

# BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

AFF *2:19*

Spkr	Westie/BLA Grillo & Dibinga	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
<i>1A</i>	Paula Grillo	<i>27</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>2A/1A</i>	Mary Dibinga	<i>29</i>	<i>1</i>

NEG *1 min 2 min 3 min 3.55 2:25*

Spkr	NMBCLA/Westie Reyes & M...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
<i>2N</i>	Rene Reyes	<i>28.5</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>1N</i>	Margaret Moynihan	<i>29</i>	<i>3</i>

Winner: NMBCLA/Westie Reyes debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Dannie Brice

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*came down to how does aff solve ?*

*LoB (neg won)*

*Framework (aff won)*

*Case (aff & solve, neg shows plan & work, harms & significant)*

# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

## Constructives

- 1AC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 1NC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 2AC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 2NC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐

## Rebuttals

- 1NR (3 min.) ☐
- 1AR (3 min.) ☐
- 2NR (3 min.) ☐
- 2AR (3 min.) ☐

**Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.**

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

*Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.*

Room: Somerset 218  
Start: 12:45 PM  
Friday HS JV/Varsity

2

Rosa, Josh

## BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

*4 min*  
AFF

Spkr	The Best Campbell & Thomas	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Jake Campbell	2	29
1	Alicia Thomas	3	28

NEG

Spkr	The Best Richard Davis	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Richard Davis	29	1

Winner: The Best Richard Davis debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

## Constructives

1AC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1NC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2AC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2NC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Rebuttals

1NR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
1AR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2NR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2AR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

1A Speaker:

MICIA

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

1N Speaker:

RICHARD

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

2A Speaker:

JAKE

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

2N Speaker:

RICHARD

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

## Affirmative Arguments

## Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

FW:

PLAT is not the solution & counter ROB = policy makers.

idealization → actualization  
as you have to think and talk about most things before anything is done.

FW:

ROB: not buying richards book  
my rebuttal

care: The US govt. completely  
is a good thing b/c it's  
a good way to break down  
the foundation of anti-black/  
colonial institutions.

grounds: PLAT doesn't work in  
the real world; the judge  
voting for the plan doesn't  
automatically give benefits

## BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

### AFF

Spkr	Hender/Umana Barlow & B...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Lilly Barlow 1sp	29	1
	Julia Brasser 2sp	28	2

### NEG

Spkr	Freder/Everett Caruso & Ca...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Gregory Caruso 2sp	26	2
	Marc Canillas 1sp	27	3

Winner: AFF/Hender/Umana Barlow & B... debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Mohamed Somane

Comments & Reason for Decision:

so I ended up voting on the soundness of the AFF and inherency extension because stem education is really important in life. Increase economy and protect us from cyber attacks

# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

## Constructives

- 1AC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 1NC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 2AC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 2NC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐

## Rebuttals

- 1NR (3 min.) ☐
- 1AR (3 min.) ☐
- 2NR (3 min.) ☐
- 2AR (3 min.) ☐

**Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.**

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

*Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.*

# BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

## AFF

Spkr	OB/Excel Roper & Montissol	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Michael Roper	26	3
	Fabrice Montissol	24	1

## NEG

Spkr	Freder/JQUS Lynn & Peng	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Ruthie Lynn	28	2
	Zhuo Peng - Sarah	25	4

Winner: Freder/JQUS Lynn & Peng debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Kim Willingham. Please do not start until all judges are present.

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

the neg provided strong tangible evidence that the federal doesn't need to throw money at the problem. And asked questions from the affr. to provide specific evidence.

eg. An interest in stem is decreasing & there's only 7% of jobs in the US. They need to focus on what's wrong w/ the current stem programs now and increase interest in stem.

Overall, there is an inadequacy in education so we need to focus on the current problem instead of ~~staying~~ <sup>throwing</sup> ~~it~~.

• global stem careers are globally decreasing

# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.  
**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

## Constructives

1AC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 min
1NC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8:58
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30
2AC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	secs
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 min
2NC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	secs

## Rebuttals

1NR (3 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 min
1AR (3 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 min
2NR (3 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 min
2AR (3 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 min

Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

**1A Speaker:**

Michael Roper (13)

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

**comment:** background knowledge strong  
is interesting

**1N Speaker:**

Guohua Zhu (4)

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

**comment:** Maintain status quo

**2A Speaker:**

Fabrice (2)

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

**comment:** test is a woman in US; stem ed in engineering she was ship course

**2N Speaker:**

Rushie (1)

