

Room: 201
Flight 1 Start: 3:30 PM
Novice LD

1

Lim, Anne

Newport

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER


Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (0 - 30)
Emerald Ridge JC	
Jacob Carey	0

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (0 - 30)
Interlake HS - Bellevue KH	
Katherine Holo	30

Winner: Interlake KH debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

no show

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup LP	POINTS (0-30)
Lindsey Pilcher	27

NEG

Curtis MH	POINTS (0-30)
Moe'Nehah Holland	27

Winner: Puyallup LP School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

2:08
Aff

I think you can reorganize your case:

① lethal force + brutality (addressness)

② police brutality

③ better training.

might be better to avoid seemingly overlapping contentions

nice response to court clog/brutality

If it's not time-specific statistics, you don't really need to go into source debate — better args to make

↳ also, all sources are biased

Your VCs are the same, you don't need to spend time arguing against it — just say "we have some VC"

ok you did that num qj!

"flow in my favor" is redundant, just say "extend"

- you need to extend more in your 1st rebuttal, otherwise I can't extend new stuff in the 2nd rebuttal
↳ you can only extend stuff from your own case

respond to AC in your NR

neg 3:26
good questions in CX — try not to play w/ your hair and talk louder!

- take more prep time before your NR so that you have proper args to make against aff

- pls enunciate + talk louder

oops

respond to aff!!!



YOUR CASE SHOULD BE 3-4 mins max (so you can respond to aff)

- i can't evaluate new args in the 2nd rebuttal

- please give me an author last name when you read evidence + tell me ~~what~~ where I should flow an argument!

also, link your arguments back into your fw

I voted aff b/c...

① no coverage of AC from neg, I can't flow new in the 2

② Aff linked args to VC best

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Gig Harbor RS	POINTS (0-30)
River Shipley	27

NEG

Puyallup DK	POINTS (0-30)
Dean Kimberlin	26

Winner: Gig Harbor RS debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

+
 - Good coverage in the IAR
 - great rebuttal clarity & speed
 - good ex quality

- Explain your extensions, you did great w/ C4 but 1-3 you basically just said "extend them" & move on
 - Don't speed if you don't need to you mumbled a lot in the AC & had ~ 1:30 left at the end

+
 - Some good ex value
 - great framework debate.

- Use all your time! You were being awesome in the NR then you just stopped w/ 2 min to go & dropped his contention if you had it you may have won

RFD: Good points on both sides Neg drops all Aff contentions in the NR Lewis wins more AC offense

6373463

Room: 202
Flight 1 Start: 3:30 PM
Novice LD

1

Witek, Matt

Gov. Rogers

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup CY	POINTS (0-30)
Celena Yopez	28

NEG

Emerald Ridge MA	POINTS (0-30)
Musziq Abellera	27

Winner: Puyallup CY debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

+	-
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some good evid throughout - great extension of your V/C pair 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use your CX time!! you asked 1 question then stopped dig in more - But you need to extend your contentions too - Use all your speech time!

+	-
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good line of questioning during CX - you have great rhetorical delivery - some good points throughout 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - try to signpost more throughout have a value criterion L-6 led - you need to address your off case in the NC or you can't address it L-4 - try using more fact time

RFD: Some good arguments throughout while the Neg has some good points he fails the Aff in the NC & fails to address her key points while the Aff only brings some points over their uncontested nature makes it an Aff win

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup NK	POINTS (0-30)
Nicole Killian	22

NEG

Interlake HS CL	POINTS (0-30)
Casey Lin	29

Winner: INTERLAKE CASEY LIN debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Eric Anderson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- SLOW DOWN/ANNUNCIATE AUTHOR NAMES
- TRY TO PACE YOURSELF, YOU HAD A MINUTE THIRTY LEFT!!
- AFF DOESN'T HAVE TO DEFEND TOTAL ABOLITION, IT MIGHT BE WORTH DEVELOPING A MORE CLEAR ADVOCACY
- YOU PROBABLY DON'T NEED TO DEFINE WHAT THE U.S. IS
- DO YOUR BEST TO RELAX! YOU HAVE THE MARKINGS OF A GREAT DEBATER
- SORT OUT YOUR FW. DEONT IS A STANDARD WORD ITSELF, AND SO IS MAXIMIZING ACCOUNTABILITY
- GREAT SPEAKER!! HAVE SOME CONFIDENCE GIRL!
- PROUD OF YOU FOR FINISHING YOUR SPEECHES

