



AFF

| Spkr | Eastie Chavez & Sanchez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Heily Chavez | 28.5 | 1 |
| 1 | Miguel Sanchez | 28 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | BLA Patino & Rosas | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Manuel Patino | 28 | 3 |
| 2 | Sharon Rosas | 27 | 4 |

Winner: Eastie CS debating on the Aff Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Miguel Sanchez

Comments & Reason for Decision:

3 Voto Affirmativo porque ganan ~~los~~ el argumento central del plan: la desegregacion alluda a los estudiantes minoritarios. El argumento sobre Trump y Deros, aunque la afirmativa no responde bien, no tiene impacto claro. La negativa dice que significa que el plan no para, pero la afirmativa tiene el derecho de "Fiat" para debatir el plan mismo, no solo si para o no. Entonces veo al plan mismo donde la Aff argue que desegregacion alluda en el termino largo para mejorar la sociedad. La negativa solo tiene argumentos en el termino inmediato, y no los usa para desprovar los de la afirmativa.



AFF

| Spkr | English Garcia & Carpio Dip... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Michelle Garcia | 28 | 2 |
| 1 | Paola Carpio Diplan | 29 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Cabrera Jimenez, &... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Seby Cabrera Jimenez, | 27.5 | 4 |
| 2 | Cristian Turcios Galo | 27.5 | 3 |

Winner: English School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Paul Diaz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

• Razón de Decisión:

Las dos pudieron hacer un buen resumen de los ~~pro~~ argumentos y evidencia que presentaron en 1AC y 2AC. Michelle dio una buena explicación de porque se necesitan las escuelas integradas, utilizando su propia experiencia. Paola también se corrigió bien al juez y hizo contacto de ojo. Las explicaciones de las dos durante el rebuttal fue muy fluido y ~~claro~~ ^{claro}. Se nota que se prepararon muy bien. Buen Trabajo.



AFF

| Spkr | Muñiz Alvin Marchena Perez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Alvin Marchena Perez | 28 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Santiago & Pena | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Alondra Santiago | 25 | 3 |
| 1 | Yeiri Pena | 26 | 2 |

Winner: AAA MMA debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: A. Alfaro

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFFR well prepared and had a
good flow/ Neg. struggled in all areas/
lack of organization.



AFF

| Spkr | Muñiz Sanchez & Mejia Her... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1A | Wanda Sanchez | 29 | 2 |
| 2A | Nancy Mejia Hernandez | 28 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Recio Luciano & Rod... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2N | Gema Recio Luciano | 30 | 1 |
| 1N | Raulina Rodriguez | 28 | 3 |

Winner: ~~neg~~ Affirmative (Wanda & Nancy) debating on the Aff. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes

Signature: dmangue - Denisia Mangue

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Los puntos del afirmativo tenían ~~pr~~ pruebas / evidencia duraderas. Empezaron por hablar sobre el presente pero también dieron una visión a largo plazo de la validez de sus argumentos.

In Legend Bebo B.



Suescun, Alvaro

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Benoit & Valette | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2✓ | Bebo Benoit | 26 | 4 |
| 1✓ | Linda Valette | 29 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | English Paulino & Guerrero | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 1✓ | Lorenzo Paulino | 26 | 3 |
| 2✓ | Carlos Guerrero | 27.5 | 2 |

Winner: BINCA debating on the AFF Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

La presentacion del grupo BINCA fue mas clara y definida que la del ^{grupo} English ~~group~~.
Las soluciones expuestas fueron mas consisas.

Room: 222
Start: 1:00 PM
Debate en Español



De la Rosa, Vania

East Boston

Lorenis Nova-Pereira

AFF

| Spkr | English Acevedo & Mejia Soto | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1A | Nayalie Acevedo | 27.5 | 1 |
| 2A | Jaisha Mejia Soto | 25 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Alvarado & Velasquez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1N | Dhanyerine Alvarado | 25 | 3 |
| 2N | Ever Velasquez | 27 | 2 |

Winner: English / Acevedo & Mejia debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? 1
Signature: Lorenis Nova

Comments & Reason for Decision:

El equipo negativo no logró presentar evidencia en contra del afirmativo. Ambos equipos hicieron muy buen trabajo.

Room: 402 (Green)
Start: 1:00 PM
Debate en Espanol



Suescun, Marisa

AFF

| Spkr | Fenway Familia & Lantigua | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Emily Familia | | |
| | Lesly Lantigua | | |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Peguero Rodriguez... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Franyeli Peguero Rodriguez | | |
| | Pamela Sanchez | | |

Winner: Muñiz debating on the Neg Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Marisa Suescun

Comments & Reason for Decision:

FORFEIT

Room: 411 (Green)
Start: 1:00 PM
Debate en Espanol



Colo, Jose

B-P

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Figuero & Asuncion | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Sucre Figuero | | |
| | JP Asuncion | | |

NEG

| Spkr | Ctown Ever Vasquez Lazo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Ever Vasquez Lazo | | |

Winner: _____ debating on the _____ Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: _____



Bye Forfeit

AFF

| Spkr | English Felipe Ullola & Calv... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Lesly Ann Felipe Ullola | | |
| | Thaemie Calvo Martinez | | |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Amparo & Lorenzo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Oliver Amparo | | |
| | Gabriel Lorenzo | | |

Winner: Neg School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

El compañero original, no se presentó y la suplente no estaba preparada para el tema.

Room: 508 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Nova-Perello, Lor-

Boston International Newcomers

Kukua Duodu

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

| AFF | | | |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | NMBCLA Santos Jr. &... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| | Ricardo Santos Jr. | 28 | 1 |
| | Rafael Dominguez-Dun | 27 | 2 |

| NEG | | | |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | JQUS Cheung & Dong | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| | Sabrina Cheung | 25 | 3 |
| | Queenie Dong | 24 | 4 |

Winner: NMBCLA School/Team debating on the AFF. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: Kukua Duodu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative side was able to ~~connect~~ emphasize their reasoning for their argument more clearly. They laid out the problems and answered the possible solutions. The negative side provided no solutions... They stated that integrated setting are harmful and that housing segregation needs to ~~desegregate~~ desegregate but did not list possible solutions to make that happen.

