

Room: Wheeler 224
 Start: 8:00 AM
 Open Parli

1

Jessa, Ariana
 154 - ~~Marembedz~~

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Guggilla...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2nd	Nidhir Guggilla	27	3
1st	Serena Zhang	27.5	2

OPP			
Spkr	Berkeley Burgmann &...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1st	Kai Burgmann	28	1
2nd	Jacques Lybarger-Martel	26.5	4

Winner: Berkeley Burgmann debating on the Opp Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? Y/N

School/Team
 Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

When in conflict doctors should value patient conf over info abt communicable diseases

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

rebuttal

Room: Dwinelle 235
 Start: 8:00 AM
 Open Parli

1

175 - Martinus, Pa-

Menlo Park-Hillsborough


CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Crystal Springs Uplands H...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Caroline Huang		
	Tara Alizadeh		

OPP			
Spkr	Washington Dhillon & Gatt...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Harneet Dhillon	27	4
	Shruthi Gattapally	28.5	2

Winner: Gov School/Team debating on the _____ Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Irvington	29	1
Ashka Patel	27.5	3

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Menlo Park Kepner & Kenk...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
1	Harrison Kepner	27	3
2	Vedant Kenkare	28	1

OPP

Spkr	San Marin Turner & Jonas...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
3	James Turner	27	2
4	Elise Jonas-Delson	27	4

Winner: Menlo Park Kepner debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Tejal

Comments & Reason for Decision:

① fact given; less confident but calm; not conviced way
 ② Good thoughts Speech

③ Wood street. Argument not clear. Affidant good.

④ Better arguments this time

① Strong arguments. Compelling points. A bit rushed but very good overall.

④ Weak in speaking but very good points

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Very Close Debate!
 Both teams good!

Room: Dwinelle 263
 Start: 8:00 AM
 Open Parli

1

179 Bajaj, Vatsal
 114 - Khan, Banafs-

Goldberg Academy of Verbal Pugil

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Irvington Batra & Kharbanda	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Sesha Batra	27	3
	Ishi Kharbanda	27	4

OPP

Spkr	Bentley Pracar & Booth	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Devin Pracar	29	1
	Ryan Booth	28	2

→ member
 → leader

Winner: Bentley Pracar and Booth debating on the Opp Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? x

Signature: Vatsal

Comments & Reason for Decision: Opposition provided proof for almost all the claims my made is aginsd the Govt.

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Washington Jawahar & Raj...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Shiranthi Jawahar	29	
2	Sanjana Rajesh	28.5	

OPP			
Spkr	Menlo Park Chu & Sharma	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Andrew Chu	29	
1	Rajeev Sharma	27	

Winner: Washington JR debating on the GOV Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Trust in doctors controls the JL to saving lives and patients can still voluntarily disclose, which takes out all NEG impacts

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech; with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

AFF:
 make weighing mech more clear
 learn to read each other's handwriting
 MG spends WAY too much time on case.
 can't use PDI's to make arguments

NEG:
 spend more time answering case
 changing criterion doesn't help
 don't worry about whether you're topical - you don't have to prove it as NEG
 I like your impact framing

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017


GOV

Spkr	Irvington Ganguli & Sanghvi	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Reetam Ganguli	29	2
2	Isha Sanghvi	30	1

OPP

Spkr	Washington Selvakumar &...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Sriram Selvakumar	28	4
2	Sanjeevram Duraivelu	28	3

Winner: IRVINGTON debating on the GOV Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Gov. Team: Good confidence & contentions.

Opp. Team: Try to get stats. ~~the topic was~~ talk in more confidence.

