## 4

# **Gundy, Nathaniel**

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

			NEG			
kr Excel Chalvire & Osman	POINTS (24-30)	RANK	Spkr	Heninc Rosa & Lugay	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
Gamael Chalvire	28	2	A A	David Rosa 1	28	3
Amina Osman	28		# DA	Sterlyn Lugay	28	24
Winner: School/Team Signature:	6	de Mul	bating on t	he Affor Neg) Low poin	nt win?	wPoint Us-
nments & Reason for Decision:						<del></del>
7114	11	41	175	in to		IAA 0 -
Ollim	dely	1 the	att	3 (MO) )AC 71	1 4	MO ( C
			r	formative li	41	
	Thoron	44	106	pointing	o the	
1100 6	0.6		J	f Schools as	E	
vigent	Pro	bICM	) 0	t Schools as	Alacia	C. scantle
0	- 00	E m		(1 NOO)	THEY	WILE OIL
	RIE,	Ciri	Ju 02, 2	zing the con	Seques	
			^	0	boen	
	tor	10W	pest	orming 5 chools	anl	4) -
(	1	ls .	į.	0	1 4117	170
+	act	Tha	J	iven racially	v - ( 1)	18.55%
1- /	111	ſ	1	1		VGIJO
n E	· I yohbo	ocho	015	have segri	29 DC	Schools
	U				0	المارين الم
	(Us	sing	EXC	ie) in S. Bosto	on W	on exam

High School - Novice
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.
Avenue of Force for Smoother Daints Indones are side on the first terms of the second

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below) Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: Amina Osman	1N Speaker: David Rosa
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 comment:
Coolnspeaking, separate thoughtomsic	Excellent or leing of evident
2A Speaker: Gamaci Chalvice	2N Speaker: STERLYN Lugay
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 comment:	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments
62 yrs after B+B the gap (achiever of is wile in). STEM TEAGETS don't have legites in their field.	Housing must be fixed first. RESUltso
HEALTH (Phys. and Mental) is worse among college by Dependent on Social Services is Worg &	
- Personal evil - 5egre yetiper	Zons Don't need

Good X Exam. Whotabout Trump Plant ... N Eg Could have responded

Why? NEIHBORHOODS?

_			
Co	nsti	ructi	ves

1AC	(8 min.)	0/
CX	(3 min.)	0/
1NC	(8 min.)	
CX	(3 min.)	12/
2AC	(8 min.)	0//
CX	(3 min.)	9/
2NC	(8 min.)	0/
CX	(3 min.)	

#### Rebuttals

1NR	(5 min.)	
1AR	(5 min.)	
2NR	(5 min.)	
2AR	(5 min )	

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

Aff Prep Time 8:00

**Neg Prep Time** 8:00

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.

Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF		Q	
Spkr	Bright Vuelto Martinez & M	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Celeste Vuelto Martinez	26	4
2	Britney Mendez	28	2

NEG				
e.l	Heninc Zapata & Velazquez	POINTS	RANK	
Spkr	Heimic Zapata & Vetazquez	(24-30)		
2	Melvin Zapata	28.5	1	
١	Ariel Velazquez	24	3	

Winner:	Henlnc Zapata	TUEIAZAVEZdebating on the	Neg	Low point win?
	School/Team		Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Signature:	rdio f		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I voted for the negative team because they were able to effectively takened the affirmative's arguments and show that it might not be the strongest of or best plan They really focused on the historical development of They really focused on the historical development of Integration and how alternative solutions need to be proposed.

I did not vote for the affirmative because while they had a strong argument argument in favor, it wasn't affective though to convince me that funding the integration of schools is the right long-term solution

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below) Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: 1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 good job being comfortable being Good presentation of ideas and clasify stoping occassionally and union Fultable, Practice makes perfect Britney 2N Speaker: M-CUIA Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28 )29 30 2A Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30

Strong exeporat Presentation and Summarization of key points

clear analysis of countergrowments

#### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

### **Affirmative Arguments**

## Celeste

- As a cross-comment I rebutul " & The plan isn't to tund trunds but to fund equal opportunity

# Britney

- This is not a fast Solution, by this is a Step in the right direction since the goal is equal opportunity. The Student might experience social dissatisfaction but the good is equal apportunity

### **Negative Arguments**

Arul - Re-integration doesn't Stop the signegation that would happe in the Schools and create sympolic segregation you can't pay students to be Grandly to each other

Melvin

There has been no post-tice correlation win racual discerify and academic achievement. Historically, the data doesn't correllate and so this could turn at to be a waste of money and expose & Students to Isolation and Social anxuty.