NEG

- GOOD CX QUESTIONS
- SLOW DOWN FOR AUTHORS/TAGS
- GREAT CASE ORGANIZATION
- VERY THOROUGH AC COVERAGE
- SOLID CX Qs, BUT YOU COULD STAND TO BE A BIT MORE SOFT SPOKEN GIVEN HOW NERVOUS YOUR OPPONENT WAS
- GOOD JOB BEING KIND TO HER IN THE 1AR!!
- I DON'T BUY OBS 1 BIC THAT'S PRETTY MUCH WHAT HER FW DOES, DEFINE MORALITY
- YOU WON IT, BUT THE FERGUSON EFFECT HAS BEEN THOROUGHLY DISPROVED, CONSIDERING THE FBI DIRECTOR CONCLUDED THAT IT DOESN'T EXIST.
- MOVE UP! YOU DON'T BELONG IN NOVICE!!

RFD

BECAUSE OF NERVES, AFF ENDED UP DROPPING MOST OF THE NC & ALMOST ALL THE AC. ROUGH FIRST ROUND, NICOLE, BUT I PROMISE IT GETS EASIER! AND ONCE YOU GET YOUR FEET ON THE GROUND, DEBATE IS A BLAST.

I WISH YOU'D HAVE EXTENDED COURT LOG MORE STRONGLY TO ANSWER THE AC, BUT YOU HAD ENOUGH OTHER OFFENSE THAT IT DIDN'T END UP MATTERING.

I HONESTLY THINK YOU COULD BOTH ROCK THE DEBATE WORLD. KEEP AT IT!!

I VOTED OFF ALL NEG'S EXTENDED OFFENSE & SOLVENCY INDIGHTS.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Interlake HS - Bellevue ED	POINTS (0-30)
Emily Du	27

NEG

Puyallup DB	POINTS (0-30)
David Blynov	27

Winner: INTERLAKE EMILY DU debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ~~WIN~~ NO

Signature: Eric Anderson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

FEEDBACK:

AFF

FILL ALL 6 MIN
SLOW DOWN AND REALLY CLEARLY
ARTICULATE AUTHOR NAMES
I LIKED THE HASSLE '3 CARD A LOT
GREAT ~~CX~~ PRESSING HIS VALUE OF LIFE
GOOD IAR, I THINK YOU DID A REALLY SOLID JOB
VERYWHERE EXCEPT SUBPOINT B, BUT OVERALL VERY STRONG
SPEND MORE TIME COMPARING FW, I WASN'T LEFT W/
A GREAT WEIGHING MECHANISM SINCE YOU DROPPED HIS
STANDARD IN THE IAR
SIGN POST WHEN JUMPING FLOWS

-LOOK @ JUDGE DURING C.X (AND SPEECHES)

NEG

-READ AUTHOR NAMES BEFORE CARD
"CARD A"? GET AN AUTHOR IN THE FUTURE!
-PROVIDE A WEIGHING MECHANISM. IF IT'S JUST "SAVE LIVES"
-DON'T SUMMARIZE CASE @ BOTTOM OF NC
-LIFE= ENDS BASED PHILOSOPHY, CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE=INTENTIONS BASED. THEY DON'T REALLY MIX, AND THERE'S NOT ENOUGH ANALYSIS UNDER THE STANDARD TO FIX IT
-SPEND MORE TIME ON AFF IN 2N
-TAKE MORE PREP TIME BEFORE IN
-TRY NOT TO TOSS AWAY 1:20 OF SPEECH TIME

