If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	BosLat Potter & Bloch-jones	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1_/	Finian Potter	28	

9	NEG					
		Brooke Feliz & Zaiter	POINTS	RANK		
	Spkr	Brooke Feliz & Zaiter	(24-30)			
	2	Kelvin Feliz	26	3		
	1	Kate Zaiter	26	4		

Winner: Bostof Polic & Ba	debating on the	Side (Aff or Neg)	Low point win?
Signature:	for Rupeget	>	- 1

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Qwen Bloch-jones

The arguments and evidence in this round were too ships passing with night for each team. Both teams presented voting issues of "Haw does the offer from sole?"
The Aff's first tens solvery and are that student learning is key to clinate drange policy, and that clinate change advection leads to adion. The same is there of the Neg - rejection the stack western-style enderse reduces queer theory toxicity. The debate comes down to a solving Affs harms. The Nois position is that without including marginalized students, the AFF cont solve for chimatechange. This argument is as unbacked by evidence, against the predictably humerous could on solveney the AFF presents in the AFF construction speeches.

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	Constructives  1AC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  1NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  CX (3 min.)  2AC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  CX (3 min.)	
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment ocusing a started started	1N Speaker: hate  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment: Language at Mar apparents is worker admirtages	CX (3 min.)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment Readly all arcase gives little affence you had about summer left to respond to  No overlance. Two are stack responds to  Areas of Focus for  Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega		Rebuttals  1NR (5 min.)  1AR (5 min.)  2NR (5 min.)  2AR (5 min.)
Decision (RFD)  Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8
In this section, focus on the clash between Al		minutes of total prep time.
	1	
	in home rather than	Aff Prep Time 8:00- 6:b
	in home rather than often the first	-

	policymating indubate dispances abstar from reality reality bad when the dispances bring bad	Francisch in probability structual violence > mudearium  -	region ed a stellors	chass is inqual [
internal links analysis into evidence into evidence	wowdance )	use many (smalytis)		Salt worles a
	whitsuperned	NEW Colucta better	not included placks	blagace " medontreact attacking attacking of source when a continue is tooi."

Sdv MRC'10

O Student land 104 plan - USFG teady don't to get all some I duct where distrip mastruly I religiously [] dought change phily opposed by budscranes adisinformation, Docern acidification []-clinate & color - action Onlines Everyon there I nations outside board ething british nihillism > polyaning bad [ Inchesion & interests Admik doorga Department retained as save the placet active of s impart - extritions affet the global [] dinning risks time brink | > 10 rearestle

O chimotalismal

Schercy is

teach children
about charte doings

A thine framework offer

opposite Lig billsusell

		×

# Gilbert, Ken

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
	BosLat Houston & Vaz	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	BUSEAL HOUSTOII & VAZ	(24-30)	
2	Jacqueline Houston	30	1
1	Julie Vaz	29	3

NEG			
Calm	pkr NewMis Gossop & Ramirez		RANK
Spkr	NewMis Gossop & Railinez	(24-30)	
2	Danelia Gossop	29	4
1	Aleene Ramirez	30	2

Winner:	School/Team	debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)	Low point win?
	Signature: Nun	ry Gellet	_
Comments & Reaso	n for Decision:		
996	Both teams	had good posture.	
	SO-sca cla	1	

Don't speak over each other and use all prop time and try to speak for most of your strucing time. But the Alfirmative won because they used all of their resource, and endence ensught to sway me. But I can make it to enump in

If they keep us the good work

### **High School - Varsity**

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker:V ᠺᠺユ	1N Speaker: Ramy 62
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
strong spenier; loud	GOOD JOB Explaining card
2A Speaker: HOUSTON	2N Speaker: Gossap
<b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
Solid at explaining stuffing	comment:

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	
In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.		
	າ	
	KINMOT IS all floor fore	

funding STEM programs more taxes should go to education
Those who score high

No petter

Aft is saying

Stem Students don't stuy in their field

### Constructives

1AC	(8 min.)	X
CX	(3 min.)	Z
1NC	(8 min.)	X
CX	(3 min.)	Z
2AC	(8 min.)	D
CX	(3 min.)	Ø
2NC	(8 min.)	D.
CX	(3 min.)	1

### Rebuttals

		- 4
1NR	(5 min.)	X
1AR	(5 min.)	D
2NR	(5 min.)	X
2AR	(5 min.)	. 9

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

Aff Prep Time 8:00

**Neg Prep Time** 

8:00

3:00

# Dooley, Ben

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	TechBo Malik Irish	<b>POINTS</b> (24 - 30)	RANK
	Malik Irish	29	1

NEG			
ent	BosLat Shayne Clinton	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	BosLat Shayne Culton	(24-30)	
	Shayne Clinton	£ 288	2

Winner: Tech Bo	debating on theside (Aff or Neg)	Low point win?
School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature:	DEM	
	1	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Unfortunately, without access to evidence, Neg was only able to cast doubt on the was only able to prove its Aff position, without being able to prove its position.