#### Constructives

1AC	(8 mın.)	Z,
CX	(3 min.)	Ø,
1NC	(8 min.)	da/
CX	(3 min.)	el,
2AC	(8 min.)	世
CX	(3 min.)	如
2NC	(8 min.)	
CX	(3 min )	

#### Rebuttals

1NR	(5 min.)	ΠĮ/
1AR	(5 min.)	₫/
2NR	(5 min.)	M
2AR	(5 min.)	0

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

**Neg Prep Time** 

8:00

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

- 1	Everet Mezri & Martinez	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Everet Mezir & Martinez	(24-30)	
1	Zineb Mezri	28	3
2	Natalie Martinez	29	1

Spkr	Heninc Dang & Vernet	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Justin Dang	28.5	2
7	Sania Vernet Talla	27.5	4

Winner: Everet Merri 2 Martines debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: Long Van F. Skopple

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides were amazing for novice but the call came down to a back and forth over the possibility of the Affs plans. The aff stated the precedent of the soucess in southern states, the neg come back with the issues related to housing and the difference between that soucess then versus now. The Aff came back with busing as a solution to the housing issues related to education as well as housing may take too long to fix the issue of the negative came back will racial issues with forced towing and the fall as an diverse team was making the case rares capit work together. The Aff counter that claim as well as their plan despite not leng perfect the still seem labe to achieve the goal.

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)

Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: Zineh Mezri	1N Speaker: Justin Dana
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
comment Good flow, effective pauses, good Vulume	comment Good flow, effective Pauses, and Volume
proto ejo contact biest agestions	Age contact Sous contracted confidence lockers
answers	and hand gostures . Great QdA
24 Snorkar: Natile Mactices	2N Speaker: Talfa Marc
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment: Jerky fley more tran a few sturbles
breit Ege contact, hand yestures,	comment Jerky fley, more than a few sturbles,
bleat stop reading and mude committeen	good volume, Flour and befor as debute riggressed
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30  somment breit Eye contact, hand yestures,  when top reading and made commercian  with Judge of few stumbles, off-single	Duestioned if races could Work together
Areas of Forms for	Dociding Min/Long.

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented

Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.		
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	
· Interpretation Desegregations fixes	e Obama a King alrowing physided funding no effect  a Communities are already making	
· Like low graduation sates · Low quality teachers	stops toward diversity	
* It can also fix healthcare issues	towards desegregation  organizations are already making	
minorities and reduce minorities	diversity quotes like schools	
· Descaregution leads to higher	Teachers that represent their	
for minorities	· Students self-segregate in desegregated schools	
· Federal funding worked in	· Hard to fix the housing laws that affect education via school	
the 1960's to ste segregate Southern School's	placement ating housing laws could be	
education our plun can bring this to minority schools + this can tex	a Suitable counter-plan	
Affacked  in rebuttal	o Pro-desegregations studies have	
The real world has	Busing Assets & of Facul Sources	

MIXED Pales and schools should

Constructives				
1AC	(8 min.)			
cx cx	(3 min.)	D		
1NC	(8 min.)	0/		
CX	(3 min.)			
2AC	(8 min.)			
CX	(3 min.)	由/		
2NC	(8 min.)			
СХ	(3 min.)	D		
	Rebuttals			
1NR	(5 min.)	8		
1AR	(5 min.)			
2NR	(5 min.)			
2AR	(5 min.)			
N				

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

Aff Prep Time 8:00

Neg Prep Time

42
7
4

More facial Formers in descripted schools

## 4

# Mohamed, Halimo

Josiah Quincy Upper

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	Bright Royaumine Laurore	POINTS	RANK
		(24 - 30)	
100/20	Royaumine Laurore	27	3

NEG	U		
Spkr	Heninc Pimental & Fontes	POINTS	RANK
		(24-30)	
INC	Natalia Pimental	28	2
INC	Elisandra Fontes	28.5	1

Winner: Wedative	T-EOW debating on the WEO	Low point win?
School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature:	from the war	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The wegative team won this debate because they had more argument about MECO.

They make a reacturing argument that there is money being given already, they also use cross-x well by making the other team's argument less.

Mursagile.

ol - Novice	
caking of each individual debater. The decision in a sin the quality of arguments.  Vide one brief comment for each debater below)  marize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.  In through oral and body language to convey ideas.  uments through changes in language and tone.  1N Speaker:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment:	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 2AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ Rebuttals
2N Speaker: (-) Sundro Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 comment: Deciding Win/Loss:	1NR (5 min.)
while weighing impacts presented.	
Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
Oftenative: teachers Will help students Plan was a lot of Problems People will let into fights White schools win't help Money for the school	prep time.  Aff Prep Time 8:00
134heard Given.	
12 million is already  Students should nt  he corred cailing students  makes students feel  aut cost.  MECO Cost a lot of  money  =	Neg Prep Time 8:00
	eaking of each individual debater. The decision in a not the quality of arguments.  In the quality of arguments.  In de one brief comment for each debater below)  marize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. through oral and body language to convey ideas.  Juments through changes in language and tone.  IN Speaker: WAAMA  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30  comment:  2N Speaker Foints: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30  comment:  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30  comment:  Negative Arguments  We arguments that you will use to write your Reason for givenile weighing impacts presented.  Negative Arguments  Will helf students  Plan was a lot of Froblems  Reople will bet mo fights  White scheds want helf  Money fer the scheol  Is theady given.  Iz millian is currendly  Aiven  Students shadents feel  makes students feel