THERE WAS SOME DEBATE BUT NEITHER SIDE WAS STRONGLY DEFENDING

RFD

WEIRD FW ROUND. ULTIMATELY, AFF MORE OR LESS PROPS JUSTICE, AND THE NEG MORE OR LESS ROBBED CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE SO I'M LEFT W/ VALUE: LIFE, STANDARD: DEMOCRACY. SO IT'S ALL ABOUT PRESERVING LIFE VIA DEMOCRACY. THERE'S A LOT OF BACK-AND-FORTH ON SUB A-C ON AFF AND ON NEG, BUT THE "THINKING TWICE GOOD" ARGUMENT TURNS ~~NEG~~ OFFENSE, THEN ~~AFF~~ AFF EXTENDS SUBPOINTS B, D, & E IN PARTICULAR WHICH GIVE THEM ACCESS TO EQUALITY/DEMOCRACY & ULTIMATELY TO LIFE.

Room: 200
 Flight 1 Start: 5:30 PM
 Novice LD

2

Lim, Anne

Jay Yang

Newport

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup DK	POINTS (0 - 30)
Dean Kimberlin	28

NEG

Interlake HS ED	POINTS (0 - 30)
Emily Du	28

Winner: ~~Aff~~ Interlake HSED debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Jay Yang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~Aff~~

Aff

we know something is just through your vc: know your case and how you achieve justice

TIME YOURSELVES

L look at your timers too!!!
 L TIME PREP

* more FW interaction; why does Util come before/outweigh pragmatism?
 * time management

Neg more

* FW is vc not value so compare pragmatism & util not util + justice

* you need to address the warrants of the AC more; it talks about indemnification but you don't really talk about that.

* too much on NC, you spend 5 min on it in 2AR, undercover case

L read blocks: if you have them

* Look at your case and XT strategic benefits; you talk ab indemnification and a complete ban but you don't extend it

talk more about PRAGMATISM and why it's true!!

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Emerald Ridge MA	POINTS (0 - 30)
Musziq Abellera	29

NEG

Gig Harbor RS	POINTS (0 - 30)
River Shipley	28

Winner: Gig Harbor RS School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Jay Yang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- Good Reading of the AC
 - L clear, good emphasis
 - L good tone changes
- try to card your evidence
- value vs. value criterion
 - usually more rebutious
 - how you achieve the value
 - usually like justice, and VC is util
 - L watch debate rounds on YouTube
 - L ~~scribble~~

Good CX

Neg

- Good adaptation/respect in CX
- ~~do~~ do more speech drills ~~you're~~ you're clear + good speed but stumble a little
- Good net benefit
- FW says police not accountable b/c fraying & clearer exp in CX
- ~~we~~ we can't solve it ~~stuck~~ j/k

Neg wins b/c net benefit to CP
coopts AC offense b/c
you sue officers +
institution.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF


Puyallup DB	POINTS (0 - 30)
David Blynov	26

NEG

Federal Way KW	POINTS (0 - 30)
Kaluhm Walter	23

Winner: David Blynov debating on the aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature



Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides had convincing value arguments. Both sides had some convincing evidence to support claims. In both cases there could have been more evidence (statistics, etc.) and that evidence could have been extended further. Affirmative side extended logic ~~to~~ further.

Affirmative was better organized & spoke more confidently. Logic was slightly more persuasive in terms of comparing the two values. (Better prepared).

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Interlake HS CL	POINTS (0 - 30)
Casey Lin	27

NEG

Puyallup LP	POINTS (0 - 30)
Lindsey Pilcher	28

Winner: Lindsey Pilcher debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff had a well built argument with a strong foundation.
- Neg did an excellent job on cross examination.
- Aff did a good job debunking the value of utilitarianism.
- Aff side spoke extremely quickly, made it hard to follow
- Neg made good points when she asked her opponent to define how he would limit a. immunity.
- ★ Neg did an excellent job asking what ways qualified immunity might possibly be limited, aff could not / chose not to answer (deciding factor).