Aff framework held as Aff was alle to most effective by solve for black disenfranchismont.

rign Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spe round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather o Areas of Focus fo	n the quality of arguments.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)
Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting efficient Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches, fectively; managing time and evidence effectively.	1NC (8 min.)
1A Speaker:	1N Speaker:	CX (3 min.)   2NC (8 min.)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.) □
		Rebuttals  1NR (5 min.)
2A Speaker:	2N Speaker:	1AR <i>(5 min.)</i> □
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2NR <i>(5 min.)</i> □ 2AR <i>(5 min.)</i> □
Areas of Focus for I	Deciding Win/Loss:	
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negation Decision (RFD)		
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
In this section, focus on the clash between Af	f and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	prep time.
		Aff Prep Time
		8:00
		Neg Prep Time
		8:00
		8:00 7:15
		8:00
		8:00 7:15
		8:00 7:15
		8:00 7:15

Room: 123 Start: 1:00 PM Varsity 3

# **Boudett, Michael**

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.

Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

FF			
Spkr	Bright Lybille Rocher	<b>POINTS</b> (24 - 30)	RANK
	Lybille Rocher	28	2

NEG				
Spkr	BosLat Forges & Mohamed	POINTS	RANK	
эркі	Boscuer orges a monumen	(24-30)		
	Falianne Forges	29	1	
	Adna Mohamed			

Winner: Brighton L. Roder debating on the Aff.

Signature: Medical debating on the Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Medical debating on the Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Aft. persualed me that her plan would have at least a marginally positive impact on society, with no particular disadulantages. The Neg. made some good points about lack of specifics in the plan, difficulties in implementation, and alternate causality, but needed evidence to back the points.

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	n the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches, fectively; managing time and evidence effectively.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 2AC (8 min.) □
1A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30  comment:  Nice fore & Style	1N Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment:  especially stray cross	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  Rebuttals
2A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	1NR (5 min.)
Areas of Focus for		
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negation (RFD)	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
In this section, focus on the clash between Af	f and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	prep time.
		8:00
		Neg Prep Time 8:00

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.

Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	BosLat Satchebell & Nibert	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Darius Satchebell	28	4
2	Finn Nibert	28	3

NEG			
Spkr	Brooke Adelu & Lawal	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Kehinde Adelu	28.5	2
	David Lawal	2.8.5	Ī

Winner:	Brooke	debating on the & e	Low point win?
***************************************	School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Signature: _	7-9	-

Other judges on panel: Matt Grimes, Triet Vo. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Vote Neg on the & D people World Cake because they don't want to watst their time learning how to fix it argument. I tain't If the Impact was stressed more I would have voted Aft on try or die. I more I would have voted Aft on try or die. I think Aff spends to much time preving climate thinh Aff spends to makes me think ppi won't think its change is head which makes me think ppi won't think its

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	on the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  I illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  ifectively; managing time and evidence effectively	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 2AC (8 min.) □
1A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)
2A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Rebuttals         1NR (5 min.)       □         1AR (5 min.)       □         2NR (5 min.)       □         2AR (5 min.)       □
Areas of Focus for Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega		
Decision (RFD)  Affirmative Arguments  In this section, focus on the clash between Al	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
- Your Selving Your  Args to the Judge engage  Them in your Body  Lungeunge  - first Arg in 2AC Shours  be A perm Do Both Arg  - & engage in the Gweeter  Jiseussion take it back to  the evidence 'Southe change'  You Shit in CX in the  IAC YOUS HIS food shormages  The y Keep Shyims waist  \$ CAP limh/contradiction  IAK Wish	-Simplify Taglines for h  - I think you should  PRESS them on how plats Work in a Real life sense  - Your on two Some thing wh the Q O couse Sed Argument you should have gotten the evidence  - great Args in Block	Neg Prep Time 8:00

