asians, especially , are ignored. She also argued the foresoment is me by the rich, and therefore Wente failed to provide a way to to special education funding education problems. When asked by

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and	on the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  I illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □  CX (3 min.) □  1NC (8 min.) □
Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting e Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	ffectively; managing time and evidence effectively. guments through changes in language and tone.	CX (3 min.)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	1N Speaker:	2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)
Sensible train of thought.	Passionate but too confratational. I recommend more smoothings.	Rebuttals
2A Speaker: NE Speaker Points: 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	1NR (5 min.) □ 1AR (5 min.) □ 2NR (5 min.) □
Very personable and good at	comment:	2AR (5 min.) □
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative Decision (RFD)	Deciding Win/Loss: tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
Students wental beauth	Minantres have been befored	prep time.  Aff Prep Time
L 1- 11	1 1 100 1 10 100 11 11 11	
is at rick and has	but Asians have been ignored.	8:00
	The federal government is bad, and has a	8:00
been mereasting doop- but rates. Special	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of	8:00
been mereasting drop- but rates. Special Education is broken for disabled students. The	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of footby throngs.	8:00
been mereasting drop- but rates. Special Education is broken for disabled students. The federal forces much weeks	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of fixing things.  Aft is talking about	Neg Prep Time 8:00
been mereasting drop- but rates. Special Education is broken for disabled students. The federal forces much weeks to play a bigger role in	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of fixedy through through about belong about belong special weeds	Neg Prep Time
been pureasting doop- but rates. Special Education is broken for disabled students. The federal fores muent weeks to play a bit ger role in funding to be foods. Accessibility Better schools are pivotal for	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of fixing things.  Aff is talking about helping special weeds people but not other minorities. US gov. has tried this before and it	Neg Prep Time
been pureasing doop- but rates. Special Education is broken for disabled students. The federal government weeks to play a bit ger role in funding to be for sols.  Better schools are pivotal for reduction to proper for	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of fixing things.  Aft is talleway about helping special weeds people but not other minorities. US gov. has fried this before and it failed due to lack et	Neg Prep Time
been pureasing doop- but rates. Special Education is broken for disabled students. The federal government weeks to play a bit ger role in funding to be for sols.  Better schools are pivotal for reduction to proper for	The federal government is bad, and has a complicated way of fixing things.  Aff is talking about helping special weeds people but not other minorities. US gov. has tried this before and it	Neg Prep Time

# Dooley, Ben

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

	Spkr	NewMis Gossop & Ramirez	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
Ì	24	Danelia Gossop	21.5	3
	IX	Aleene Ramirez	28	2

No Bulat

1	NEG			
	Spkr	BosLat Satchebell & Nibert	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
_	ZV	Darius Satchebell	21	4
ľ	18	Finn Nibert	28.5	1

Winner:	<b>-</b>
Comments & Reason for Decision:	
Dwas not addressed in ZAC.	
Capitalism DA's  Aff did not counter that the important access (plants equality)  3 educational access (plants energy  would be overshadowed key impacts  capitalism, and thus would not be  achievable.	2

Solvency:

New angues segregation count be solved

through education alone, thus impacts cannot be achieved.

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting examples of Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	on the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  I illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  If the speeches of the speeches	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □
1A Speaker:	1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2AC (8 min.)
2A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker:	Rebuttals  1NR (5 min.) □ 1AR (5 min.) □ 2NR (5 min.) □ 2AR (5 min.) □
Areas of Focus for		
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega Decision (RFD)	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments  In this section, focus on the clash between Ai	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
		Aff Prep Time
		4:00
		Neg Prep Time