Room: 515 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Gersch, Laura

Margarita Muniz

Megan Etienne

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

| AFF | | | |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | Bright Tommy Duong | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| 1 | Tommy Duong | 27.5 | 2 |

2 *Juan Carter* 24.5 3

| NEG | | | |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | Excel Patterson & Lauren | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| 1 | Knytavia Patterson | 26.5 | 4 |
| 2 | Loriannee Lauren | 28 | 1 |

Winner: *Affirmative Duong* debating on the *Aff* Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team *cater*
Signature: *Megan Etienne*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Affirmative team were able to make stronger arguments and back them up w/ stronger evidence and had a better rebuttal points in terms of solving the problem and coming up with a plan

Room: 528 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Wilson, Erica

Boston Green


If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | NMBCLA Elwin & Osborne | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Jamani Elwin | 25 | 4 |
| | Kayla Osborne | 25 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Kalam & Andrade | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Sabrina Kalam | 26 | 1 |
| | Kleusa Andrade | 25 | 2 |

Winner: BWCA School/Team debating on the negative Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*it appeared that the affirmative side CX'd : Rebuttal
negative arguments.*

Room: 535 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice

3



BOSTON
DEBATE
LEAGUE

Thomas, Alicia

Brighton

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BGA Esther Bobo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|------|
| | Esther Bobo | 29 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | JQUS Liu & Li | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Gui Ying Liu | 30 | 1 |
| 1 | Xinmei Li | 28 | 3 |

Winner:

JQUS - Neg

School/Team

debating on the

Neg

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff had no plan! 😞
- State your plan in the IAC or there is nothing to debate about
- Know your judge & play to them
- Look at the judge more, don't address your opponent
- Should have brought up the Metro stat. earlier. You cannot read ~~and~~ new ev. in rebutt, only bring up what you've previously read.
↳ that was your best evidence but you brought it in late

Be aware of what your opp. actually states in their speech.
↳ ask specific questions
- If opp. doesn't make sense regarding their questions, ask them to restate or move on & say you don't understand or you never said what they are saying you said (I know that was convoluted)
- Excellent eye contact & summaries



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | OB Danel Alcantara | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Danel Alcantara | 28 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | Hender Zapata & Velazquez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Melvin Zapata | 28 | 2 |
| 1 | Ariel Velazquez | 26 | 3 |

Winner: OB debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: DHL

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good question and respond
- both knew the subject well.

- Both team are good on bring up and address concern from the opponent.
- They both respond to most of the opponent argument. However, considered the over all performance, The AFF is quite better. He responded to every arguments from the Neg well with good explanation.
- The negative also did great. However, they need to talk more on the health care issue concerned by the aff. This mistake is not significant, but it's good to learned that: Never drop an argument from the opponent.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Muñiz Daniela Lopez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Daniela Lopez | 26 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | Fenway Natanya Rusell | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Natanya Rusell | 28.5 | 1 |

Winner: FENWAY School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Ronna E Krozy

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative team laid out the problems affecting minority students clearly and posited that increasing money to increase diversity would help solve these problems. However, the Aff did not clarify how this money would be used or how it would solve the problem.

The negative team demonstrated that there is already multiple sources of funding devoted to integration but that it is not solving the problem. NEG stated that money should be directed to improving resources and that integration of housing and ability to choose school would be more effective.

The Negative team did raise many pertinent points that the Affirmative team did not address sufficiently.

Room: 511 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice

3



Cullinane, Frezzella
Mejia, Antonelli

Fenway

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | NMBCLA Rosario & Dudley | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Angel Rosario | 25 | 4 |
| 2 | Janiah Dudley | 26 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Bright Robinson & Laurore | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Justice Robinson | 28 | 1 |
| 1 | Royaumine Laurore | 27 | 2 |

Winner: Brighton School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Freya Cullinane

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

There were contradictions
in your case

Focus more on explaining
how exactly your plan
solves for your harms

Neg

Great job w/ explaining
how the aff does not
solve for their harms

Housing is the problem
not schools

Room: 525 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Judge: _____

Anne Xue

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | JQUS Vincent & Phung | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 4 | Joshua Vincent | 28 | 1 |
| 2 1 | Steven Phung | 26.5 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Excel Mohamed & Osman | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Kiin Mohamed | 27 | 3 |
| 2 | Amina Osman | 27 | 2 |

Winner: Excel Mohamed & Osman debating on the Negative Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ☒

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: De Le

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The negative team kept the argument on track. Whereas the affirmative were unclear on the plan they were presenting because at one point in the debate it seemed like the affirmative team changed their plan's purpose to funding minority schools when it was initially to intergrate schools. The negative side's argument was easier to follow and more consistent.

Speaker comments:

Joshua: you were great in terms of organization and speaking skills but I felt that you spoke out during the opposing team's time so try not to do that next time. (You would have been a 29.)

Steven: you did great you stuttered and lost track of your place in the rebuttal. If you need to you can try to just highlight / crossout parts you already said.

Kiin: Good job. you were well paced and maintained consistent with your argument.

Amina: Good job. I appreciate that you took the time to apologize for speaking loudly. I liked your confidence in the topic.

Room: 439 (Orange)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Judge: _____

Kayla
Wiggins

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | JQUS Peng & Kwan | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|--------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| ABSENT | HoU Kuan Peng | — | — |
| 1/2 | Adrian Kwan | 27 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | OB Eram & Murphy | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Ahnaf Eram | 29 | 2 |
| 1 | Tadgh Murphy | 30 | 1 |

Winner: OB Eram & Murphy debating on the NEG Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- The negative team made very strong arguments especially the example of paying taxes for students who don't live in same town as the school. Also, admitting that progress is slow but it is progressing. Also the point of having several things on one plate can be very bad and not helpful, bring up the cost of raising taxes.
- The affirmative team was a one man show but ~~and~~ good nonetheless. Perhaps more preparation and personal experience will help deliver the arguments and make more convincing.