**Novice** 

Leave

# Burwood, Jamie

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF				
	NewMis Rosario & Dudley	POINTS	RANK	
Spkr	NewMis Rosallo & Dudley	(24-30)		
1	Angel Rosario	27	3	
2	Janiah Dudley	28	1	

NEG			
	BosInt Correia & Abdi	POINTS	RANK
Spk	Bosint Correla & Abdi	(24-30)	
1	Joseana Correia	27.5	2
ð	Abdiaziz Abdi	27	4

Abdi

ile

New Mrs Rosario & Dudley Bebating on the\_ Low point win? \_

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative team won the debate because they effectively shut down the regative teams Main arguments around how integration will increase bullying, violence, Objects, by focusing on how, although there is may be short-term challenges with integration, the long-term effects are worth it. They provided specific examples of how folks from descepted desegregated schools are more likely to work in desegregated work environments in the future, which was compelling

The negative team also made strong points about how desegregation actually hurts students and com still not solve the issue litales may still associate with those of their own race) They had very strong arguments, but since the affirmative team was able to effectively counter their man points around violence/bullying, I didn't feel like the rest of the Tabroom.com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddebate.org.

Both teams did great! This was 50 close!

High Scho	ol - Novice	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of	eaking of each individual debater. The decision in a	Constructives
	ride one brief comment for each debater below) marize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. through oral and body language to convey ideas.	1AC (8 min.)
1A Speaker: Angel Rosario Speaker Points: 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 comment: Effective 300 providing summary at end of constraine speech; and favoing on ineffectiveness of mandates.	1N Speaker: Joseana (Orreia Speaker Points: 24 25 26(27.5)8 29 30 comment Very clear speaker who made direct + corcise arguments, facusing on the welfare of the students impacted by this	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  Rebuttals
2A Speaker: Janiah Dudley  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (8) 29 30  comment: Very strong rebutal that  incorporated the points raised  by other team.	2N Speaker: Abdiaziz Abdi Speaker Points: 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 comment: Good focus on family prefere, the wased & from opena, and the & that would be spent solving the violence iss Deciding Win/Loss:	1AR (5 min.)
Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Ne	g while weighing impacts presented.	Each team has 8
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	minutes of total prep time.
- Mandates over 7 always followed - financial incertives	-Integration will coure	Aff Prep Time
are what drive change	Safety issues + vidence	4:00
- Segregation is a form	and mental distress	2:00
of racial discrimination and is getting worse land his serious negative effects - healthcare, - There are short term challenges w/ integration, but the bing term implications on future work environment, etc- are very positive and make it work it.	- Will be even more expensive when gout has to deal wy salety issues caused by Megradian  - This has been tried in the past and didn't fix anything - 50 nothins will change withis money - Same families may prefer the more segregate experience - they moved have	Neg Prep Time
	that charce	

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF Spkr	NewMis Dulin & Cristallin	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1st	Kevon Dulin	28	2
2 nd	Hervins Cristallin	27.5	3

NEG Spkr	O'Brya Nash & Johnson	POINTS	RANK
	O Brya Nasii & Joillison	(24 - 30)	
1st	Owen Nash	28	1
and	Jayda Johnson	27	4

Winner: New Mis Dulin	SCristallindebating on the Side (Affor Neg)	Low point win?
Signature:	Marvirke	e e

The Affirmative Team won this debate because they established the benefits of integrated schools on job apportunities, STS, health, social cornections, artical thinking, mental well-being, despite some endence from the Negative team that educational outcomes and social emotional well-being might not be improved in integrated solvab. The repative team diel a great job arguing that diversity training is not enough and that minority towards students experience challenges in integrated solvab solvabs. A might self-segreporte. Their argument about solvab choice was could be improved, as they were not convincing that students can choose the schools they so

High Scho	ol - Novice	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please proceeding). A debater with strong clarity would read and sum Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	on the quality of arguments.  vide one brief comment for each debater below)  marize evidence while providing persuasive analysis,  through oral and body language to convey ideas.	Constructives  1AC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 1NC (8 min.) □ CX (3 min.) □ 2AC (8 min.) □
Speaker: Klvvn  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment: Great presentation sails  Shyetuted flow.	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment Great pre sentation Sully & confidence.	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)  CX (3 min.)  Rebuttals
Speaker: 10 vin S  Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  comment: Cocal Clary your for ut).  Areas of Focus for  Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Ne	2N Speaker: Yaylda Speaker Points: 24 28 26 27 28 29 30  comment Good under standing  of the evidence,  Deciding Win/Loss:  tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for	1NR (5 min.) □ 1AR (5 min.) □ 2NR (5 min.) □ 2AR (5 min.) □
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8
Integrated schools expand opportunities for students reduce incarceration, improve	Families count more to clesegregated neighbourhoods. Ed policy must include	minutes of total prep time.  Aff Prep Time 8:00
Realth insurance).  Students in diverse schools howe bether critical skills & exhibit lawer levels of social injustice.  Sepregation wiel Ceard to students struggling to find jobs, as weaplaces and integrated to develop diverse points of view, improve life prospects of graduation rate.  Students in integrated schools are less lixely to fal vulnerable or fixely to fall vulnerabl	If schools are sepregated by race, white backlash & violence will occur, so sepregations by income as y better idea.  Challenging experiences reported by ethnic minorities in direrse schools.  Minority needs are not addressed in integrated schools.  Desegrepation clidn't solve the addressed in integrated.  Minority students may feel action ated in integrated schools, which is linked	8:00