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

**comment:** In best is stem across countries is not being sustained (evidence)

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

## Affirmative Arguments

## Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

no adequate access to stem education  
Global stem talent is growing  
education fails to develop students who are future stem leaders.  
US stem workers ↓  
1.8 m stem jobs by 2018  
is unfilled  
workforce doesn't know what to take & fill them.  
US competitiveness harm?  
Cyber security harm  
could be addressed  
Can't hide US hostage vulnerability → nuclear war  
Plan: + science, tech, engineering  
of US (stem ed. priority)  
Key to Cyber security

new technology don't always create jobs. jobless innovation  
is doing require many ppl  
- tech employers have experienced employment decreased (1990 silicon valley)  
- 10 years in china for every US employee  
- rising employment benefits.  
- US can absorb resources like for others.  
- inflated threat to pose threats against US  
- cyber attacks don't threaten our existence as far from nuclear war.  
- no one dying from cyber attack  
lack of significance harm.  
competitiveness & lack of innovation.  
competitiveness crisis.

increase stem education = catalytic 50 sec. is doesn't shift maximal structure

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.



# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

## Constructives

1AC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1NC (6 min.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2AC (6 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2NC (6 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Rebuttals

1NR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
1AR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2NR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2AR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

## Affirmative Arguments

## Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Solvency & fund med.  
↳ backbone of ed. ↳ by studies  
don't have access to Adequate  
STEM ed. (fill jobs, by med.)  
- ed is critical to competitiveness,  
job placement. iPhone, Tesla,  
US companies use driving force  
innovation → symbolizes the  
accomplishment of quality  
STEM ed.

cyber security is - other ?  
must be addressed.

checked back = cyber attack  
jobs in STEM ↑ then reg. jobs  
generate new ideas & companies  
& transferred to other fields  
done for STEM jobs, ed needed.

cyber attacks not infrequent - war  
& cripple US  
impact outweighs other concerns  
plan to be better prepared

US competitive → relative power  
shifts are slow  
+ security more protection military  
work is low  
decline in expenditures on  
military  
↳ lack of growth  
weak education in general not  
just the US

global STEM careers ↓  
business, law, social science ↑

Have it yielded statistics even  
when security fund is in place

problem exacerbated by  
good programs w/ no  
clear goals / plan

STEM = 7% of jobs (major, minor)  
↳ alternatives lowers down  
the power

1. students &  
access to STEM  
at early age.  
↳ increase in STEM  
all around the world  
↳ in shift in other  
field like social  
sciences & business  
STEM jobs in high  
growth sectors  
new tech.  
tech = status quo.

step back &  
look at what's wrong  
w/ the STEM program  
we have now to get to  
better workers before  
start. To + then  
we can do it.  
employers in  
labs)

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.



# BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

AFF

Spkr	OB/Excel Roper & Montissol	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Michael Roper	28	3
	Fabrice Montissol	28	1

NEG

Spkr	Freder/JQUS Lynn & Peng	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Ruthie Lynn	28	2
	Zhuo Peng	27	4

Winner: Freder/JQUS Lynn & Peng debating on the Neg School/Team Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Agostinha Depina. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1AC - inherency - STEM because of US comp  
inadeq. stem ed.  
need for STEM talent - nation knew + redoubled  
doesn't i.e. not talented stud.  
STEM announced not enough to fill jobs  
US 27%  
competitiveness harm - STEM was critical  
1st -  
2nd -  
3rd -  
4th -

Rebuttal neg  
shows how access  
to STEM decreased in  
several other countries  
not in STEM but  
mix in lower fields;  
STEM was higher in middle  
income countries  
tech has become startup  
good - they want to go into  
market not mkt. &  
make better use of  
what we have

Debate abt  
being just  
training @ pms.  
but it's not adeq.  
the type of edu.  
but adeq. - lack  
of interest if not  
prepped -  
↑ STEM ties  
so we can be @  
forefront of ed.

but we're not  
so better prep  
for home

Rebuttal - aff  
Believe STEM is adeq. - opp.  
mentioned keeping with not mentioned  
critical = adeq. primary industry  
es. key - has not sustained  
w/o it  
- told us what opp. said but  
showed how his evid. was contradictory  
against what - cybersec. stem workforce  
- there is a but not adeq. - tech.  
in STEM is high and must prepare  
for future consequences



5-1=4- .5 = 3.5-1.5 = 2-1 = 1  
 5- .5 = 4.5- .5 = 4-2 = 2-2 = 0

# Coach Camp 2017

Speaker Points are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.  
**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: *Michael Koper*

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

1N Speaker: *Sarah*

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

2A Speaker: *Fabrice*

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

2N Speaker: *Kurtie*

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

STEM will gen. new ideas  
 controversy  
 cyber sec. harm must be  
 addressed 3 - US vulnerable  
 to attack

main threat

Plan - US ↑ inv. in STEM

soluency - tech educ. key; ↑ &  
 will increase STEM ed @  
 all levels - fed. funds