Room: 514 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice

4 min
2 min
1 min



Texeira, Sam

Henderson Inclusion

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | NMBCLA Cordero & Richar... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1A | Damian Cordero | 3 | 25 |
| 2A | Alexia Richardson | 1 | 26 |

NEG

| Spkr | English Percel & Villalta | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1N | Cristofer Percel | 2 | 26 |
| 2N | Alex Villalta | 4 | 25 |

Winner: NMBCLA School/Team debating on the aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: Samuel Teixeira

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Damian
Refer to desegregated
Schools?
Poor response to social segregation
Cross ex? oddly worded

Cristofer
~~Poor response to social segregation~~
texting
- Spanish to black - incorrect
description

Alexia
Poor response to social
segregation
decent summary of ELL
concerns

Alex
Head on table - better posture
phone went off

PREDOMINANTLY

Room: 428 (Orange)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Flye, Andrew

Boston International Newcomers

Shaffer, Dylan

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | English Jawarakim & Guzm... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 3 | Imamou Jawarakim | 29 | 2 |
| 1 | Seylin Guzman | 27 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | EMK Abdi Hassan | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Abdi Hassan | 27.5 | 1 |

Winner: English debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ☒
School/Team
Signature: Dylan Shaffer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides had good arguments but they didn't address each other for the most part. AFF side won because they addressed more of Neg's arguments, while Neg a times ended up agreeing that housing was a problem of segregation. Neg would argue a point but not follow up on it or explain it further.

Room: 516 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Roper, Michael

O'Bryant

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Cadet & Nguyen | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Tracey Cadet | 27 | 3 |
| 1 | Nora Nguyen | 27 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | BGA Francois & Bruce | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Andy Francois | 28 | 2 |
| 2 | Grace Bruce | 28 | 1 |

Winner: BGA Francois & Bruce debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Michael Roper

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The negative argued that housing was root cause. Affirmative not able to over power that argument



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Abdi & Abdi | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Hoda Abdi | 29 | 1 |
| 1 | Abdiaziz Abdi | 28 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Ctown Mao & Betancor | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Hongting Mao | 27 | 4 |
| 1 | Jay Betancor | 29 | 2 |

Winner: BINCA debating on the Aff Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Rene Reyes

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Affirmative side argued that funding for integration was down now and that we needed to provide more efforts to incorporate diversity. The Negative argued that we should help out the community, but the Affirmative argued that education is more important + that by working together, we can move forward as a society + have less violence.

Room: 510 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice

3

Parent, JP



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | JQUS Han & Chen | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Susan Han | 29 | 2 |
| 1 | Jennifer Chen | 29 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | Ctown Wu & Huang | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Jia Yi Wu | 27 | 4 |
| 1 | Jiahai Huang | 28 | 3 |

Winner: JQUS Han & Chen debating on the Aff Low point win? no
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: JP

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Aff team stated their case w/ confidence and preparedness and at the end of their speeches they tied everything together w/ analytics.

The Neg team didn't do bad, but they need more confidence. They didn't feel comfortable reading their evidence so they left a lot of answers untouched.

Room: 530 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice

3



Paddock, Chris

Boston Latin

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Eastie Rivas & Oliva | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1AC | Angel Rivas | 25 | 4 |
| 2AC | Leslie Oliva | 25 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Bright Ogunsanwo & Cruz | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2NC | Phebean Ogunsanwo | 27 | 2 |
| 1NC | Thalia Cruz | 28 | 1 |

Winner: _____

School/Team

debating on the _____

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? _____

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~Aff~~ Overall The Neg did a Neg
better job in establishing
that Federal Money doesn't
solve for the root
cause of discrimination
and racism. T

A
L
AP argument really strong!
explain how money helps
do that.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Bustillo & Desir | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| ZA | Erik Bustillo | 26 | 3 |
| 1A | Lauwins Desir | 25 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Bright Vuelto Martinez & M... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1N | Celeste Vuelto Martinez | 27 | 2 |
| 2N | Britney Mendez | 29 | 1 |

Winner: Bright Vuelto Martinez debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: MM Power

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1AR Short summary but you on the right track. Try to keep talking you left 3:05 on the clock.

2AR: everyone deserves equality and enjoy access to good schools.

Bullying will help?
everyone will be equal.

Left 3:30 on the clock.

1NR: Housing ~~is~~ is a Larger problem over education.

Diversify the work force.

I think if you took notes on 1AC and 2AC you would have had more evidence and topics to rebut. Left 3:50 on the clock. You could have at least summarized your main ideas again.

2NR Returned to housing Segregation and summarized the main problem well. Explained well how simply funding schools is not enough. Social desegregation in school is not enough. good points. The money is not going to the right place. Left 2:54

Room: 102
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Doharty, Daniel

Fenway

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | OB Fabian & Huynh | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
| A1 | Rauly Fabian | 29 | 1 |
| A2 | Rachel Huynh | 27 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Tierney & Legerme | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| N1 | Steven Tierney | 28 | 2 |
| N2 | Hughens Legerme | 26 | 4 |

Winner: OB Fabian & Huynh debating on the AFF Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Daniel Doharty

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Both teams were very well prepared. I thought BINCA started off stronger, especially in their first CX, but OB made more concrete points in their closing rebuttals and didn't just rely on their side being the truth.
- Make sure you aren't talking to your partner when the other team is speaking



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Hender Vernet & Marc | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Sania Vernet | 28 | 1 |
| 2 | Talia Marc | 26 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Bright Desroches & Ponce | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Valerie Desroches | 28 | 2 |
| 1 | Giselle Ponce | 25.5 | 4 |

Winner: Henderson debating on the Aff Low point win? N
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: SMU

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great job! Some advice for next time:

Aff | • Try to counter their claim regarding the Department of Education not supporting the plan - really, it may be the Supreme Court, not Department of Ed, that could enforce the plan.
• Strong response to Metco, students feeling more comfortable in their neighborhoods
• Emphasized that it won't solve everything, but best option/better than doing nothing.