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	BosGre Bobo & Alcantra	(24 - 30)	RANK
	Esther Bobo	29	
	Brigitte Alcantra	28.5	3

EG		POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Everet Ovalle & Ferreira	(24-30)	
	Ileissa Ovalle	29	9
	Daniel Ferreira	28	4

Winner:		debating on theLow point win?	
***************************************	School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
	Signature:	worther	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides very respectful of the dopic, the arguments, and in cross examination - very imposure to treat other pass of families & respect. Prep times also used straverically.

AFF side recognized the facts of the neg side & included well thoughtout rebutals to cross examinations - advessed issues clearly. Hastically well dune, confidence and educated rebutal & cross examination.

neg side had very relevant facts - Japprociase how current department of education legislation was included that the miss recent facts.

#### **High School - Novice** Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a Constructives round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments. 1AC (8 min.) Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below) CX (3 min<sub>-</sub>) Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. 1NC (8 min.) Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. CX (3 min.) Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone. 2AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) Banette 1A Speaker: 2NC (8 min.) 1N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 comment alakulur - Sand esc another in Rehabid - comment with an eye and the Education Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 CX (3 min.) gued application of new argument into summent mely questins in cross-exam-good Rebuttals Support (Supplements in cress examination of relations (5 min.) 1AR (5 min.) Esther 2A Speaker: 2N Speaker: 2NR (5 min.) Speaker Points: 24 25 26, 27 28 29 30 comment: very well prepared didn't feut off Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 2AR (5 min.) comment: Well Spuken - when cross examing & paper sman and eye awar the whole time-trass examined very curficlent their thought any personal examples - with on airmin teples in quick environment included personal anecouse -use muc Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss: eye answer or judge Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for in constituence argument Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented. Each team has 8 Affirmative Arguments **Negative Arguments** minutes of total prep time. Fintograte & disegregate schools - districts in south disegregated -Implent schul diversity -Promose diversity ahrough Aff Prep Time diversion increusing strategies - provide supplemental accident instruction 8:00 giving schools mure muney on help d. Sanegute & acumuluse - diverse extraculityrs; non accordence e - descripagnic now = for fusione generators cultural - provide spaces for people to lawn below working so fix pergeved raising about diversions - child disolars due so litigil discimnation - skids = diverse people withing sweether -hardona Studenos from exiding by -nousmy discrimination - rouse cause of no allong them do bournal their segregation in schools -students assignal english spraining aunior parts > 1655 of To schools based on where then **Neg Prep Time** oppurounnies live >> HUD -awareness in dealing whathe diverso deservence neigh but how hefire ousside wild for wholes Eminarious describing schools - need finantial incentives to school shan uprous neighborhous subsymmally of inacyration of schools > which will include & Families - tella policy = housing policy held cummun pares -included recent issues & current -educion is biggard business > legislusion - Beass Deros including subs in schools Fr downers - bulls -change members of Dep. at - gre techas more money soulule taulous of other raios somenay by -funding may not be allowed in right school Favor-but no only tawar THANKIL MENONES IN Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.

husing som stras by in schul discoveryation

in mure education relusad

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, I being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	Charle Zhao & Du	POINTS	RANK
	Cliarte Zilao & Du	(24 - 30)	
14	Ziyan Zhao	26	4
2A	Yuan Yuan Du	29	1

VEG			
Spkr	BosInt Abdi & Nor	POINTS	RANK
	BOSINE ABON & NOT	(24-30)	(24-30)
1N	Hoda Abdi	28	2
2N	Aisha Nor	27.5	3

Winner: Bootnt Abdit Nor	_ debating on the	Low point win?
Signature: Mungus	e Malon	e

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The negative team won because they were electry and articulately above to present key challenges to the affirmative's team plan and come up with an alternative, They otressed the importance of teachers of color as role medels, culturally based curriculum, the existence of the grant competion, and methodological errors in studies. They suggested instead of focusing on housing issues + neighborhood building.

The affirmative team had strong arguments about the detriments and long term effects of segregated schools, especially the achievement gap and mental health issues. However, they were unable to adequately address the negative team's concerns and could not prove their plan was most effectent.