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Room: Wheeler 220
Start: 8:00 AM
Open Parli

1

112 - Joshi, Jjgnya-

Evergreen Valley

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Washington Patel & Mannur	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Kunal Patel	27.5	3
	Gandhar Mannur	27	4

OPP

Spkr	Irvington Yoo & Qadeer	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	William Yoo	28.5	1
	Hamza Qadeer	28	2

Winner: Irvington Yoo & Qadeer debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO

School/Team

Side (Gov or Opp)

Signature: JPBhatt

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opp team are having stronger arguments than Gov team. They have strong speech in terms of content and delivery. Confident speaker.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 5-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr		POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Nueva Xu & Hao Eugenia Xu	28	
2	Albert Hao	28	

OPP			
Spkr		POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Campolindo Deng & Visht Kevin Deng	29	
1	Krish Visht	29	

Winner: Campolindo Deng & Visht debating on the opp Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Zheng Jin

Pronouns: Nueva Xu & Hao's Xu pronouns: She, Her

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff presented superior court case, and recent Harvey case to prove that public health over weight privacy.

Neg should defend more on how to keep privacy ~~work~~ while allow research to advance and critical ~~health~~ disease are contained.

Point Scale:

- Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
- 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
- 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
- 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
- 27 An average speech
- 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
- 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

- Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
- The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Irvington Kumar & Sudhar...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
2	Ash Kumar	27.5	(3)
1	Roshni Sudharasan	28	(2)

OPP

Spkr	Evergreen Valley Peri & Sh...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
1	Jayasree Peri	29	(1)
2	Nidhi Shettigar	27	(4)

Winner: Irvington Kumar & Sudhar... School/Team debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~The contingencies of the Gov. was not addressed efficiently by the Neg. team. The flow was much better with the Gov. Eventhough the Neg. presented it well the key points which the gov. brought up leads to their win.~~

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Room: Dwinelle 219
 Start: 8:00 AM
 Open Parli

1

143 - Yang, Hanna

Irvington

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Evergreen Valley Kotha & T...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Suhas Kotha	27	3
1	Tommy Tran	28	1

OPP

Spkr	Washington Panda & Gunti...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Pranit Panda	27.5	2
2	Sourish Guntipally	26	4

Winner: Evergreen Kotha debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: The gov team is more convincing and more evidence

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Irvington Shah & Doriwala	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Kathan Shah	27	3
	Aseem Doriwala	28.5	1

OPP			
Spkr	Washington Rameshbabu...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Aswinkarthik Rameshbabu	27	2
	Naveen Sukumar	27	4

Winner: Irvington debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

A fairly close debate overall with the strongest points of all rounds being Aseem's very effective, concise and clear rebuttal. While some of the preceding rounds were a bit unconvincing on ~~both~~ ~~side~~ both sides, Aseem tied it all together, very effectively and provided a convincing and cohesive case for their position.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Irvington Kumar & Mishra	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Shevanti Kumar	28	1
1	Vidushee Mishra	27	3

OPP

Spkr	Washington Innamuri & Shah	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Pruthvi Innamuri	27.5	2
2	Ayush Shah	26.5	4

Winner: Irvington debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote Aff because they won the non-unique arguments on the Neg contentions and did a more thorough job answering the specific line-by-lines.

Point Scale:
Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017


GOV

Spkr	Campolindo Jones & Chimni	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Colin Jones	29	1
2	Arjun Chimni	28	3

OPP

Spkr	El Cerrito Seyoum & Tourriel	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Mela Seyoum	28.5	2
2	Ana Tourriel	27.5	4

Winner: Campolindo Jones & Chimni debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I thought the arguments from Gov was more convincing than Opp.

GOV: Overall provided good stats on why they are for patient confidentiality. Iowa & Idaho was a good point on what could happen.

OPP: Overall, did good on talking initially about the serious communicable diseases like Ebola & STI. However, later started talking about STD's also being an issue. would have been better to stick with greater threats & give stats on them.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Nueva Voorhis & Cheng	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Robby Voorhis	27.5	4
	Ben Cheng	28.5	2

OPP

Spkr	Evergreen Valley Bao & Dong	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Jenna Bao	28	3
	Winnie Dong	29	1

Winner: Evergreen Bao & Dong School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: Daqing Zhao

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: Trust is needed to get more information
no trust, patient may not disclose
Data disclosure

NEG: Doctors disclose only in limited cases
when public health is in question
Gave good example of sexually transmitted
Diseases.

If Doctors don't tell, lose public trust
Data disclosure does not apply.