Neg | • Connect your point about neighborhoods and ~~and~~ students feeling uncomfortable in other, integrated schools to counter the affirmative's claim that discrimination causes depression & low self esteem.
• Strong rebuttal responding directly to points made by the affirmative → raised reasonable doubt that Plan could work.
• Reinforced importance of housing integration

Overall, the Aff dropped. fewer arguments - very close call. though!

Room: 529 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Campbell, Ebony

Charlestown

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | OB Bazin & Flaherty | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1A | George Bazin | 28 | 1 |
| 2A | Deaglan Flaherty | 27 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | Hender Velazquez & Dang | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2N | Ariadne Velazquez | 26 | 4 |
| 1N | Justin Dang | 27 | 3 |

Winner: OB debating on the Aff Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Elony Campbell

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Aff was able to give
good reasons for why the
plan is good whereas the
neg stumbled to answer
questions and give
context of why I should
vote neg.

Room: 531 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Avruch, Gabe

Edward M. Kennedy Academy For He

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Eastie Interinano & Laura | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1st | Brandy Interinano | 27 | 1 |
| 2nd | Shy-Anne Laura | 27 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | Hender Pimental & Lugay | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1st | Natalia Pimental | 27 | 3 |
| 2nd | Sterlyn Lugay | 26.5 | 4 |

Winner: EASTIE

School/Team

debating on the AFF

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- better presentation (eye contact, confidence)
- better rebuttals
-

Have org ready / organized, apply as needed

Rebuttals /

NEG

Natalia = can't force it, will wanna quit
→ this is about HUD + neighborhoods
growth are just a start, more \$ coming
- can't rush the process b/c of history
will lead to violence

Sterlyn =

AFF

Brandy - if we do nada, A gap stays as it is
all Americans have right to prop ed → pos. future
- acknowledged mutual affinity, but mixture
important for forming concepts of acceptance



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Henry & Morales | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Shemiah Henry | 28 | 1 |
| | Roxana Morales | 27 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | Eastie Mahmoud & Miranda | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Dina Mahmoud | 26 | 2 1 |
| | Kayla Miranda | 26 | 3 |

Winner: CTown Henry & Morales debating on the Affirmative Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Bryan Payton

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams did a great job articulating the issues of the other side. I felt CTown did a slightly better job at defending their arguments in questions from the other side.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | ^{RCC} NMBCLA Lopez & Patsauros | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Emely Lopez | 27 | 3 |
| 1 | Maggie Patsauros | 28 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | Eastie Jennifer Ramirez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1+2 | Jennifer Ramirez | 27 | 2 |

Winner: Eastie debating on the Neg Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Paula Grillo

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg. side convinced me that money wouldn't help schools become ~~more~~ less segregated because students will naturally self-segregate once placed in integrated schools, ~~and that SOC~~ ~~will feel uncomfortable being shipped out of our~~ environment. Also, neg. argument about how segregation w/in Neighborhoods + Communities needs to be addressed first wasn't fully countered by Aff.

However - affirmative Rebuttals made this a very close decision + came close to swaying me
Aff team really pulled together during 2 final speeches.

Room: 513 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Deondrade, Kami-

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Kaseem Grant | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Kaseem Grant | 30 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | BGA Eke & Nair | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Manny Eke | 27 | 2 |
| 1 | Megha Nair | 27 | 3 |

Winner: Ctown Kaseem Grant debating on the Aff Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Initially both teams showed that their ~~positions~~ positions were well researched, but as the debate progressed it became clear that the negative team was running out of arguments to make. Aff. team backed up his argument with each cross section which was great.

Room: 533 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice

3

Kalamu, Anita



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Bryan & Ismail | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Shanice Bryan | 29 | 2 |
| 2 | Mustafa Ismail | 28 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | JQUS Zhao & Duali | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Anna Zhao | 29 | 2 |
| 2 | Yahye Duali | 27 | 4 |

Winner: Ctown Bryan & Ismail debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: Although negative had a lot of research and seemed prepared, they also argued about other irrelevant issues like the wildfires in California and the hurricanes which had nothing to do with the K-12 argument.

Aff: Stayed on the topic the whole time.

Different social groups

○ Intergration - they're still going to be segregated.

Desegregation - doesn't affect wages.

Minority groups - receiving lower groups than received.

Mentally, Physically, Education
Segregation b/c of neighborhoods

Rebattler

(IF)

Won't solve but will help.

I'm a negative isn't an argument

DOJ???

Solve housing problems first.
Close wage gaps

* Neighborhood segregation.

Meets - failing students.

No diversity in staff.

Rebattler

Make new friends - harder

Get up earlier

Affirmative
Desegregation - Brown Vs. Board

INTERGRATION

Minority Schools

* More segregated

Help fund schools
* Teachers

[K-12]

Rebaffle

Schools don't have to unless a law is put in place.

+1 Affirmative.

Health Care?

Gov't should stay out
of private schools

Should the federal govt have more funds going to K-12?

[K-12]

Incarceration
Life expectancy lowered
health insurance

In the long run, it's helpful.

Rebaffle

Wage gap will always exist.
Go to school to learn

Negative Focusing more on social than
academic

Room: 512 (Red)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Mangue, Estafani^e

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | NMBCLA Deant & Cristallin | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2A | Dominique Deant | 29 | 23 |
| 1A | Hervins Cristallin | 27 | 14 |

NEG

| Spkr | OB Hea & Mohamed | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2N | Hurryra Hea | 30 | 41 |
| 1N | Aziza Mohamed | 30 | 32 |

Winner: OB Hea & Mohamed debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

They were very organized, knew their evidence well and spoke loudly and confidently.