Cross X

Be more spec. abt major powers  
 have sign. growing abilities to  
 harm us

Can you give spec. example  
 instead of conjecture abt  
 cyber  
 → give evid abt elect

Brown in US & body in  
 China; yes (status quo)

Cross X

Explain which. Tesla is direct  
 & intervening claim - Tesla & comp will

opponent claimed not enough STEM  
 create jobs - evidence to  
 who critical for US econ, not  
 case b/c new tech don't always  
 create jobs - jobs innovation that  
 don't req. many ppl - felt by 1990  
 in silicon valley; apple employees  
 10 China emp for every one in US  
 results from globalization  
 US econ structure great in abt.  
 innovations + china is not - 80% of  
 health made up intel.

cyber threat alarmist - they don't threaten  
 on existenc 2015 - overestimated  
 → china, n Korea + Russia show  
 willingness to interfere w/ US -  
 next election; dom. process under  
 attack from outside enter + us

- CIA has direct evidence,  
 common knowledge

Cross X

you mentioned innovation not needed  
 for econ. to thrive (we can go to China,  
 Japan, etc.) what phase does shoke? You want to maintain the status quo

cyber sec. is threat must be addressed  
 election hacked; STEM helps drive  
 innov. + can be threatened to m. of others

## Constructives

1AC (6 min.) ☒  
 CX (2 min.) ☒  
 1NC (6 min.) ☒  
 CX (2 min.) ☒  
 2AC (6 min.) ☒  
 CX (2 min.) ☒  
 2NC (6 min.) ☒  
 CX (2 min.) ☒

## Rebuttals

1NR (3 min.) ☒  
 1AR (3 min.) ☒  
 2NR (3 min.) ☒  
 2AR (3 min.) ☒

Each team has 5  
 minutes of total  
 prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

need for more STEM  
 jobs more educ.  
 cyber inflation not  
 inflated - adeq stem  
 ed. w/ better cyber  
 sec. - fund + support  
 STEM ed. ↑ to fed. ed.  
 plan. + invest ed. -  
 w/ prim + invest ed. -  
 to produce adeq ed.

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

no death  
 2AC  
 extend n further  
 inherency + harm  
 soluency  
 - stem ed. better  
 of us comp. but  
 status quo - sh.  
 don't have access  
 we can't fill  
 jobs w/ adeq  
 educ.  
 TESLA, Apple  
 driving up  
 co. of innovator  
 + a qual. STEM ed.

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.

Room: Somerset 318  
Start: 12:45 PM  
Friday MS JV/Varsity

2

McLeod, Davian

## BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

### AFF

Spkr	Hurley/YA Sullivan & Roberts	POINTS ( 24 - 30 )	RANK
2	Helen Sullivan	28	4
1	Omega Roberts	29	1

### NEG

Spkr	MisHil/Hurley Brown & Gon...	POINTS ( 24 - 30 )	RANK
2	Cleata Brown	28.5	2
1	Karen Gonzalez	28	3

Winner: MisHil / Hurley Brown & Gonzalez debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO  
School/Team  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

## Constructives

1AC (6 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
1NC (6 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2AC (6 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2NC (6 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
CX (2 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Rebuttals

1NR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
1AR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2NR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
2AR (3 min.)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

5:00  
4:50  
3:03  
1:50

## Neg Prep Time

5:00  
3:00  
0:00

### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.

## BDL COACH CAMP TOURNAMENT

Aug 14 - 18, 2017

ALL DEBATERS AND JUDGES PLEASE CHECK IN WITH TABROOM BEFORE LUNCH TO CONFIRM PARTICIPATION IN ROUND 2.

### AFF

Spkr	Fenway/Muñiz Mejia & Go...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2A	Antonelli Mejia	28	1
1A	Willie Gomez	28	2

### NEG

Spkr	Muñiz De la Rosa & Diaz	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1N	Francisco De la Rosa	28	3
2N	Stephanie Diaz	29	4

Winner: Negative debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

We voted Neg because AFF  
Attacked Point on neg (IEP, Andrew)  
had no change leading to AFFS  
Plan not leading to change.  
the main Point!

# Coach Camp 2017

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

- ~~Low~~ low access to education causes poverty

- Stat quo causes no change

- Poverty causes poverty

- Status quo causes little change

## Constructives

- 1AC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 1NC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 2AC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐
- 2NC (6 min.) ☐
- CX (2 min.) ☐

## Rebuttals

- 1NR (3 min.) ☐
- 1AR (3 min.) ☐
- 2NR (3 min.) ☐
- 2AR (3 min.) ☐

Each team has 5 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

5:00

## Neg Prep Time

5:00

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.