NEG has more complete arguments

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.

30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.

29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.

28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.

27 An average speech

26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.

25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.

The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Escobar &...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Gabriela Escobar	27	
	Connie Lu	27.5	

OPP			
Spkr	Peninsula Li & Zhu	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Thomas Li	28.5	
	Jessica Zhu	28	

Winner: Peninsula School/Team debating on the Opp Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____

Signature: _____

Argued points better

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Gabriella - good information, few concrete examples
 too fast, hard to keep up

Thomas - good pacing, clear presentation
 - some infectious diseases must already be disclosed
 - good points, swayed me

Connie - decent pacing and presentation
 decent
 - good points, few good examples
 - stigma point solid

Jessica - good opening, solid arguments
 - very fast, hard to follow at times
 - good examples
 - disagree with some points, but well presented

2nd round T - weaker
 G - good points, failing to bring it home

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Pammar...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
2	Aryan Pammar	4	27
1	Edward Frazer	2	27.5

OPP			
Spkr	Irvington Vichare & Shing...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
2	Aditi Vichare	3	27.5
1	Priyanka Shingwekar	1	28

Winner: Irvington Vichare & Shingwekar debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
 School/Team


Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Resolve: When in conflict, doctors ought to value patient confidentiality above protecting others from communicable diseases

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Net Benefit
 Social
 Net Benefit



Gov 1:
 * Claims w/o warrant, enough
 * good delivery, emphasize on explaining claims, no need to repeat claim

Gov 2:
 * clear rebuttation, but focus more on impact.

OPP 1:
 * strong evaluation on impacts
 * good delivery

OPP 2:
 * clear rebuttations

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Evergreen Valley Dadbhaw...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Esha Dadbhawala	28	2
	Megana Arunarthi	28	3

OPP

Spkr	Menlo-Atherton Nori & Bod...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Sathvik Nori	27.5	4
	Maya Bodnick	28.5	1

Winner: Evergreen Valley School/Team debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____

Signature: Cahakerapani

Comments & Reason for Decision:

When in conflict, doctors ought to value patient confidentiality above protecting others from communicable diseases.

- 1) OPP brought a point unnecessary during debate that went off topic.*
- 2) GOV covered their case in final argument.*
- 3) Was a close tie between the teams but GOV was considered as they stood by their argument and supported well.*

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Room: Dwinelle 83
 Start: 8:00 AM
 Open Parli

When in conflict, doctors ought to value patient confidentiality above protecting others from communicable diseases.

117 - Kenyon, Kare-

San Marin

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Goldberg Academy of Verb...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Ben Schwartz	28	1
	Will Flores-Jones	27	3

OPP			
Spkr	Irvington Gupta & Kavalakatt	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Shivangi Gupta	27	2
	Rachel Kavalakatt	26	4

Winner: Opp School/Team _____ debating on the Govt Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: Karen Kenyon

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1st Aff - Ben
 Don't spend quite so long on discussing value - issues you had some good arguments, but sometimes you talked too long on a point.
 Volume, speed good.
 Feel your rebuttal jumped around - stick to voting issues

1st Neg - Shivangi
 you had some good evidence, I felt you needed to show directly handle Aff's arguments. One overarching piece of evidence isn't adequate.
 Good rebuttal - watch time though

2nd Aff Will - Good POI's I wouldn't communicate so much w/ your partner during speech - a little disrupting in speech.
 Consider doing Neg. arguments first before rebuilding your case. Need to get those answered.

2nd Rachel - I felt like you jumped around the flow a little. Good volume, speed. Watch not explaining an idea completely. Sometimes you only gave "half thoughts".

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Reason for Decision - I gave the debate to the Aff. They prove that 1) patient/doctor could disclose if push to world 2) research could still happen, leading to some advantages that neg. suggests 3) some people will not go to doctor out of fear, thus spreading disease and hurting societal welfare.