Room: 527 (Purple)
Start: 1:00 PM
Novice



Stuppard, Tayo

Boston Latin

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Hender Le & Beatty | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Tony Le | 29 | 2 |
| 2 | Indigo Beatty | 28 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | NMBCLA Wolfe & Dulin | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Stella Wolfe | 29.5 | 1 |
| 1 | Kevon Dulin | 28 | 3 |

Winner: NMBCLA Wolfe & Dulin debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? YES NO

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Tayo Stuppard

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I voted Neg because they proved a significant number of disadvantages that come with school integration that weren't fully answered by the affirmative team. Even so, that was not the ^{main} reason I voted Neg. The Neg argued that residential desegregation is a prerequisite to school segregation because ~~home~~ segregated neighborhoods lead to segregated schools. This was not contested by the Aff.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Muñiz Carter & Gonzalez P... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Jordan Carter | 29 | 1 |
| | Xavier Gonzalez Pena | 28 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA Rocha & Casilla | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Richardo Rocha | 27 | 4 |
| | Juan Pablo Casilla | 27 | 3 |

Winner: Muniz debating on the Aff Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: J. Morgan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

From the start the affirmative team's plan was to get a financial incentive in order to support schools in becoming more integrated. they also stated the although student might not be comfortable from the start, being in a class setting will allow student of different ethnicity to experience diversity. Also in the end the negative team stated their plan is indeed the same as the affirmative team.

Room: 430 (Orange)
Start: 1:00 PM
Junior Varsity



alhamar, saad

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | NMBCLA Prince & Noor | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Bradley Prince | 27 | 3 |
| 2 | Aaba Noor | 28.5 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | Hender Andrade & Mao | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Tyler Andrade | 27 | 4 |
| 1 | Kanbe Mao | 27 | 2 |

Winner: NMBCLA Prince and Noor debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The winner Team English wasn't their first language and they seem that ~~that~~ they know a lot about the topic and made their research and their argument convince me



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Gillis & Herman-Krauss | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Aidan Gillis | 28.5 | 1 |
| 2 | Dakota Herman-Krauss | 27 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Westie Acquah & Davila | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Joseph Acquah | 28 | 2 |
| 2 | Adalberto Davila | 27.5 | 3 |

Winner: Westie debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Jennifer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams truly did a great job. I was very impressed.
~~Although the affirmative side argued that~~

The negative side showed that integration has many risks and ~~that~~ the affirmative side did not prove that their ^{specific} plan was worth those risks.

The negative side also showed that current problems are due to more than just ^{school} segregation (e.g., housing policies) and the affirmative side was unable to prove that their plan was still worth pursuing despite these other problems.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA De Leon & Bedoya | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Ashley De Leon | 24 | 3 |
| 1 | Yarleny Bedoya | 27 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Hender Carvalho & Francois | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Jocelyn Carvalho | 29 | 1 |
| 1 | Rebecca Francois | 26 | 2 |

Winner: Hender Carvalho & Francois debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: [Signature] Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I chose the negative side because I thought collectively they gave great points / examples on why the plan was not going to be as effective. Instead they offered that the Fed. Government focus on neighborhood and income segregation. Both Jocelyn and Rebecca came across as very confident in that their plan was overall more effective.

I feel all members of the debate could benefit from speaking louder and more persuasively.

Room: 414 (Green)
Start: 1:00 PM
Junior Varsity



Spaziani, Christo-

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

| AFF | | | |
|------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | EMK Shania Lynch | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| 1/2 | Shania Lynch | 26 | 2 |

| NEG | | | |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | Excel Rachenza Prosper | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| 1/2 | Rachenza Prosper | 27 | 1 |

Winner: EMK Shania Lynch debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ✓
School/Team
Signature: [Signatures]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

A F
good Reasoning
need to cite sources
Strong Neg Beh

Neg
Cites sources
good cross exam.
good questioning

The arguments presented by the AFF speaker were more applicable to the issue as found although somewhat incomplete

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | English Gordon & Osorio | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Lena Gordon | 27 | 2 |
| 2 | Antonio Osorio | 26.5 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | OB Gary & Ryan | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------|---------------------|------|
| 3 | Duwayne Gary | 26.5 | 4 |
| 4 | Lexxi Ryan | 27.5 | 1 |

Winner: OB Gary & Ryan debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: Loretta R. Richard

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I was extremely impressed by both teams. I gave the decision to the negative because they argued that the fundamental problem was housing, which needed the money, and not the schools. If housing is desegregated, the schools will necessarily become so. I thought the affirmative's argument that desegregation is harmful was strong, but they did not clearly articulate how the funds would be applied that would improve the schools. The negative filled in the gap, arguing that the expenditures would not be for busing and other harmful acts.

Excellent cross examinations



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Cox & Goodknight | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Sadijah Cox | 27.0 | 3 |
| 2 | Alex Goodknight | 26.5 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Westie Jimoh & Durand | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Mustapha Jimoh | 27.5 | 2 |
| | Winda Durand | 27.5 | 1 |

Winner: Westie debating on the Neg Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The neg. team won because they supported their case with more evidence such as color teacher pipeline, graduation motivation, Residential seg.

The aff. did not present enough evidence to support and counter the neg.