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

	POINTS (0-30)
Curtis MH	
Moe'Nehah Holland	25

NEG

	POINTS (0-30)
Puyallup CY	
Celena Yepez	26

Winner: Curtis MH School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: Eric Anderson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- PLEASE SPEAK MORE CLEARLY!!! & LOUDLY, IT WAS VERY DIFFICULT TO CATCH.
- TRY TO PUT AUTHOR NAMES @ THE FRONT OF CARDS, AND INCLUDE A CLEAR TAG
- GREAT CX QUESTIONS!
- THE MILITARIZATION TURN WAS AWESOME! IT COULD HAVE BEEN A 20 SEC ARG INSTEAD OF A 1:10 ONE, THO.
- SIGN POST PLEASE VERY HARD TO FOLLOW

LOOK @ JUDGE DURING CX

NEG

- SAFETY ISN'T REALLY A VALUE, IT'S GOOD BIC ITS MORAL ONLY
- GREAT SPEAKING
- TRY TO SPEND LESS TIME READING THE NC
- ~~THE ANTI-STATEMENT~~
- CAREFUL WHAT ~~YOU~~ SAID SHE DROPPED, SHE MADE 3 RESPONSES TO YOUR VALUE.
- DON'T GET OVERWHELMED, JUST STAY CALM & DO YOUR BEST TO STAY LOGICAL & PERSUASIVE

RFD

MILITARIZATION TURNS ON NEG VALUE GO CONCLUDED, TURNS NC FW, AFF ALT OF STRICT LIABILITY AVOIDS HARMS & PROVIDES PARTIAL SOLUTION, SO I VOTED AFF. VERY MUCKY ROUND, MAKING A DECISION WAS REALLY HARD. BOTH WORK ON ORGANIZATION, SIGNPOSTING, AND ARTICULATIONS. BEST OF LUCK IN DEBATE! 😊

Room: 202
Flight 2 Start: 5:30 PM
Novice LD

2

Anderson, Eric

Gig Harbor

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Interlake HS KH	POINTS (0 - 30)
Katherine Holo	30

NEG

Puyallup NK	POINTS (0 - 30)
Nicole Killian	0 0

^{INTERLAKE}
Winner: KATHERINE HOLO debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
School/Team

Signature: Eric Anderson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG CONCEDED w/ COACHES PERMISSION.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Interlake HS ED	POINTS (0-30)
Emily Du	28

NEG

Gig Harbor RS	POINTS (0-30)
River Shipley	28

Winner: River Shipley School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff side had a strong foundation.
Aff had a strong final rebuttal.

Neg has room for improvement in the rebuttal portion of the debate.
I'd like to hear more about how qualified immunity would work hand
in hand with qualified immunity.

Neg side had a unique argument. It was persuasive
that the argument did not rely on the supremacy
of public safety & placed it in opposition to ~~qualified immunity~~ ^{discrimination concerns}...
he had a solution/argument that would still fix
the problem layed out rather than dismiss or lower its
importance, original, well thought out,
apt & advanced word choice used.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Interlake HS CL	POINTS (0 - 30)
Casey Lin	28

NEG

Puyallup DB	POINTS (0 - 30)
David Blynov	28

Winner: Interlake HS CL debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff
don't need to max. anything
you either violate or no
easier to defend
don't need to aggregate
not necessary
slowdown: form args before we speak
Good time management in the Aff

whatever it works
TIME YOURSELVES
PLEASE
you don't look at your timer in speech??

Neg
good answers you weren't finished
next is verbal

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

8-AC
3-CX
7-INC
3-CX
4-AR
6-UR
3-2AR

AFF

	POINTS (0-30)
Puyallup NK	
Nicole Killian	28

NEG

	POINTS (0-30)
Curtis MH	
Moe'Nehah Holland	28

Winner: Curtis MH School/Team debating on the Neg. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- More inflection to show emphasis
- Make it easy on the judge by organizing speech
- Good discussion :)

Room: 501
Flight 2 Start: 7:30 PM
Novice LD

3

Sun, Jasmine

Interlake

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (0-30)
Emerald Ridge MA	
Musziq Abellera	28

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (0-30)
Puyallup DK	
Dean Kimberlin	30

Winner: Puyallup DK School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Done in person

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup LP	POINTS (0-30)
Lindsey Pilcher	35!

