

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One) *con*

*pro* (Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | DuPont Manual LB | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | John Liu         | 30                 | 2    |
| 1    | Sarvesh Babu     | 30                 | 1    |

| Spkr | Meyzeek CN     | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Sanjana Chenna | 29                 | 4    |
| 2    | Paige Neal     | 29                 | 3    |

Winner: DuPont Manual LB debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no

Signature: *[Signature]*

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams were very well prepared with strong arguments.  
Very well organized on both teams.

Sarvesh: great speaker  
don't make gestures when other team speaking  
don't get impatient when partner let opponent have time speaking

Sanjana: good speaker; good at remaining calm

John: great speaker - supported his contentions  
let opponent answer your crossfire questions

Paige: good speaker - held her own during crossfire

louder and faster does not mean better  
public forum - lay person

## Speech Times:

Team 1 - 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2 - 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1 - Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2 - Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1 - Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2 - Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1 - Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2 - Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Room: RAC-300  
Start: 2:15 PM  
Novice Public Forum

5

Baunach, Ayla

Jeffersontown

## WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | DuPont Manual WW | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Claire Watson    | 29                 | 2    |
| 4    | Cameron Watson   | 30                 | 1    |

| Spkr | Meyzeek KJ  | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Kusum Kumar | 28                 | 3    |
| 3    | Aditi Jha   | 27                 | 4    |

Winner: Manual WW debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? 10

Signature: Ayla Baunach

Comments & Reason for Decision:

CON - make sure you are using all of the time in your speeches. It may help with organization of your rebuttal to grow your opponents case as they are talking. During crossfires make sure you are answering the questions & not just reading from your case.

PRO - good summary & final focus which called attention to the main voter issues in the case. Make sure you're not talking during your opponents case. During grand CX make sure you try & focus on multiple issues not just one.

Ultimately, the PRO won because they were able to extend their contentions throughout on the basis of valuing lives over economy or trade - the CON did not address this & it was the main voter in the debate.

### Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Room: RAC-1  
Start: 2:15 PM  
Novice Public Forum

5

Taylor, Laura

Trinity

## WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON

(Circle One)

Team 1

| Spkr     | Jeffersonville HC | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK     |
|----------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| <u>2</u> | Yu Hsin Huang     | <u>28</u>          | <u>3</u> |
| <u>1</u> | Tara Cofie        | <u>30</u>          | <u>1</u> |

Team 2

(Circle One)

PRO CON

| Spkr     | Meyzeek OM        | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK     |
|----------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| <u>1</u> | Kene Okeke        | <u>28</u>          | <u>2</u> |
| <u>2</u> | Shreyas Malireddy | <u>27</u>          | <u>4</u> |

Winner: Jeffersonville HC / Team 1 debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? No

Signature:

Laura Taylor

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Team 2 had very thorough knowledge of the THAAD system but Team 1's excellent use of credible sources, and supporting historical examples and visual displays, as well as their excellent use of structure in argumentation, made them the clear winner.

### Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT Oct 14 - 14, 2017

| (Circle One) PRO CON (Circle One) |                     |                    |      | (Circle One) PRO CON (Circle One) |                  |                    |      |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| Spkr                              | Covington Latin LM  | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK | Spkr                              | Meyzeek PK       | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
| 4                                 | Elizabeth Longbrake | 26                 | 3    | 2                                 | Rohan Patta      | 27                 | 1    |
| 3                                 | Brenna Martin       | 26                 | 4    | 1                                 | Akshay Kolwalkar | 27                 | 2    |

Winner: Meyzeek PK debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? No  
 School/Team  
 Signature: Ritis Pathak

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides need to work on filling up all time in speeches, making eye contact with the judge and refuting the opponents args rather than just restating their own. Aff makes good arguments against AC C2 and extends C1 on NC about reduction of safety which is unrefuted throughout round. While ~~they~~ <sup>aff</sup> had good evidence, ~~they~~ they can't provide it when asked for in cross ex which makes them lose credibility. Good job both!

### Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
 Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
 Crossfire: 3 minutes  
 Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
 Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
 Crossfire: 3 minutes  
 Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
 Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
 Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
 Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
 Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
 Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

| Spkr           | Meyzeek LS          | POINTS<br>(0-30) | RANK |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| 2 <sub>1</sub> | Varun Adeli         | 25               | 4    |
| 2 <sub>2</sub> | Siddharth Sivaraman | 28               | 1    |

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr           | Meyzeek CT        | POINTS<br>(0-30) | RANK |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------|
| 1 <sub>2</sub> | Mya Cummins       | 27               | 3    |
| 1 <sub>1</sub> | Aditi Talegaonker | 28               | 2    |

Winner: Meyzeek LS School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? ☒

Signature: Cummins

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro  
- defense  
• citizens don't want [no proof] until this point)  
[no economy if they've been hit with a missile]  
no evidence → I gave you time. now this point is invalid. You had the round  
[PRO brings it up again]  
stands  
dropped by con

- allies spreading (what are they spreading...?)  
- improving tech through sharing (SK does not own this tech. We aren't talking about spreading patent)  
stands  
dropped by con  
(This topic doesn't talk about knowledge sharing)

RFD: The con had the round until they refused to look for the source in their papers. They're strongest piece of clash then had to be dismissed. The con then admitted that they would rather protect more people than no one.

Speak clearly. Practice reading your case alot before the tournament it will help everything flow and prevent halting or stuttering.

Con  
- china  
• no economy if they've been hit with a missile  
falls  
dropped by con

- can't shoot down everything  
- radar - 25 mil out of range  
TCBM  
falls  
dropped by con  
yes but wouldn't shooting down 3/4 of the missiles be better than 4 missiles hitting SKA?  
• only a chance of being hit  
• Rather protect more people than none  
[Pro should have screamed this from the rooftops!]

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Your sources should be more than a URL  
- Look at judge not other team

- Original argument • opponent's rebuttal [rebuttal] (judge's opinion)

Room: RAC-104  
Start: 2:15 PM  
Novice Public Forum

5

Purtell, William

Covington Latin

## WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | DuPont Manual GK | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Eliza Gallagher  | 29.5               | 1    |
| 3    | Emmett King      | 28                 | 2    |

| Spkr | Jeffersonville PH | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Dev Patel         | 27                 | 4    |
| 4    | Tien Lu Huang     | 27.5               | 3    |

Winner: DuPont Manual GK debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? —

School/Team

Signature: William Purtell

Comments & Reason for Decision:

### Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |            |      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1st Eliza Pro                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 3:9 Emmett | 28   | 1st Summary<br>Compares + contrasts results of each position, favoring her position.                                                                                                                                                            | 28 |
| I. Deterrence (both Thaad and non-Thaad)<br>a. N. Korea cannot build enough missiles to overcome an increased shield<br>IMPACT: saves lives<br>b. Economics benefits to peace<br>II. Limits/Reduces destruction<br>a. Thaad increases existing defenses<br>IMPACT: saves lives<br>III. Strengthens bond w/ U.S.A.<br>a. Mutual benefit<br>Calm, confident, organized.<br>F Good challenge to evidence | 3:9 Emmett | 28   | GCF 1st: { asked the majority of questions<br>3rd: {<br>3rd FF<br>Challenged the evidentiary basis of opp's contentions<br>Restated impact statement, as if it was a contention (but no contentions stated),                                    | 28 |
| 2nd Dev Con                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 27.5       | 27.5 | 2nd Summary<br>Restates prior evidence + arguments. No new development of the argument.                                                                                                                                                         | 26 |
| I. China will retaliate<br>a. Thaad invades Chinese space<br>b. Economic sanctions against S. Korea<br>II. Thaad is ineffective<br>a. Outdated technology<br>b. Can't stop all missiles<br>c. Decoys/jamming stops Thaad                                                                                                                                                                              | 27.5       | 27.5 | GCF 2nd: Silent<br>4th: Put on heels, thought quickly<br>4th FF<br>Re-frames the opp's impact statement<br>Restates sub-points, but not all main contentions                                                                                    | 27 |
| F solid questions + answers                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 27.5       | 28   | 4th Tien Lu<br>Provides evidence + rhetorical argument to <del>prove</del> prove contention I at their case<br>Contrasts opp's impact statement.<br>Does not address opp's contention III<br>CF Good control of the conversation by questioning | 28 |
| CF Put on defensive, but recovered                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 28         | 28   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |    |