Room: 429 (Orange)
Start: 1:00 PM
Junior Varsity

3

Benoit, Vanessa



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Darius & Hernandez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Ricardo Darius | 25 | 4 |
| 2 | Yadiel Hernandez | 26 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | BLA Taub & Goober | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Ezra Taub | 25 | 3 |
| 2 | Vicente Goober | 26 | 1 |

Winner: BLA School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: Vanessa Benoit

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- lots of evidence and examples of other people supporting the argument.
- They were able to elaborate on topic/arguments
- lots of eye contact
- introduced themselves



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Hender Van & Gillis | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Anthony Van | 26 | 3 |
| 2 | David Gillis | 26 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | OB Darouanou & Mahamud | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Ayoub Darouanou | 26.5 | 2 |
| 1 | Mohamed Mahamud | 26.5 | 1 |

Winner: OB Darouanou & Mahamud debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
School/Team
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Aff plan was to increase financial incentives for schools to integrate. The Neg both attacked this plan by explaining why neighborhood segregation is a priority / more salient in the long term & how the integration does not solve for the issues of segregation bc it persists even after funding is applied. B/c the neg both established why funding & address the priority (ie. harmful neighborhood segregation) and why the Aff didn't solve for status quo problems.
I voted Neg.
3) Give priorities
Takeaways for both teams:
1) Need to identify what you're trying to solve for 2) bridge the gap between how your counter args (ie. use "because statements")
and say why they outweigh your opponents arguments apply to their



Medgine Joseph

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Thomas & Ali | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Malaysia Thomas | 27.5 | 3 |
| 1 | Khalid Ali | 27 | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | Bright Diggs & Reid | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Richard Diggs | 27.5 | 2 |
| 1 | Kenomes Reid | 28 | 1 |

Winner: BRIGHT DIGGS & REID debating on the NEG Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Medgine Joseph

Comments & Reason for Decision:

ALTHOUGH BOTH TEAMS DID AN INCREDIBLE JOB,
THE NEG. TEAM WAS ABLE TO THOROUGHLY EXPLAIN
WHY THE AFF. TEAM'S PLAN WOULD BE A
TERRIBLE IDEA. THE NEG. TEAM MENTIONED
HOW STATISTICS FROM PREVIOUS STUDIES HAVE
SHOWN LITTLE TO NO IMPROVEMENTS WHEN
IT COMES TO DESEGREGATION. THEIR REASONING
AS TO WHY THE AFF. TEAM'S PLAN WOULD NOT WORK
WAS ~~WAS~~ CARRIED OUT THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE
DEBATE ROUND...

NICE WORK, TEAMS.
KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!



Room: 432 (Orange)
Start: 1:00 PM
Junior Varsity



Ferrara, Andreina

Alex Papagno

Danee, Grady

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.

Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Coleman & Joseph | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2 | Tyerohn Coleman | 28 | 1 |
| 1 | Max Joseph | 27 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | English Semedo & Perryman | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 1 | Leilani Semedo | 27 | 2 |
| 2 | Aaliyah Perryman | 26 | 4 |

Winner: Melissa Tyerohn & Max debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Grady

Comments & Reason for Decision:

THE AFFIRMATIVE PLAN WAS WELL-PRESENTED AND
CONSISTENT THROUGHOUT THE DEBATE. THE
NEGATIVE ARGUMENT DID NOT DISPROVE THE
EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PLAN AND AT TIMES
SEEMED TO SUPPORT IT.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

| AFF | | | |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | OB Gregorio Gomez & Lewis | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| 1 | Rosa Gregorio Gomez | 26 | 3 |
| 2 | Myesha Lewis | 27.5 | 1 |

| NEG | | | |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| Spkr | EMK Carty & Hidalgo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
| 2 | Jeremiah Carty | 24.5 | 4 |
| 1 | Zowy Hidalgo | 27 | 2 |

Winner: OB Gregorio Gomez and Lewis debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ☒
School/Team
Signature: Hammad

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

• The Affirmative team were more prepared even though ~~the~~ they spread the info. read the info.
Myesha stood out, she was calm, collected and had clear point which she backed up. She also challenged the points made by the other team directly.

NEG

The Negative team were less clear on where they stand. Zowy tried her best and kept the team afloat however Jeremiah looked extremely not interested in the topic, he did make a bare minimum effort.
* one thing that stood out to me about Zoey is that she would ask a question during her speech as in to grab attention and then answers it



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Brhane & Atenor | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Yorsalem Brhane | | 3 |
| 2 | Vanessa Atenor | | 4 |

NEG

| Spkr | TecBos James & Canales | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| | GeMeisha James | | 1 |
| | Christian Canales | | 2 |

Winner: Tech Boston debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
School/Team
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Negative won this round based on two key factors:

- ① Polish in presentation of arguments → Speakers were clear in the way they summarized and connected evidence to their position. For example, both speakers had success with varying speed and moving from the pathos or emotional appeal to the academic tone. They demonstrated and explained nuances in the evidence - particularly the understanding the key distinction between research that proves something is not working and research that fails to prove that it is.
- ② Use of time - team was prepared to use almost all of the allotted time in order to make their arguments - not "running out of steam."

The neg took away from their own effectiveness by attacking the other side's knowledge or understanding - and by talking/laughing while other team was speaking.

Comments for the aff. are attached! Great round - I was excited to see some very strong reversals and original arguments. Debaters used their personal experiences sparingly but effectively.

Vanessa - Strong clarity or 1 Ac - Organization weaker than clarity

for IAR - Connect strong
- Breaks back the mental health issue, to education on more neighborhood argument -> pointing to education on some powerful (nice reference) powerful connection, why do we care about it now complex issues.

Yorubalen - Strong clarity, weaker on organization, Excellent summary

2AF - Great connection on opening of this speech. The mindset has not changed. Interesting argument on why this has not changed. [The answer to Trump's argument] Hard to follow the shifting law argument.

Christmas - Clarity strong as well as quick, which is difficult! Trump argument took away from clarity, and you spent a lot of time there. Great persuasive strategy even with IAR by starting w/ question, but connection is weakened by attacking preparation of other side.

Jamesha - Strong clarity and presentation of evidence. Only fell down on the devos argument

on 2N - Responded to mental health issues (6 years - enforce their morals?) No evidence provided as to whether or not people will steal in order to support families. We turn on the time issue - if it didn't work then, why will it work now? (could have about time, which again gets into attacking what other team knows or understands), rather than what they want other team know or supported.

IAR Christian

Asked me? - Do you trust the plan? In 62 years, could have done something, but didn't makes no sense to implement a plan now, they couldn't answer 5th question. Didn't seem to understand the neg. argument. Brought in personal exp. in effectively, but changed other group's understanding. arg. was problem with preparation. A little bit hard to understand the flow of your argument. Information issue in time of articles is interesting. Affirmed & claimed that Aff suggested business, which I'm not sure they did.