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF Spkr	O'Brya Gary & Gregorio Go	POINTS	RANK
f	Duwayne Gary	25.5	3
2	Rosa Gregorio Gomez	25	4

IEG Spkr	BosLat Iqbal & Thompson	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Hasna Iqbal	28.5	1
	Nia Thompson	28.5	2

Winner: Box Lat	debating on the NEG	Low point win?
School/Team /	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature: Connife	1 Cewman	
Signature.		
V		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Aff team did two things wrong

1. They didn't even attempt to answer
the Negative's main assument, lintil
the very last speech

2. they clicin't stick with their own one case - wishing out their own solvens

### **High School - Varsity**

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker:								1N Speaker:							
Speaker Points:	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	Speaker Points:	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
2A Speaker:							_	2N Speaker:							
Speaker Points:	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	Speaker Points:	24	25	26	27	28	29	30

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for

Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments
In this section, focus on the clash between	Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.
Norm vs impagt lawhat la results from whire plan Myingro Solve  Lavn framework  Needs organizationin	You could also run ableism here VS racism (all still partof eurocentism)
Rhuwwhattheir harms are they do haveings IX -question of outdailed	Worklindente

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.

00	notiucuv	63
AC	(8 min.)	X
СХ	(3 min.)	M
NC	(8 min.)	X
CX	(3 min.)	100
AC	(8 min.)	X
СХ	(3 min.)	Ø
NC	(8 min.)	X

### Dobuttale

	Rebuttar	<b>5</b>
1NR	(5 min.)	-1
1AR	(5 min.)	J
2NR	(5 min.)	
2AR	(5 min.)	5

CX (3 min.)



Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time 8:00

7 53

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

Excel

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	BosLat Satchebell & Nibert	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Darius Satchebell	27	4
2	Finn Nibert	28	3

NEG			
Spkr	Brooke Adelu & Lawal	POINTS	RANK
эркг	Diooke Adeid & Edital	(24 - 30)	
2	Kehinde Adelu	28	2
1	David Lawal	28	

Winner: Low point win?  School/Team Signature: Low point win?
Other judges on panel: Richard Davis, Matt Grimes. Please do not start until all judges are present.
Comments & Reason for Decision:
the former and the former.
The aff demonstrates the CC program which will solve some international
problems (future): nuclear wars, 1 C., etc,
The new news charter schools as a new system to provide better content have any clear to support (argues the system (aff plan) is not work well to have any clear chara). However, neg drops in INR.

Rebut:

- He Affindoes not answer - cheerer school arg.

- fails to stee - State.

ul

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spe	eaking of each individual debater. The decision in a	Constructives
round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of	n the quality of arguments.	1AC (8 min.)
Areas of Focus fo	or Speaker Points:	CX (3 min.)
Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef	illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.	1NC (8 min.) □
Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	uments through changes in language and tone.	CX (3 min.)
,	I	2AC (8 min.)
1A Speaker:	1N Speaker:	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)
comment:	comment:	
		Rebuttals
2A Speaker:	2N Speaker:	1NR (5 min.) □ 1AR (5 min.) □
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	2NR (5 min.)
comment:	comment:	2AR (5 min.)
		2, 11 (0 ,,,,,,,,,,
Areas of Focus for		
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega Decision (RFD)	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
In this section, focus on the clash between A	f and Neg while weighing impacts presented.	prep time.
De Be	4) Web	
11.4 60	the masks ( & successor)	Aff Prep Time
	PMC 12	8:00
		Neg Prep Time
		8:00

## Rono, Lornex

Brighton

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	BosLat Abdulkadir & Jackson	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Kureysha Abdulkadir	29	)
)	Saraiya Jackson	2.6	4

Bor Lat

IEG		1	
Spkr	Brooke Dechraoui & Garcia	(24 - 30)	RANK
2	Nassim Dechraoui	28	2
1	Lillian Garcia	27	3

Winner:	School/Tea	m	_debating on t	he	Af J. Aff or Neg)	Low point win?
	Signatu	re:				=)
Comments & Reason for De	ecision:			C		
Great de	bate! -	The neg	failed	to	hax	a strong
link to	the	aff. The	neg	was	9150	based on
analysis	and	not enough	evide	NCO.	The k	aff was
great na	one sh	ati				