Room: RAC-106  
Start: 2:15 PM  
Novice Public Forum

5

McCarthy, Phyllis

Covington Latin

## WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

☒ PRO ☐ CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) ☐ PRO ☒ CON

| Spkr | DuPont Manual KH | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Amber Klein      | 27                 | 2    |
| 1    | Kassidy Haynes   | 29                 | 1    |

| Spkr | Ballard IS     | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Srirangan Iyer | 25                 | 4    |
| 1    | Mason Scott    | 26                 | 3    |

Winner:

DuPont Manual KH

debating on the

Pro

Low point win?

School/Team

Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:

Phyllis McCarthy

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro side was much more prepared with contention and rebuttals.

### Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

| Spkr | Assumption ND   | POINTS<br>(0-30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|------------------|------|
| 2    | Meaghan Northup | 30               | 1    |
| 1    | Liz Duerr       | 29               | 2    |

| Spkr | DuPont Manual WM | POINTS<br>(0-30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|------------------|------|
| 1    | Barrett Wisbey   | 28               | 3    |
| 2    | Nimish Mathur    | 27               | 4    |

Winner: Assumption ND debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: R. Sivaraman

Comments & Reason for Decision: Convincing with references and addressed the points raised by the opponents team.

Liz

1. Well being of S. Korean citizens.
- ↳ 1 Economy of S. Korea. -
- 2) People not going with the idea
- 3) Anti-missile system not effective

- Summary -

Barrett : 1) Preparation in case of war.  
2) It is for safety - defense system.  
good example from Russia & Romania.  
3) North Korea threat but not weapon.

Crossfire:

- 1) Shooting down missiles - was
- 2)

Basant supports THAD - saves or protects people.  
3)

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Meaghan:

- (1) THAD may encourage chemical weapons use.
- (2) Not effective in people living only  
protects half the population.  
N. Korean population oppose it?
- (3)
- (3)

SS  
Nimish:

(1) THAD will deter —

CrossFire:

THAD is effective or not argued  
→ THAD may not be effective  
Meaghan is more convincing than Nimish on the  
testing effectiveness of THAD

Liz: S. Koreans don't support THAD, China  
may create economic sanctions against S. Korea.

303 Mr Barrett: Saving lives is priority with THAD.

Meaghan - Final point

Citizens affected by THAD  
which is opposed by S. Koreans. North Koreans.  
damaging their economy with China.  
(Mike rounding off of all the little details)

Nimish: Not addressing the argument raised by  
other team. Stressed the importance of defense  
over economy.

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

**PRO** **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

| Spkr | Covington Latin SS | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| 3    | Bree Sweeney       | 27.5               | 3    |
| 1    | Laurynn Smith      | 28                 | 2    |

| Spkr | Larry A Ryle YE | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|------|
| 4    | Brian Yorke     | 28.5               | 1    |
| 2    | Amanda Edwards  | 27.5               | 4    |

Winner: Larry A Ryle YE debating on the con Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Ann Schwartz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Covington - Better use of time, need to support own arguments.  
(In Rebuttal, only used 2 minutes, could have spoken about own contentions, not just opponents.) Later, did better job supporting own arguments just make clear which contention you are addressing

Larry A Ryle - Good job outlining contentions and following them through the debate.

All - look at judge and speak to the judge. you are trying to convince him/her that you are right

## Speech Times:

- Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes
- Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes
- Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes
- Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes
- Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes
- Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes
- Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes
- Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes
- Prep time: 2 minutes

Room: RAC-2  
Start: 2:15 PM  
Novice Public Forum

5

Flood, Billy

Spalding

## WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Covington Latin PP | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
|      | Ben Purtell        | 28                 | 4    |
|      | Alex Pham          | 28                 | 3    |

| Spkr | DuPont Manual SJ | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
|      | Brian Schwartz   | 30                 | 2    |
|      | Ben Jones        | 30                 | 1    |

Winner: Manual / Ben/Brian debating on the pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Billy Flood

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ben Purtell had to admit that Ben Jones proved their 2nd point false. Brian and Ben showed mastery of the material and bested their peers in memory recall of facts/presentation and passion/energy.