Version 1 IAC - excellent clarity - at good moments looking up and emphasizing your point, e.g. "not just racism - actually making people sick!"

How has school integration shifted?
only white in white n. → increasing seg.

It's already been like this, but how has it shifted?
Because we didn't take Brown seriously.

Does it make them sick if they are with kids who look like them?

Will it be worse for mental health if there are lots of white people?

⇒ Diversity means groups of dif. cultures + values.

Christian MC - Very clear + quick descriptions of arguments, 2 and 3. Lost the thread w/ Trump - not making it clear how this connects to arguments.

Cross - N to A
• How does destruction of democracy connect? If you are taking federal funds for schools, how can you trust him to actually give those to schools?
• How can you desegregate neighborhoods?
• What does housing have to do with anything?

2AC Verstehen - Integration does solve for harms - courts were unsuccessful → this plan will make Brown v Board real.
Lacks some clarity - road map did not tell me enough about where you were going. - Incorporation of Aff makes more evidence on harms - If not now, when? Great summary of this argument.
Try or die - Plan is Prison card - Plan is

Ms 1

CX

N to A

Why do you choose to do something now?

Because of all in bad outcomes.

Why did you not do more between 1964 and 2015?

• Not on our plate - not about the past.

Aff team does a great job of answering cross X questions.

• Anything more - ask only about the evidence.

2NC

Jameisha

① Plan can't solve housing issues.

right now, major issue is neighborhoods, not in schools.

deseg neighborhoods must happen first.

good job of explaining this card.

② Increased desegregation does not solve.

③ Racial prov. inevitable. No firm conclusions about the impact of deseg on racism. No clear adv. to black & brown students to deseg. Nice job clarifying.

Hasn't shown anything clear.

④ de Vos will make the problem worse.

CX A to N

Respond to de Vos argument - nice response! Very interesting reverse here. Question based on this. Interesting - but got off case a little bit. Lost time here.

Excellent N answer to opp. question.

Christian did respond to a question with another question.

Room: 322
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity



Vo, Triet

Excel

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Ibrahim & Clinton | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2 | Abdullahi Ibrahim | 30 | 2 |
| 1 | Shayne Clinton | 29 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Willie Gomez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 1/2 | Willie Gomez | 30 | 1 |

Winner: Muniz Willie Gomez debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The neg wins because:
aff drops their plan ~~that~~
- fail to solve the problem



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | TecBos Irish & Sheriff | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Malik Irish | 28 | 1 |
| 2 | Aminata Sheriff | 27.5 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | BLA Bloch-jones & Potter | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Owen Bloch-jones | 27 | 3 |
| 2 | Finian Potter | 27 | 4 |

Winner: B2A School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Y
Signature: David Duehren

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neg: why not argue topicality? Why allow Aff to frame debate?
L2 brought up in rebuttal → New Arg. not allowed.
- Neg: Argued that capitalism is true cause of discri. doesn't have to include a counterplan. Bring it thru rebuttal.
- Aff: Be able to argue topicality. Saying you want to debate another topic won't work w/ me and I suspect other judges.
- Aff: Need evidence (A) when/how has advocacy worked in the past.
(B) Is better than Status Quo.
- Neg: Could Argue there's plenty of Advocacy already → Black lives Matter, the NFL Protest, etc. otherwise it's just a Red feel good solution? not efficacious.
- Neg: I think counterplan was an unnecessary distraction. Evidence says discri. is built into the ~~econ~~ economic system supported by the govt. It's not just attitudes that must change.

R For Dec I vote neg on solvency. Quote Iron IAR
"Vote Aff if we solve all the problems." Also burden of proof of solvency is on Aff & not shown.

INR

- changed what we're discussing.
- Plan doesn't solve activism won't change for of govt.
- Contemplation → change govt entirely.
- ?

IAR

- + Advocate for to solve disenfranchisement
- + within resolution → education.
- + Dropped case.
- Policies in history.
- + Agree w/ framework →
- Vote Aff ~~is~~ isn't
- "we solve all problems"

2NR

- Aff. contribution
- Aff. isn't about the resolve.
- want to change govt.
- spreading the word is not an effective way to stop bias
- Arguments.

if socialism → could work

2AR

Neg. dropped ^{our} arg. we do not agree w/ Resolution.

There is power thru advocacy.

People are mis-educated and mis-led.

How does socialism solve the problem.

Debate is perfect space to get started.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Nibert & Pontes | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Finn Nibert | 27 | 3 |
| 2 | Jesse Pontes | 28 | 1 |

NEG

| Spkr | NMBCLA Diallo & Nguyen | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2 | Asiatou Diallo | 26 | 4 |
| 1 | Dilon Nguyen | 27 | 2 |

Winner: BLA N&P debating on the Aff. Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Michael P. Boudett

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Aff. team convinced me that their plan, while it may not have full solvency, would result in incremental benefits to society. The Neg. team did an effective job presenting housing patterns and inherent racism as solvency barriers, but Aff. did enough to rebut these. Neg. could have probably pushed harder on these issues, ~~and~~ ~~sp~~ with better evidence, rather than pushing on the capitalism arguments.

Our society is divided into school districts, in the s.g. Both sides could have focused more on ~~if~~ whether the Aff. plan was intra-district, interdistrict, or called for federal abolishment of school districts. This would have huge solvency and DA implications, either way.