NEG

Interlake HS KH	POINTS (0-30)
Katherine Holo	29

Winner: PUYALLUP L.P. debating on the AFF! Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

Signature:


Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ☁ "LIFE" DEFINED AS AN 'EXPERIENCE' (... THANKS MERRIAM-WEBSTER)
- 💡 "POLICE" DON'T NEED TO KILL (SWEET!)
- 🌀 YOUR CLAIMS ABOUT THE RESULTS OF MORE POLICE TRAINING ARE HIGHLY SPECULATIVE ... BUT REASONABLE
- 🍵 'TEA LEAVES' INTERESTING AND COMPELLING NARRATIVE ... GOOD CALL!
- 👁 GREAT EYE-CONTACT WHEN READING CASE ALOUD ... RIGHT ON!!
- 🗨 HOW ABOUT SOME MORE PASSION (... WHERE APPROPRIATE)
- ★ "OFFICERS BENEFIT FROM THEIR LACK OF KNOWLEDGE" ... POWERFUL WORD CHOICE ... YESH!!

- ❓ "SOCIAL WELFARE" ... SOUNDS GOOD, BUT WHAT IS IT?
- 👉 HOW (SPECIFICALLY) DOES 'COURT BACKLOGUES' HARM "SOCIAL WELFARE"?
- 🕒 IS "JOB GROWTH" THE INTENTION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT? HOW DOES (UNLIMITED) Q.I. HARM 'JOB GROWTH'??
- ⚖️ WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "OVER-DETERRENCE" & REQUIRED DETERRENCE?!
- 📊 CORRELATION IS NOT CAUSE & EFFECT!
- 🗣 INSTEAD OF SAYING 'MINORITY', CONSIDER USING "DISADVANTAGED"
- 👤 "BUSINESS/EMPLOYMENT" HARMS OF LIMITED Q.I. SEEMS LESS THAN COMPELLING...
- 🌸 WHAT ARE THE SIGNIFICANT HARMS OF 'FRIVOLOUS LAWSUITS'? (... IF ANY)



AFF DID A SUCCESSFUL JOB EXPLAINING HOW THE ABUSES BY POLICE ALLOWED BY (UNLIMITED) Q.I. CAUSES THEM TO BECOME (OR BE SEEN AS) THE ENEMY OF THE PEOPLE...



AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

1:25

Federal Way KW	POINTS (0-30)
Kaluhm Walter	27

NEG

2:23

Puyallup CY	POINTS (0-30)
Celena Yopez	28

Winner: Puyallup CY School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Be prepared to answer questions in CX! Especially clarifying questions - depend ~~what~~ you read!
- Think critically while listening to the AC - you should have an idea of what you want to ask about in CX before the speech is finished.
- Try asking general clarification questions in CX if you're confused - "What is the thesis of the AC?"

- Shorten your NC - 3 contentions is clearly excessive when it precludes your engagement w/ the AC - maybe read your strongest 1 (or maybe 2) contentions
- The standard of the NC isn't warranted - why is the pragmatic action always good? why is pragmatism the most just of all ethical theories? These are questions that cards for your fw will answer - check out William James "philosophical conception and practical results" as a starting point :)

↑ btw - your highlight was poppin

Feel free to email w/ questions: imeganwu@gmail.com

RFD - Neg

Retributive justice wins as a value

No criterion debate - pragmatism isn't warranted and Due Process is dropped

Voted off arg in the 2NR - consequences of ⁹⁰ mistakes don't match the action of a simple mistake - "never see family again"

also - only piece of warranted offense weighable under the value

Room: 501
Flight 1 Start: 9:00 AM
Novice LD

4

Lingley, Tatum

Puyallup

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016


AFF

	POINTS (0 - 30)
Curtis MH	
Moe'Nehah Holland	30


NEG

	POINTS (0 - 30)
Interlake HS CL	
Casey Lin	

Winner: Interlake HS CL debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

 By-stand
opponent no show

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup CY	POINTS (0-30)
Celena Yepez	27.3

NEG

Interlake HS ED	POINTS (0-30)
Emily Du	28.5

Winner: Interlake ED School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

use 40 line! conceded 1:45.