DuPont Manual wins

### Speech Times:

~~Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes~~  
~~Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes~~  
~~Crossfire: 3 minutes~~  
~~Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes~~  
~~Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes~~  
~~Crossfire: 3 minutes~~  
~~Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes~~  
~~Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes~~  
~~Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes~~  
~~Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes~~  
~~Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes~~  
Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

| Spkr | Meyzeek SN   | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Suzie Sin    | 26.5               | 2    |
| 2    | Sonia Nagpal | 27                 | 1    |

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

| Spkr | Assumption KS   | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Traci Kaninberg | 26.5               | 4    |
| 2    | Emily Smith     | 26.5               | 23   |

Winner: Meyzeek SN debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Amanda Fin

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro:

- Make sure only the spec. speaker responds during crossfire one and two
- Make sure everyone is ready before starting

Traci: Be confident! ~~make~~ make sure you ~~are~~ know what you want to say and try not to become monotone while reading

Con:

← same  
Sonia: make sure everyone is ready before starting; strong speaking - very confident  
Suzie - Be careful not to slip into monotone while reading

Both Sides: Emily: well spoken during cross, don't give up during rebuttal!

- During crossfire, let the first speaker question first
- Don't let any of your opponents' contentions go un-challenged during rebuttal - take prep time if you need it!

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Meyzeek EM       | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Siri Endluru     | 27                 | 2    |
| 2    | Anshuman Mohanty | 26                 | 4    |

| Spkr | Jeffersontown EP | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Spencer Emert    | 27                 | 3    |
| 2    | Kaitlyn Popp     | 29                 | 1    |

Winner: Jeffersontown EP debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con)  
 School/Team  
 Signature: [Signature]  
 Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
 Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
 Crossfire: 3 minutes  
 Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
 Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
 Crossfire: 3 minutes  
 Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
 Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
 Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
 Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
 Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
 Prep time: 2 minutes

Siri - Delivered 1st constructive well. Summary  
 come up a little short in Con position

Anshuman - Rebuttal was well rehearsed with  
 good dialogue. final focus was very weak

Meyzeek was short on Final focus and lost cross fires  
 too much opinion, not enough data

Spencer - A bit too casual on delivery

Kaitlyn - Excellent speaker and cross fire opponent

Jeffersontown - good facts + defense of position

prep  
1

prep  
255

## Jefferson

1. THAD - South Korea
  - 1. NIK threat
  - 100% success rate
2. SM-3
  - US plane threats
2. Australia frigates armed 90%
3. China will not break relations

X - SKM

2. China not cutting off SK.
  - accuracy
  - 2 min

X = ? China's IL ties, No economic, patriot act

## Summary

1. Under threat, accurate 100 more, 90-3
  - Trump start war so SK needs THAD
  - SK to negotiate w/ China
  - Currency swap

X - THAD, No

## Focus

2. people protection accuracy

## Mazzei

1. Damage SK + China economy
  - 1. China reducing SK imports
2. THAD Ineffective
  - ↑ SK hit, target range
3. Can't protect all SK or Seoul

2. NK ICBM all at once - no way to defend
  - detected missiles in China
  - China to UN then ban w/ NK
  - SK - 25% export to China range
  - Can move

## Summary

1. China & impact on SK (21.5%)
  - RADAR issue
  - range + angle
  - not enough missiles

## Focus

2. opinion on accuracy short range to defend vs ICBM China wants N. Korea side

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Covington Latin PJ | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Gabriel Purtell    | 29                 | 2    |
| 1    | Cooper Jones       | 28                 | 4    |

| Spkr | Jeffersonville SF | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Paige Sweeney     | 30                 | 1    |
| 2    | Haylee Ferguson   | 28                 | 3    |

Winner: JEFFERSONVILLE SF debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? N

Signature: Spmathur School: DuPont Manual

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams presented well!  
However JEFFERSONVILLE SF team appeared more prepared, organized, and presented a more robust discussion in their favor.

Paige Sweeney appeared well prepared and articulate in her dialogues.