Good debate - good arguments by both teams. Enjoyed it.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Dragons Jayasuriya & Schulz	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Michael Jayasuriya	26.5	4
	Shawn Schulz	27	3

OPP			
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Joshi & M...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Jwalin Joshi	29.5	1
	Aditya Mehta	29	2

Winner: Evergreen Valley debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative side made too many claims without proper warrants and their argument at times was unclear and too fluid with the opposing side. The opposing side did an excellent job with providing evidence for their claims and provided a well developed understanding of the impact of individual care on micro and macro levels.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.



CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Washington Patel & Shaikh	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1A	Shashvat Patel	26	3
2A	Raeed Shaikh	26	4

OPP			
Spkr	Campolindo Bonet & Zeng	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1N	Shannon Bonet	27.5	2
1A	William Zeng	28	1

Winner: Campolindo debating on the Opp Side (Gov/Opp) Low point win? _____
 Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Gov. reiterated their arguments but didn't effectively clash.
 Opp. effectively undermined the claim about health system collapse, and made a strong argument on epidemics.
 Gov. never adequately refuted gene tech argument, and the opp's general claim on the importance of public health.

Both teams muddied things by trying to impose a policy framework on a value topic. Of course you're going to talk about impacts, but no one should have a plan or solve for things. The debate would have been clearer with a ~~near~~ firmer values framework. Opp did this in the final rebuttal but both teams could be clearer.

Also - the clash was inadequate on the status quo. How well do Drs today protect privacy? Neither team helped us understand that.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	El Cerrito Barram & Dowling	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Devyn Barram	26	4
2	Audrey Dowling	27	2

OPP			
Spkr	Irvington Ghankota & Singal	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Aadhiti Ghankota	27	3
2	Anousha Singal	28	1

Winner: Irvington School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opposition contentions were not argued/answered by government team. Government team brought up new contentions in second round which were not stated in first round. Government team contentions were answered well by opposition.

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Mani & Sh...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Anirudh Mahi	29	1
1	Janav Sharma	29	2

OPP			
Spkr	Washington Vohra & Kunta	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
①	Anura Vohra	27.5	4
②	Anvi Kunta	28	3

Winner: Evergreen Valley School/Team debating on the GOV Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____

Signature: Lara Dutta

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Gov.
 3 contention clearly defined
 Definition of confidentiality clear
 Excellent Flow

In the 4th and 5th round spoke a little loudly while opposition talking

OPP.
 Round 1 did not address contention on trust and never addressed contention on deregulation
 Did not clearly define confidentiality in relation to Gov's definition

Spoke loudly during all rounds Gov. was speaking.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Room: Dwinelle 88
Start: 8:00 AM
Open Parli

1

144 - Sudharsan,-
Irvington

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	El Cerrito Dutta & MacCoun	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Hanna Dutta	27	4
2	Audrey MacCoun	28	3

OPP

Spkr	Evergreen Valley Gavrilche...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
1	Anthony Gavrilchenko	29	2
2	Kevin Keechempallil	29	1

Winner: Evergreen Valley debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: C. Pryor

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Opp team had good depth and breadth on arguments. They demonstrated strong understanding of components.

Gov team needs more strength and backing it up with more data and facts would help.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Room: Wheeler 24
Start: 8:00 AM
Open Parli

1

128 - Kotha, Murty

Evergreen Valley

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Campolindo Visht & Ganten		
	Anish Visht	28	2
	Samuel Ganten	28.5	1

OPP			
Spkr	School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Menlo Park Descollonges &...		
	Pascal Descollonges	28	4
	Akshar Ramkumar	27.5	3

Winner: Campolindo School/Team debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: K-S-R Murthy

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Point Scale:
Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Irvington MuktaDir & Venk...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
2	Saqeef MuktaDir	26	4
1	Gautam Venkatesh	26.5	1

OPP

Spkr	Peninsula Hao & Stanton	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
2	Amanda Hao	26	3
1	Alice Stanton	26	2

Winner: Irvington School/Team debating on the Gov Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good Debate.
- Both sides made good points
- Aff was able to clearly state their case.
- Neg tried to refute the case but were not very convincing.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Berkeley Barmack & Wolf...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Max Barmack	22	4
1	Talia Wolf-Jacobs	28.5	2


OPP

Spkr	Irvington Lee & Kulkarni	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
2	Ryan Lee	29	1
1	Anushka Kulkarni	28.5	3

Winner: Berkeley School/Team debating on the GOV Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? NO
 Signature: Meena

Comments & Reason for Decision:

When in conflict preventing other doctors ought to value confidentiality over ~~concentration~~ disease.