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)

Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: Ziyan Zhaci 1N Speaker: Hoda Abdi Speaker Points: 24 25 (26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 comment: Had some difficulty dearly articulating main Tolers, but Strong conviction, able to articulate + resterate most

more confictent on rebuttal important points

2A Speaker: Yuan Yuan Du Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:

Very good cross & was clearly while to challenge opening ideas

2N Speaker: Hisha Nov

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 Quest + hesitant, but well able to respond to challenges

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

school regregation happening now, importe to intervene in schools to create diversity instrative to close achrevement gap, equal apportunity. medicin in come!

gra du ation y ap 4/10 students gracuate
on time, only way to solve is integretion, unequal ect brings higher health coire casts, lower life expertancy, education makes equal members of accrety. STATS. Making a plan good first step, health insurance treat to school success /ability to find a job, plan will help with howing segregation, focus on LONG TERM benefits outweigh short diffroutres, opportunity to merce fulth outgraps = preparation for later inte scenarious (three raised Keighborhoods) workforce), segregation should not be Status Que, MENTAL HEALTH, depression low self worth, immigrants, school salety SOCIAL JUSTICE OFFERFELDED, diversity MO

thought, Racram not overarching radice JUSTICE issue, people will not stay with same rue/languages in their Acture, own't dany opportunities, increase communication imperion + for employment, must integrate on neighborhoods (community)

learning, must give chance to all Good to mix with while students to make Peel more comfortable, must challenge students wirn more challenging, currently

Government should be spending money in other ways.

Importance of TEACHERS of color, esentrall for improving diversity, reflect community they stive, to be modeled, CULTURALLY
based curriculum, strengthen human expital
HOUSING LAWS, systemating howsing discrim.
Just as much at fauit, schools can't fix Everythmes, local strategies more effective KINO & magnet schools/grant competition supporting economic diversity, communities indipendent by embreung officersity start with reighborhouses, white parents may be uncomfertable with integration

Achirevoment gap widens instead of closes, bras - places students in low academic tralto if integrated, Studies on desegregation have severe methodelgical weaknesses, scholastic imprevement, focus should not just be on black/white divole, pavents should have option to choose which schools they go to

Different culturer languages, cause minutes violence between students, must fecus

people of cojershould choose where they want to go to school, start local, more wider

### Constructives

1AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.)  $\square$ 1NC (8 min.) 

CX (3 min.)

2AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) 

2NC (8 min.) 

CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

1NR (5 min.) **9** 1AR (5 min.) 2NR (5 min.) U

2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

1 mm 1 min Zmin

4 min

Neg Prep Time

8:00

1 mrn

2 min MEDICAPPT

30 sec

2min 30 sec

4

## Bradford, Steven

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

\FF	11		
	Everet Falaise & Sweeney	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Everet rataise & Sweeney	(24 - 30)	
1	Allan Falaise	27	3
2	Kevin Sweeney	28	2

	Bright Bouchouari & Credle	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Bright Bouchouari & Credie	(24-30)	
1/	Houda Bouchouari	29.5	1
1 6	Jalyn-Credle		

Winner: BUGHT	_ debating on the	Low point win?
School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature:		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

WELL FORMULATED ARGULLEUT. USED HISTORICAL PRELEDENT TO SHOW THE LOGISTICS OF THE AFFIRMATIVE ARE NOT FEASIBLE ALSO PEMOINSTRATED THROUGH CROSS-EX. THAT EVEN IF LIGHTICS CAN WORK, THE POLICIES HAVE BEEN BENT, AND THEY HAVE NOT CREATED DIVERSE CLASS ROOMS, WHAT AND INSTEAD WILL BROKENT IN PEOPLE OF SIMILAR ETHNICITIES, JUST FROM DIFFENT PARTS OF THE CITY

High Scho	ol - Novice	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the spe		Constructives
round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please providerity: A debater with strong clarity would read and sum Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arg	ride one brief comment for each debater below) marize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. through oral and body language to convey ideas.	1AC (8 min.)
1A Speaker: AUAN 27	1N Speaker: HOUDA 29.5	CX (3 min.)  2NC (8 min.)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	<b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)
		Rebuttals 1NR (5 min.)
2A Speaker: KEVIN 28	2N Speaker: 1000A 29.5	1AR (5 min.)  2NR (5 min.)
Speaker Points:         24         25         26         27         28         29         30	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	2AR <i>(5 min.)</i> □
Areas of Focus for		
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Negative Control of the control o	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for g while weighing impacts presented.	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
- PAST RULING INEFFECTIVE IN CREATING CHANGE	- LOSISTICALLY NUT FEASIBLE TO UPROOT FAMILY	prep time.
- ACHEVENENT GAP IS WIDENING AND	OK BUS STUDENT	Aff Prep Time
MINORITIES HAVE UNERVAL ACCESS TO TEACHERS	- REFRAMED PROBLEM AS A HOUSING ISSUE, AND SCHOOLS ARE A SYMPTON. MUST INTEGRATE COMMUNIES IN ORDER TO	8:00
- MINDRITIES GET LESS EFFECTIVE TEACHERS,	INTEGRATE SCHOOLS	
- PRODUCIN EXPANDS BEYOND SCHOOLS, BY SEBRECATION LEADS TO HEALTH ISSUES, REDUCED LIFE EXPECTANCY - OPTIONS LAIST WITH REDUCED FARE PUBLIC TRANSFORTATION - SUICINCT, CLEARLY ARTICULATED	- IN ADDITION TO LOUISTICAL PROBLEM, FEELING OF UNWELCOMENESS, SELE-SEGRECHATION BY GROUPING WITH THOSE WITH SIMILAR BALKGROUNDS MV A DIVERSE SCHOOL	Neg Prep Time
- PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION OPTIQUE EXIST BUT SHOULD BE IMPROVED	EVENTURED FORCED INTEGRATION	8:00 つさ StC 1 MIN
MBTA CAN WORK, AND HIGH	YEILD'S FEELINGS OF UNWELCOMENESS	
PERFORMANCE IN AN INTEGRATED	45	
HIGH SCHOOL	- HISTORICAL PRELÉDENT THAT AFFIRMATIVE	
Mon Oction -	PLAIN IS INEFFEUTIVE. FINANCIAL INCENTIVES ARE TEMPORARY FIXES, NEED TO DEVELOP NEW SOLUTION INSTEAD OF THE CAME FOUR RESIDEN	