## Speech Times:

- Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes
- Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes
- Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes
- Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes
- Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes
- Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes
- Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes
- Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes
- Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Trinity ET   | POINTS<br>(0-30) | RANK |
|------|--------------|------------------|------|
| 4    | Walker Evans | 28               | 2    |
| 2    | Ben Taylor   | 29               | 1    |

| Spkr | Covington Latin MM | POINTS<br>(0-30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|------------------|------|
| 1    | Shannon McCarthy   | 28               | 3    |
| 3    | Chris Macke        | 27               | 4    |

Winner: Trinity ET debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? NO  
Signature: Rachel Finefrock School: Assumption

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Prep  
all used

Team 1 → neg  
S1 • run through your case more beforehand to prevent name stumbles/speak faster  
• stay firm in your argument → not "probably"  
• cannot speak during cross between speakers and and 3 (but good to stand up for him) any other time.  
• good point about SK needing to maintain allies  
• work on keeping your own time  
• ~~work on~~ provide cards/sources

Team 2 → PRO  
S2 • clear voice  
• printing your case will help you keep your reading place/eye contact  
• don't say "yup" every 2 words she gets out  
• don't freak out when they attack your case → they're supposed to  
• work on polite behavior  
• a republic is not a democracy, but good use of your given definition

S3 • always smart to carry cough drops and water (feel better!)  
• try to use "um" less  
• good point on what THAT is meant to be used for  
• good job not letting him talk over you  
• earned conflict endless cycle point (rank/points purely due to cough → good job)

S4 • good Chinese sanctions point / logical fallacy  
• we can't go "against" a country for a moral disagreement that hasn't caused issue  
• work on decorum  
• good way to use their words against them

Reasoning: Team 2 was rude, however, they defended their points better and more elegantly

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Room: L-329  
Start: 2:15 PM  
JV Public Forum

5

Bender, Elizabeth

Assumption

## WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Larry A Ryle RH | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Mina Ryumae     | 30                 | 1    |
| 2    | Krupa Hegde     | 29.5               | 2    |

| Spkr | Covington Latin AB | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Amit Adhikari      | 28                 | 4    |
| 2    | Ben Baioni         | 29                 | 3    |

Winner: Larry A Ryle debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature] School: Assumption

Comments & Reason for Decision:

On the balance, the pro side was able to provide more and stronger evidence both in support of their case and in rebuttal. The con side had ~~some key~~ <sup>one additional</sup> potentially astute arguments.

that there is no imbalance in power due to alliances — but this was only brought up in the final focus, with little evidence (and little time for explanation). The contention against THRAD's rate of effectiveness, using past tests of other systems, was effective, but the other <sup>pro</sup> contentions held, and ~~the~~ evidence regarding effect tended stronger on the pro side.

### Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Ballard AS  | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Omar Arar   | 27                 | 3    |
| 1    | Rehan Shaik | 25                 | 4    |

| Spkr | Ballard TK    | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Haseeb Tariq  | 29                 | 1    |
| 1    | Kermit Kreder | 28                 | 2    |

Winner: Ballard TK debating on the Con Low point win? —

Signature: Shawna Brovont

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro - Rehan - low scored due to comments like they're stupid and their analogy was terrible

Omar - good tone, easy to understand - but difficult to flow

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Con - Kermit - good emphasis and eye contact - too loud  
Haseeb - very fast, clear speech, but couldn't follow

I chose Con because they won me to their side

Both \*don't talk over one another - try to stay calm, no arguing  
anger coming out on both sides

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | DuPont Manual NP | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
|      | Zebeeb Nugûse    | 30                 | 1    |
|      | Abey Philip      | 29                 | 2    |

| Spkr | Assumption CH  | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------|--------------------|------|
|      | Carly Crawford | 28                 | 3    |
|      | Megan Hodge    | 26                 | 4    |

Winner: Manual debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con)  
School/Team  
Signature: Phy Phil Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

Pro stats based on estimates

Anti-missile system

~~Examples~~

- Restating same stats over and over.

Evidence that it works?  
- Didn't make strong points during 2nd crossfire

- Evidence that THAD doesn't work.