HIPPA Rule send statistics & data to CDC etc without giving name of the patient still keeping confidentiality intact.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Ebola relat was a good point made status how confidentiality helped limit Ebola in US.
 Ryan picked up the case by identifying confidentiality includes third parties. this variable of sending data to CDC prevents spread of disease wins
 Irvington the round, had it been presented by last speaker.

Berkeley wins the round on technicality as they were not able to rebut the third party confideli until final speech

Room: Dwinelle 254
Start: 8:00 AM
Open Parli

1

145 - Muktedir, Ab-

Irvington

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL

Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Campolindo Belinsky & Chi...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Liana Belinsky	28.5	1
	Angad Chimni	28	3

OPP

Spkr	Hillview Waitz & Fahey	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Alexander Waitz	28.5	2
	Andrew Fahey	27.5	4

Winner: CAMPOLINDO/Belinsky & Chimni debating on the GOV Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
School Team

Signature: Muhammad D. Muktedir

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams debated well. But the Gov team put up strong arguments and countered the Neg team arguments well. That's why I chose the Gov team as winner.

Point Scale:

Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
27 An average speech
26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV

Spkr	Irvington Bajaj & Shastry	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Muskaan Bajaj	27.5	1
	Chaitanya Shastry	27.5	2

OPP

Spkr	Menlo Park-Hillsborough N...	POINTS (20 - 30)	RANK
	Yash Narayan	26.5	4
	Jack Turner	27	3

Winner: Irvington Bajaj & Shastry debating on the Aff Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff**
 - Aff laid out better contentions
 - Speaking style clean & engaging
 - More organized content
 - Rebuttals were good.
- Neg**
 - Neg side can improve on contentions & delivery
 - Good job trying to personalize contentions
 - Rebuttals could be tied a bit closer to the contentions.

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV				
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Chickerur...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK	
1	Shreyas Chickerur	27	26	4
2	Adith Chitlur	28.5	27.5	1

OPP				
Spkr	Peninsula Ma & Chen	POINTS (20-30)	RANK	
1	Kevin Ma	27.5	26.5	2
2	Claire Chen	27.5	26.5	3

(10)

Winner: Peninsula Ma & Chen debating on the Opp Side (Gov. or Opp) Low point win? yes

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The stigmat point was pretty much a wash between the two. Opp wins on the business argument because there really was no support that business-driven breach of confidentiality would lead to greater loss of lives and utilitarianism was presented as the criteria


Point Scale:

- Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
- 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
- 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
- 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
- 27 An average speech
- 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
- 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

Speech Times:

Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first. The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal... then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

Re-Enter



CAL PARLI INVITATIONAL
 Oct 14 - 15, 2017

GOV			
Spkr	Evergreen Valley Mohebbi...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
	Mina Mohebbi	28	2
	Bryant Tran	27.5	4

OPP			
Spkr	San Marin Colenbrander &...	POINTS (20-30)	RANK
	Tyler Colenbrander	28.5	1
	Aditya Katewa	27.5	3

Winner: SAN MARIN School/Team debating on the OPP Side (Gov or Opp) Low point win?

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ① Mina ~~28~~ 28
- ~~Definitions~~
 - ~~Logic~~
 - ~~Discrimination~~
 - ~~Announcement~~
 - Definitions

- ② Aditya 27.5
- firm, clear delivery
 - simple strong argument/content

Point Scale:
 Do not give fewer than 25 points unless a speaker was rude or offensive. You may give half points.
 30 A perfect speech. You will probably not give any 30s.
 29 A near perfect speech. Speaking this well every round should place this speaker in the top ten for the tournament.
 28 A very strong speech, both in terms of content and delivery.
 27 An average speech
 26 A speech with multiple glaring weaknesses.
 25 A thoroughly unpersuasive speech.