### High School - Varsity Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a Constructives round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments. 1AC (8 min.) Areas of Focus for Speaker Points: CX (3 min.) Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches. 1NC (8 min.) Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively. CX (3 min.) Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone. 2AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) 1A Speaker: 1N Speaker: 2NC (8 min.) Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 CX (3 min.) Rebuttals 1NR (5 min.) 2A Speaker: 2N Speaker: 1AR (5 min.) Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 2NR (5 min.) comment: 2AR (5 min.) Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss: Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD) Each team has 8 **Affirmative Arguments Negative Arguments** minutes of total In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented. prep time. -Teen pregnancy & is doctining Sex Education is not focused Aff Prep Time - sex Ed exclude reliain 8:00 100 The sex ed that is currenty present communities especially fr 30 does not include minority groups low income communition \* K AFF - Racism is a bigger sexual assault and sexual violence problem than sex od; is boostanty happening - status quo solves Sex education is not enough · KAFF somes for disciminantions Neg Prep Time The sexual ed plan focuses on a - Students I kids are influenced by -8:00 diverse grapes peglo. people not just instrument sex ed panently odd does not take a lot of other fators into account people's -This plan is one step towards helping and advancing gills - Sex education is late, should be SAMI VISTERCE impremented before high school

## Grimes, Matt

Charlestown

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	BosLat Satchebell & Nibert	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Darius Satchebell	27	4
2	Finn Nibert	28	1

NEG			
- 1	Brooke Adelu & Lawal	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Brooke Adeta & Lawat	(24-30)	
2	Kehinde Adelu	27.5	2
1	David Lawal	17.5	3

Winner:	BLA	debating on the	Low point win?
***************************************	School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Signature:		

Other judges on panel: Richard Davis, Triet Vo. Please do not start until all judges are present.

think that climate charge is the tringest impact in the rand (more vidence triggest impact in the rand (more vidence triggest impact in the rand (more vidence on this of more consistent explanation on this of more consistent explanation by Aff). I think the Aff will solve some amount of climate charge via education (even if I give the negative some of their arguments that they nay not solve completely). I think may not solve completely. I think they side what is the problem with trying side what is the problem with trying the plan? — and so I think its

High Scho	ol Varsity	7
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting examples of Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments.  1A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment.  2A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	on the quality of arguments.  For Speaker Points:  d illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  ffectively: managing time and evidence effectively.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.)
Areas of Focus for	Deciding Win/Loss:	2AR (5 min.) □
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega Decision (RFD)	ative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
In this section, focus on the clash between And I like the IAC Think you should read more of your evidence through - your evidence through the important warrants.  Even in open CX, the important warrants who read the speech should answer most greations.  I wish you dealt more first our case are most you don't read so extending the case may cards extending the case most of the c	Mc-use a chair to make a podown so you can project your voice! I don't know my these charter school adjunct apply to the chinate charge cast The still confised by the charter school answers that say Notes du CC, you say Notes du CC, but why does their?	Neg Prep Time  8:00  2:40  Neg Prep Time  8:00  3:00

## **Arons, Matthew**

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

\FF			
Spkr	BosLat Farrah Pierre-Louis	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	Farrah Pierre-Louis	28	1

Spkr	NewMis Jean Francois & De	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
	Denzer Jean François		
	Jakhi Dean	27.5	2

Winner:	Farrah	Prive	-Lars	debating on the Aff	Low point win?
	School	l/Team		Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Sigr	nature: Mu	atth	won_	-

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opent Jebaty especially training of Malletick on both sides.

I ultimately voted aff based on the abilily of the plan to address discimption and special education needs. The continplan address discimption and special education needs. The continplan address did agreed with the hairs, but did not fully explan save the prilak pathoas hips avoid reach the payword saxe to how prilak pathoas hips avoid reach the payword saxe to address them.

Ichalits vitimately, I felto tephrality arguments were to
we uncked to proposed be the RFD. while Att and Shorpthen
trove cak with a better tennith of significant, has jud not
trove that the proposed funding increase was too small to
prove that the proposed funding increase was too small to
prove matern the telms of the resolving

Page 1 of 10

High Scho	ol - Varsity	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Cross-Ex: Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and Organization: Following a clear roadmap; signposting ef	on the quality of arguments.  or Speaker Points:  illustrate deficiencies: reference CX in later speeches	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □  CX (3 min.) □  1NC (8 min.) □
Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg  1A Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment:	uments through changes in language and tone.  1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)
2A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Rebuttals  1NR (5 min.) □  1AR (5 min.) □  2NR (5 min.) □  2AR (5 min.) □
Areas of Focus for I Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negat Decision (RFD)		
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
		Aff Prep Time 8:00
		Neg Prep Time 8:00