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Burke Faith Boyce	POINTS	RANK
barke rater boyce	(24 - 30)	
Faith Bovce	2.8	1
	Burke Faith Boyce Faith Boyce	Burke Faith Boyce (24-30)

NEG			
g., l	NewMis Cordero & Richard	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Memals coldere & Kichard	(24-30)	
1	Damian Cordero	27.5	2
2	Alexia Richardson	26	3

Winner: _	BUNA	faith	Bayce	debating on the	AFF	Low point win? N.C
	5	School/Team	1	//	Side (Aff or Neg)	
		Signature;	Bellin			6

Although both groups Put forth valid facts on both sides. I feel Faith had very compelling counter arguments. This, making me feel she should be round winner. Faith has convinced me on all her arguments, Faith showed her determination on the subject matter. It is crear, all students showeld recieve the same education as each other, no matter the race.

#### **High School - Novice** Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a Constructives round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments. 1AC (8 min.) Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below) CX (3 min.) Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. 1NC (8 min.) Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. CX (3 min.) Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone. 2AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) 1A Speaker: 1N Speaker: 2NC (8 min.) Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27/28 29 30 CX (3 min.) comment awesome job! I whav you were not expecting to Rebuttals be alone you nonesty put 1NR (5 min.) great oxgument 1AR (5 min.) 2A Speaker: 2N Speaker: 2NR (5 min.) Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 2AR (5 min.) comment: Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss: Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented. Each team has 8 Affirmative Arguments **Negative Arguments** minutes of total why bring parties School Seg coming back prep time. minority Segragation tagother when they will reads to poor benifits Aff Prep Time just oit toother anyway. 8:00 line nearthease, housing in communities (2.00 causing lower life schools, Sig Com = Sig Schools dt-sig = white backlash 3:00 expefancizs. send more money minority schools why are they not may arrandy received Schools arrandly have diversity, can create Neg Prep Time money. 8:00 unconfortable -400 envioraments. Icc reved a \$12m to acsegragate Could have used 2:00 that money to buy books and pay department of al will not follow their for more qualified Plan these will be fighting between races teccincis. Servication will grevent

Room: 309 Start: 3:00 PM Novice 4

# Abrams, Kailynn

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, I being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

FF	MarMun De Jesus & Lopez	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Mat Muli De Jesus & Lopez	(24-30)	
	Elidaliz De Jesus	28	1
2	Daniela Lopez	26	3

NEG	K		
	Englis Thosonus & Alonzo	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Englis Thegenus & Alonzo	(24-30)	
	Rosalind Thegenus	25	4
5	Miguel Alonzo	27.5	2

Winner: Marmon Da	Jesus S Lope 2 debating on the	AFF	Low point win?
School/Team	No -	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature: _	Kohym OC		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Overall, both groups did very well. I feel that De Jesus is lopez were overall more prepared. They used there time wisery and prepared questions when it came down to cross examinations.

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)

Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: Elidaliz De Jesus 1N Speaker: Kosalind Thegenus Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 (25) 26 27 28 29 30 2N Speaker: Miguel Alonzo 2A Speaker: Vaniela Lopez Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented

### \* great presentation of your examples and what was to come \* I liked your example about was in 1954 school integration would approve minority schools maybe speak more on that \* education TITE how you examplified how education exhacts now will exfect the aftermath of their life now saying how good education whats the point leads to good jobs w/ good incomes and better benefits \* touch more and explain a little more clear when

Affirmative Arguments

\* Very Prepared

Court example

talking about the supreme

### **Negative Arguments**

-> dood example on how where people live whatfects what school the go to As well as how students people work better in a community theyknow. Try spenking more on that with proce

- ? great example on how they use money to force a change when efforts have shown it not weeking much of a change academically, You stood your point when saying if it isn't improving the education than

-> many strong arguments in the end try to carry that throughout

Try not to forget that this is a team effort.

#### Constructives

1AC	(8 min.)	لكل
CX	(3 min.)	Ş <b>∠</b>
1NC	10 min )	-

1NC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) 

2AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) Q

2NC (8 min.) **₽** 

CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

1NR	(5 min.)	154
1AR	(5 min.)	R

2NR (5 min.) 