- ③ Bryant ~~27.5~~ 27.5
- good delivery
 - made mistakes in content.
 - But mostly strong content.

- ④ Tyler 28.5
- very smooth, consistent delivery

Speech Times:
 Each speaker will deliver a 7-minute constructive speech, with the Government team going first.
 The first Opposition speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal, then the first Government speaker will deliver a 5-minute rebuttal. No new arguments may be presented in rebuttals, but new examples, explanation, and weighing are permitted.

① Mina

- Morality - Basis

- Morality > Risk

- Violent of Law

- Ethics & Conscientious

③ Beyant

- Used only in policy & round
- Person can be determined
- nearby ARE notified, but person shown not name.
- Basis of trust & good care

⑤ Allya

- Utilitarianism = value
- Morality not always personal.
- Debate not only for U.S. - Person may not TELL.

② Mina

- Use ONLY 'morality' value
- Does share of patient 'consent'.
- Africa - did not have to share 'morals'.
- internet system press 'morality'!

② Allya

- Basis - Not benefit

- Global basis

- One vs society

- family, employees etc

- have right to know "who"

- for e.g. AIDS - person XYZ

- Statutory e.g. a big danger

- UK - to good.

- At a pt of conflict,

- Utilitarianism

- 1 vs larger good

- Most are lateral disease

④ Tyer

- "not benefit" a value = Utilitarianism

- "provide general benefit"

- May not reach ALL

- World did not own

- Ebola - away from Africa

know without that he is

doctors not business
(you know what business)
NOT rush to cross into
level of decision
supported by business
to corner about rights of people
profit #1 priority
first = life
on "un"

1. death inevitable compared to

business

to not voice public safety

Count cases are about
vaccines/patient industry
no patient information
so patients will still go
must disclose
2. patients do not know
the count is 12,000 in the
US

3

2. good counter
the system is more to
health confidentiality
which is essential

lets cutting for

has more important
than trust
System cost case
for commensurate response

2

1. all collected can
be broken

health one privately

single patient's agent
Also looking down generation
primary

6 protecting health is #1

do not believe self

2. from long to short
negative

work on early stage
most private cases
large companies could drive
creation of market
business over confidentiality
ask out

2. health & social case with
in that confidence
2. factors confidence in system
to seek treatment with confidence

2. Bin Laden in
people would be more likely
to seek treatment with confidence
22 million death from lack
of treatment
example AIDS

utilitarianism
some desire the system
stand by
without trust
1. social system

retains trust

1. good short

Defined comm. dream, congruently - intro & set the stage / c-anked question

1) Doctors are dented doctors - went open up to docs.
 2) People & doctors - only 18% have jobs - cannot see families. Exposed to employees leading to poverty/death.

2) Social exploitation - Incident in 2012 - Australian celebrity - blackwalled.

Neg 1 Safety - 86% in US - the few ppl. 17M globally communicate else
 2) Economy - in American units jobs :: sectors :: 50% days of students
 3) Research in communication - doctors -

Condition 1 - ~~see~~ weigh the impacts

1) Marginal, Timeliness & likelihood.

Aff 2 - counter safety - need people to open up.

Now many did die to self treatment 2

3) Economy & how it people

2) Cost for treatment is very low

4) Data being open to sharing - just not name

5) Borg better patient & doctors - don't share & aware (eg. Weibo)

6) Cold - may be something else.

7) Isolation - leads to mental illness - want to feel included

Documents -> Neg 2 -> Pointed out that many strategies and they gave only 1 example.

Talked about my experience. - Made it personal. -> value of what we're doing in p.

Choose the right doctor - jobs - "picture this"

Point of order raised

Rebuttal Neg 1 -> Right -> Stimul use - Marginal, Timeliness, likelihood.

Rebuttal Aff 1 -> NO stats, No plans, No investment plan. Showed how opponents' counter is even supported. (Economy over life) Zoom out & take a look at off world & my world.

$$\frac{\frac{M}{C} \cdot \frac{N}{I}}{I}$$