Con

- Negotiations

THAD - as an act of aggression

- ~~Q&A~~  
S. Korea wants peaceful solution

~~Examples~~

Asking why do you think they would attack?  
- No answer from Pro

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

**PRO** CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

| Spkr | DuPont Manual PS    | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|------|
| 1    | Nikhil Polepalli    | 29                 | 2    |
| 3    | Shreshth Srivastava | 30                 | 1    |

| Spkr | DuPont Manual TC | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| 4    | Zain Tariq       | 28                 | 3    |
| 2    | Naren Chittam    | 27                 | 4    |

Winner: DuPont Manual debating on the pro Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Nikhil: excellent probing questions in first C-X

Shreshth: IAR did everything you needed, contention by contention  
outstanding command of diplomatic history in C-X  
Nice

Negative constructive is more conspiracy theory and innuendo  
than evidence-based analysis, leaving it exposed to dismantling  
in IAR, which is exactly what happened. Neg did not  
effectively counter aff's Contention 1, which carries through

## Speech Times:

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes

# WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT

Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr | Ballard JP           | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Vaitheesh Jaganathan | 27                 | 1    |
| 1    | Jayanshi Pathak      | 27                 | 2    |

| Spkr | Assumption BC | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------|--------------------|------|
| 2    | Ella Springer | 24                 | 4    |
| 1    | Sarah Cullop  | 25                 | 3    |

Winner: Ballard JP debating on the con Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Hallie Simpson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Jayanshi: work on announcement, slow down. ~~strong~~ strong evidence but the analyzation needs to be stronger. Be sure to hit every contention.

Vaitheesh: great voice, but didn't seem very confident in what he was saying. great job at providing evidence and rebutting opponent's contentions.

Ella: weak announcement, but had great volume. great job at fully analyzing opponent's argument. need to utilize full speaking time.

## Speech Times:

|                 |                             |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Team 1          | 1st constructive: 4 minutes |
| Team 2          | 1st constructive: 4 minutes |
| Crossfire       | 3 minutes                   |
| Team 1          | Rebuttal: 4 minutes         |
| Team 2          | Rebuttal: 4 minutes         |
| Crossfire       | 3 minutes                   |
| Team 1          | Summary: 2 minutes          |
| Team 2          | Summary: 2 minutes          |
| Grand Crossfire | 3 minutes                   |
| Team 1          | Final Focus: 2 minutes      |
| Team 2          | Final Focus: 2 minutes      |
| Prep time       | 2 minutes                   |

Sarah: seemed nervous but had a good speaking voice. need to make questions more clear. had a strong thought process, but needs to improve the layout & verbally expressing that thought process.

Overall: Both teams need to stray away from THAAP being the only example of anti-missile mechanisms. Ballard JP had a stronger argument with stronger evidence. There was a lot of

theoretical & hypothetical talk, stay away from that,

**WYATT OCTOBER TOURNAMENT**  
Oct 14 - 14, 2017

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

| Spkr     | Ballard MK <u>(2)</u> | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK     |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| <u>4</u> | Laziz Muradov         | <u>27</u>          | <u>3</u> |
| <u>2</u> | Shirlin Kingston      | <u>27</u>          | <u>4</u> |

| Spkr     | DuPont Manual SJ | POINTS<br>(0 - 30) | RANK     |
|----------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| <u>1</u> | Luke Seo         | <u>28</u>          | <u>2</u> |
| <u>3</u> | Neil Jacob       | <u>28</u>          | <u>1</u> |

Winner: DuPont School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

DuPont started at a good speed but passion increased both their speed and their volume.  
Laziz was very fast in his first & second arguments but calm and cool during the crossfires.  
Ballard's info might want to be looked at for currency. DuPont able to give concrete info.  
Both teams seemed to get lost in I had but did not seem to discuss the profiteering brought up by Ballard.  
Ballard stumbled a bit more in their speaking lacking the confidence shown by DuPont.

**Speech Times:**

Team 1- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Team 2- 1st constructive: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Team 2- Rebuttal: 4 minutes  
Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Summary: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Summary: 2 minutes  
Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes  
Team 1- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Team 2- Final Focus: 2 minutes  
Prep time: 2 minutes