2AR (5 min.) П

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

- 130 1

Neg Prep Time

8:00

Room: 227 Start: 3:00 PM Novice 4

Walters, Kala Wallace, Shanice

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	Charle Betancor & Ni	(24 - 30)	RANK
2A	Jay Betancor	200	4
1 A	Jianyi Ni	246	3

NEG Spkr	Brooke Anderson & Cantave	POINTS	RANK
	Blooke Aliderson & calleage	(24-30)	
ZN	Akeelah Anderson	28	2
IN	Meguycha Cantave	29	1

Winner:	Brooke	Ander ol/Team	son	debating on the	Nec Side (Aff or Neg)	Low point win?
	Sig	gnature:	Kaia W	alteres		_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The AFF dre did not read a plantext

So I had nothing to vote on a

- The weg took out the AFFS inherency

Early on and It was not this argument was not contested by the

AFF.

#### **High School - Novice** Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a Constructives round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments. 1AC (8 min.) Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below) CX (3 min.) Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. 1NC (8 min.) Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. CX (3 min.) Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone. 2AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) 1A Speaker: 1N Speaker: 2NC (8 min.) Speaker Points: (24) 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 CX (3 min.) Rebuttals 1NR (5 min.) 1AR (5 min.) П 2A Speaker: 2N Speaker: 2NR (5 min.) Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 2AR (5 min.) $\Box$ Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss: Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented. Each team has 8 Affirmative Arguments **Negative Arguments** minutes of total Mc needs to use sign posting Plan abestions are very crear prep time. and pointed & You gusys Plantiso chave nothing **Aff Prep Time** really know your case! 8:00 = You are gree clash masters! to vote on, 5:30 the neg did a very good Always Read your Plan Job at responding to the FIRST especially if you 3:00 arrs arguments, well do not have time to done get through your entire > If you have a counter plan, rocus on that this applies IACO to be th **Neg Prep Time** first. Your lee, 10 You guys are very good at 8:00 eviaence sounded responding intx - use all of your time! When 7:30 like one, It was effective, but better ever you give up time you sake than sorry. 5:30 cre loosing opportunities It also nelps keep the to advocate for yourselves, Flow organized. Your rebuttle street not just even if you just be per an extention or - Y'all are great at extending Past arguments You gave Your arguments, but me reasons to prefer You do not answer your your side I haven't seen that in novice

apponents anguments

## 4

# Schiano, Mike

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

Spkr	MarMun Santos & Rosado	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
24	Yuleisis Santos	28	2
IA	Jonathan Rosado	20	

NEG Spkr	EdwM. Jean Charles & Odi	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
IN	Christine Jean Charles	到24	14
2W	Prince Odimwegi	3 Z	3

Winner: Mar Mun	debating on the
School/Team	Side (Afforneg)
Signature:	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative team connected different pieces of evidence + made a compelling case uny futher action is related Positive academic AND lifelong automes. so maximized use of cross-exam to in other sides argument while the regative made the paint that kids hence integrated into Schools may teel isolated/ remfalable which can lead to mental Centilety. Could have Spale Mare about

Tabroom.com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddebate.org.

Caregonal,

Page 12 of 16

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)

Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: Jonathan (2)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30

1N Speaker: (24) 25 26 27

25 26 27 28 29 30

Excellent cross-examination

Barely Participated

2A Speaker: 10 0 5 5 5 26 27 (28)

2N Speaker: YWW Speaker Points: 24 2

24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30

Clear + convincing use of facts Brught in great veal

rught in great year life example

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

### **Affirmative Arguments**

### **Negative Arguments**

-Brown v. Boe hasnt been success ful if we're Still having this debate - Negative lifelong Outcomes - employment, Uncomfortable would be uncomfortable would be if placed in different Schools

mortality, healthcare, etc.

-Studies don't connect Obseguegation w 1 desired Outcomes · correlation but nut

- Need to intenere to solve Problem — wont happen on its -Stuctural issurs at
play - Segregation in
Schools is only
one peep

1AC	(8 min.)	A
CX	(3 min.)	
1NC	(8 min.)	
CX	(3 min.)	
2AC	(8 min.)	
CX	(3 min.)	
2NC	(8 min.)	
CX	(3 min.)	

#### Rebuttals

	reputtais	
1NR	(5 min.)	
1AR	(5 min.)	
2NR	(5 min.)	
2AR	(5 min )	П

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

Aff Prep Time
8:00

Neg Prep Time

8:00

//

# Saxena, Parnika

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

FF			
Spkr	NewMis Wolfe & Deant	(24 - 30)	RANK
1	Stella Wolfe	#29	1
2	Dominique Deant	27.5	4.

NEG			
Spkr	EasBos Oliva & Mahmoud	POINTS	RANK
		(24 - 30)	
1	Leslie Oliva	28	3
2	Dina Mahmoud	28.5	2

Winner: New Missing on the Adjuster Low point win?  School/Team Signature: Signature: Signature: Signature:	
Negative side made some good points about Negative side made some good points about I students of races other teran (aucasian Le subject to facial shows.	will
Le subject to facial shiss.  -> Backlash from parents	
3 Housing Indepresent	
but affirmative sole rebuttled à  Jong term plans	
-> Long term plant  -> Sta Integration of not fort soudents  but teaches	

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)

Clarity: A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. Presentation: How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas. Conviction: How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: 1N Speaker: Speaker Points: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28/29 2A Speaker: 2N Speaker: Speaker Points: 24 25 26 Speaker Points:

Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Affirmative Arguments **Negative Arguments**. They are choosing the become to work in non-Distron motivalia Pare Backlash from

school desegration Students can travel

Constructives

1AC (8 min.) CX (3 min.) 1

1NC (8 min.) CX (3 min.)

