

Room: 104E
Start: 5:15 PM
Lincoln-Douglas Novice

Semis Li, Yaqing

Acton-Boxborough

MSDL STATE DEBATE FINAL

Mar 25 - 25, 2017

Resolved: The United States ought to guarantee the right to housing.

Lexington SG (Simran Gandhi) vs Needham ZL (Zachary Lu)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Simran Gandhi AFF Zachary Lu NEG

Winner: Lexington SG School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Other judges on panel: Ben Lieberman, Bo Zhou. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AFF: Like the amount of data evidence.
Did great job in cross-exam.
good example of using the cities.

Point Scale:

Use whole or half points 23 to 30
30: Truly amazing - top 3 pct
29: Fantastic - top 10 pct
28: Very good - top 25 pct
27: Solid debater - top half
26: Still learning but with potential
25: New at debate yet trying
24: Unprepared or unable to express ideas
23: Rude or unprofessional (rare, explain on ballot)

Neg: Good question on the successfulness of Aff's housing plan.

Smart to avoid providing a solution

Best neg case argument today. Can add more evidence instead of only logic.

Overall: AFF won because of good amount of data evidence, and rebuttal

Speech Times:

First Affirmative Constructive	6'
Cross-Examination	3'
First Negative Constructive	7'
Cross-Examination	3'
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4'
First Negative Rebuttal	6'
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3'
Prep Time	4'

MSDL STATE DEBATE FINAL Mar 25 - 25, 2017

Resolved: The United States ought to guarantee the right to housing.

Needham ZL (Zachary Lu) vs Lexington SG (Simran Gandhi)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Lexington SG Needham ZL
AFF NEG

Winner: Needham ZL debating on the NEG
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Yaqing Li, Ben Lieberman. Please do not start until all judges are present.

poverty is the source of homelessness

GI Bill as example to support
Right ≠ they get it.
Homeless ≠ #

AFF

NEG

- Right
- available
- Bad condition
- criminal activity on homeless

- House specific group of people (homeless)
- How to make house suitable
- People willing to go to assigned place.

- House
- less expensive than homeless
- help improve abandoned buildings
- Tax & Financial benefit
- examples of improve homeless.

- Right of people
- segregation
- state ineffective from Feb level.
- # ↓, but ↑ in one year. not working
- vacant property →
- fail to accept individual right
- can not predict result.

section 8. gov housing is racist.

- no prove that vacant cost money
- Reduce # of homeless. deny them to \$

Point Scale:

Use whole or half points 23 to 30
30: Truly amazing - top 3 pct
29: Fantastic - top 10 pct
28: Very good - top 25 pct
27: Solid debater - top half
26: Still learning but with potential
25: New at debate yet trying
24: Unprepared or unable to express ideas
23: Rude or unprofessional (rare, explain on ballot)

Speech Times:

First Affirmative Constructive	6'
Cross-Examination	3'
First Negative Constructive	7'
Cross-Examination	3'
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4'
First Negative Rebuttal	6'
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3'
Prep Time	4'