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Mei & Wong | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Steven Mei | 26.5 | 3 |
| 2 | Kakit Wong | 27 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Williams & Contreras | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Tyler Williams | 27 | 1 |
| 2 | Ashley Contreras | 26.5 | 4 |

Winner: BLA debating on the Aff Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: J Campbell

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg extends no offense, only Solvency mitigation. Aff points out that there's no risk to trying the plan, relying on minor improvements to education (and thus health care and the economy) to gain a comparative advantage. Thus, I vote Aff for a chance to solve

Room: 206
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity



~~Grady, Danee~~
Alexandr Papagno

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Darius Satchebell | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| M | Darius Satchebell | 26 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | BINCA De Jesus & Narcisse | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Q2 | Carolyn De Jesus | 29 | 1 |
| Q1 | Christelle Narcisse | 28.5 | 2 |

Winner:

BINCA

School/Team

debating on the

neg
Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

Signature:

Alexandr M Papagno

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The negative presented a well-thought out counterplan and demonstrated that there was no added benefit to including the federal government in the implementation of education policy. The affirmative spoke clearly and well but ultimately ~~was~~ provided less detail and ~~strong~~ evidence to support the plan/permutation.

Suggestions:

affirmative: add more detail to arguments.

negative: even if you're not confident, fake it!

try challenging their argument that the federal gov. is racist - ~~isn't~~ aren't state governments racist too?

Room: 300
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity



Moynihan, Marga-
Westie

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Thompson & Figueiredo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2AC | Nia Thompson | 2 | 27.5 |
| 1AC | Myllena Figueiredo | 1 | 28 |

NEG

| Spkr | EMK Amari Mathieu | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Amari Mathieu | 3 | 27.5 |

Winner: BLA debating on the AFF Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Margaret M. Moynihan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Neg ran a CP Privatization. The Aff defended the Privates argument is the Net Benefit. Ultimately they found this issue as a net benefit kids v. profit. The Neg claimed that CP would create more money to spend on extra ~~cost~~ to help homeless children. The Aff was convinced in bring up. Contracture is bad; overruns and loopholes - allows for only private business to benefit.

Room: 202 (Near Windows)
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity

3



Dibinga, Mary

Boston Latin

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | NMBCLA Joseph & Ramirez | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2 | Daniel Joseph | 28 | 4 |
| 1 | Aleene Ramirez | 28 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Gonzalez & Melo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 1 | Gessel Gonzalez | 28 | 2 |
| 2 | Cindy Melo | 28 | 1 |

Winner: Alex Muniz debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Lack of impact on Aff at
end (more feedback on Google Doc.

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Iqbal & Durand | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Hasna Iqbal | 29 | 3 1 |
| 2 | Alandya Durand | 27 | 1 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Guerrero & Wade | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Ashley Guerrero | 26 | 4 |
| 2 | Josiah Wade | 28 | 2 |

Winner: BLA

School/Team

debating on the

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? N

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

not a lot of clash until 1 AR.
Neg should make some comment about
Humb and inherency, even if using a CP.
Neg did not convince me that
their CP was better at solving
disaster and re-segregation. ∴ Aff wins.

Room: 208
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity



Davis, Richard

Tech Boston

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BINCA Mohamed Somane | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | Mohamed Somane | 28 | 2 |

NEG

| Spkr | Muñiz Rosado & Valenzuela | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Jonathan Rosado | 28 | 1 |
| 2 | Stiven Valenzuela | 27 | 3 |

Winner: BINCA debating on the Aff Low point win? yes
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote Aff
I think States don't have enough economic Resources to do the plan & I By the Aff's Argument that States will be implementing, this over powers the No Solvency Arguments the Neg didn't extend & Aff didn't answer for me because the finding in the evidence did not Articulate in the Round problems w/ federal funding w/ State oversight

Room: 301
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity



Ford, Kendra

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Valenzuela & Manzanillo | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1AC | Sleither Valenzuela | 26 | 4th |
| 2AC | Sayraliz Manzanillo | 28 | 1st |

NEG

| Spkr | NMBCLA Jean Francois & D... | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 2NC | Denzer Jean Francois | 26.5 | 3rd |
| 1NC | Jakhi Dean | 27.5 | 2nd |

Winner: NMBCLA debating on the Neg Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

ADP Team: With more clarity comes a more concrete foundation and wall against any attacks. The Neg. team wasted your time by getting stuck on definitions when you could have been re-affirming the disastrous consequences of income equality. I wanted more passion and energy! This is your education system! However, the Neg. team did not nail allocation. Even if ~~the~~ certain parts of the country gets adequate funding there is still need. Income inequality, + lack of equitable distribution.
Neg Team: clear and organized I appreciated the flow of arguments.

Comments

Neg. I enjoyed the retort on Team Civic Engagement that was very well done. The Aff. team did not understand your point that Civic Engagement is actually up because of anti-Trump sentiment regardless of funding. However, allocation was tricky. I appreciate your energy and conviction. But I did not hear any points addressing the harms of income inequality. Despite certain parts of the U.S. receiving adequate funding and not allocating it correctly there is still need. There is need for regulation and reform on better distribution / allocation on funds. The Aff wanted to correct the distribution of funds on top of increasing funding. Not necessarily throwing money at the problem which



If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | BLA Abdulkadir & Joseph | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|---------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | Kureysha Abdulkadir | 27.5 | 2 |
| 2 | Ebunoluwa (Esther) Joseph | 27 | 3 |

NEG

| Spkr | Bright Lea Barros | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1/2 | Lea Barros | 28 | 1 |

Winner: ~~BLA~~ Bright Lea debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I think the team did a better job at showing how ~~the~~ the issues presented in this round was being solved better. I think overall both teams agreed with the issues but the neg was able to prove why the plan wasn't going to be effective.

Both teams had strong arguments so keep in mind on what we talked about and you all will be phenomenal!

Room: 306
Start: 1:00 PM
Varsity



McLeod, Davian

Boston Latin

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

| Spkr | Ctown Liang & Falcon | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|----------------------|-----------------------|------|
| 2 | Wenle Liang | | |
| 1 | Zaiana Falcon | | |

NEG

| Spkr | NMBCLA Sanchez & Gossop | POINTS (24 - 30) | RANK |
|------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| | Dionellys Sanchez | | |
| | Danelia Gossop | | |

Winner: Ctown Liang & Falcon debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg ~~Forfeit~~
Forfeit