2AC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.) 2NC (8 min.)

CX (3 min.)

Rebuttals

1NR (5 min.)

1AR (5 min.)

2NR (5 min.)

2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

**Aff Prep Time** 

8:00

**Neg Prep Time** 

8:00

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.

Room: 316 Start: 3:00 PM **Novice** 

ceave

# Sheppard, Keller

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF	P		
Spkr	NewMis Jones & Osborne	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1	Aaliyah Jones	27	a
2	Kayla Osborne	9 6	3

NEG			
Spkr	Westie Alexis Gonzalez	POINTS	RANK
		(24-30)	
1/2	Alexis Gonzalez	30	1
110	7 110		_

Winner: Westre	debating on theLow point win?	_
School/Team	Side (AffØr Neg)	
	1 11/	
Signature:		
		_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Noy franked the debate as a problem of housing segregation and the affect had not provide enough endnd to suggest their plan.

High Scho	ol - Novice	
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speround is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather of	eaking of each individual debater. <b>The decision</b> in a	Constructives  1AC (8 min.)
	vide one brief comment for each debater below) imarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis, through oral and body language to convey ideas.	CX (3 min.)
1A Speaker: (Labrych ) mer Speaker Points: 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30	1N Speaker: Usis Gondanen	CX (3 min.) 2NC (8 min.)
Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 comment:	CX (3 min.)
		Rebuttals  1NR (5 min.)
2A Speaker: Kayla O bornl Speaker Points: 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30	2N Speaker:	1AR (5 min.)
comment:	comment:	ZAR (SIIIIII.)
Areas of Focus for		
Use the area below to record strong affirmative and nega Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for g while weighing impacts presented.	
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments	Each team has 8 minutes of total
natural and in signifit	housing seg, is the root course of	prep time.  Aff Prep Time
· integrating schools will leasen whiermit	school seg> need to abbress	8:00 6:80
gap	this first effectively this was not haddressed by	5.30
- Neg present evidence to counter this shoring limited results of integrating schools	the AII side and was a	7,30
results of integrating schools	key argument	
	· demenstrated how past reactions	
	to havem could injent students of integration was forced	Neg Prep Time 8,00
	y sucycount of	2.60
		5:00
		3.00

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF	7		
Spkr	Bosint Legerme & Yousoufou	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
2	Hughens Legerme	28	1
\	Aboubaker Yousoufou	37.5	2

NEG			
	Heninc Sutton & Oxilly	POINTS	RANK
Spkr	Spkr Heninc Sutton & Oxilly	(24-30)	
2	Keriyah Sutton	24	4
i	Octavia Oxilly	24	3

Winner: Bos Int Legerne & Yeus out	debating on the	Low point win? N &
School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature:	Dows	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Bos Int Legerme 8 You soufour.
Try storing on topic, adding more sports is not pertinent to this discussion. · Nice Finish.

Hen Inc Suffer & Oxilly

Need to stop talking/laushing when others are presenting, very disrespetal!

Need to stop talking/laushing when others are presenting, very disrespetal!

Those which side you're arguing, & rules of the debate.

· Put in the efforts it's impossible to get high points if you dot take this sonoisty!

High Scho	ol - Novice			
Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.			nstructiv (8 min.)	/es ☑.
	ride one brief comment for each debater below) marize evidence while providing persuasive analysis. through oral and body language to convey ideas.	CX 1NC CX	(3 min.) (8 min.) (3 min.) (8 min.)	
1A Speaker: Aboubaker Yoganfon Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30	1N Speaker: Octava Oxilly	CX 2NC	(3 min.) (8 min.)	D D
omment Try to paraphrize some of what you've	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28/29 30  comment:  You around the wrong position you are supposed to a argue why segregation should not be touchet it		(3 min.) Rebuttals	
2A Speaker: Hughens Legerne	2N Speaker: Venuah Sutton	1AR	(5 min.) (5 min.)	
Speaker: Hughens Loger ne Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 omment: Fractive read the evidence it will make	Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	2AR	(5 min.) (5 min.)	
it easier to speak. Mce summery	it. It's not ust all reading all sheets.			
Areas of Focus for Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negarine Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Negarine 1.	tive arguments that you will use to write your Reason for			
Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments		n team ha	
· Nine bookground	· Students will join cliences sports. that will keep them "segregated".	р	rep time.	
· Lower 1, le expectations from segregated schools.	"Segregated schools more likely to go to "black"	Aff	8:00	ne
· Less opportunities in job marked	eolleges.			
· Less health insurance	· Some powents preter			
· Less heart in mar and	· Some parents prefer segregated schools			
· Lower income  · Lower income  · Seprenation is a form of racial discrimination.	segregated schools	Neg	8:00	ne