Assert yourself!

~~you can't just~~ Limit to yes/no, they have to answer but have a right to explain

↳ if you put ethos into hard questions you have to use them (re: country stuff)

keep an eye on the clock

↳ Ahh! No conceding time!

make sure to do some mirror practices/speakers to get rid of hiccups/timing issues.

Good Cr. don't let up. If they can't clarify try a dif route.

get the answer the questions
 ↳ don't have to limit to yes/no though!

more minorities than majority

didn't get the training issue pt - why do I vote on that?
 Last speech is a call for a bullet! also a bit late to bring up

good line by line / attention to detail

still don't get court clog wqr, isn't it soooo

Make sure to have few timers going!

Room: 510
Flight 1 Start: 9:00 AM
Novice LD

4

Strand, Avery

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Gig Harbor RS	POINTS (0-30)
River Shipley	28.3

NEG

Puyallup LP	POINTS (0-30)
Lindsey Pilcher	27.9

Winner: Puyallup LP School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff. or Neg) Low point win? yes

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Use your time! full 1:15 left on clock
 Use evidence - QI Justice
 Figure out justice/business answers
 Order your statements first then their arg
 to "she said..."
 "What 5 based society do we live in..."
 to this one.
 Where'd your arg go?! You dropped it!
 You should know your limit
 Use your prep time!

Push harder on Cx - you had him w/ justice stuff! Dont let him assert that it's been defined!
 Use your time! 1:10 left
 good Cx answers
 Okay "they're not citizens resisting arrest" do
~~Don't~~ Not SSE - that's the problem tho
 Ahh! dont concede time! Line by line, extensions, anything!

Room: 512
Flight 2 Start: 9:00 AM
Novice LD

4

Baumach, Jonathan
~~Thornsberry, Ste~~
Redmond

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup DK	POINTS (0 - 30)
Dean Kimberlin	28

NEG

Federal Way KW	POINTS (0 - 30)
Kaluhm Walter	25 25

Winner: Puyallup DK School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Jonathan Baumach

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg dropped criteria & didn't have a ton of good responses for opponent's arguments. Therefore, Aff was clear choice.

Room: 512
Flight 1 Start: 9:00 AM
Novice LD

4

Baurach, Jonathan
Thornsberry, Ste-

Redmond

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup DB	POINTS (0 - 30)
David Blynov	27

NEG

Interlake HS KH	POINTS (0 - 30)
Katherine Holo	26

Winner: Interlake KH debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: Jonathan Baurach

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg had more convincing arguments. Very close overall. Aff's ~~util~~ argument about how you can't protect most and sacrifice some was confusing so I feel util was the ~~best~~ most defended criteria. Thus I judged the round on util &, because of that, neg won by a small margin.

Both people did a great job for novice debaters.

Aff: Define categorical imperative better in future rounds.

Room: 503
Flight 1 Start: 9:00 AM
Novice LD

4

Megan W
~~Weber, Lisa~~

Interlake

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup NK	POINTS (0 - 30)
Nicole Killian	30

NEG

Emerald Ridge MA	POINTS (0 - 30)
Musziq Abellera	

Winner: Puyallup NK debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

No show from Emerald Ridge

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup NK	POINTS (0 - 30)
Nicole Killian	-

NEG

Federal Way KW	POINTS (0 - 30)
Kaluhm Walter	-

Winner: Federal way kw debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Kaelyn Holguin

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF conceded the round. said she is no longer in LD. ☺

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup DB	POINTS (0 - 30)
David Blynov	

NEG

Emerald Ridge MA	POINTS (0 - 30)
Musziq Abellera	

Winner: Puyallup DB debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Kaelyn Holguin

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NO SHOW

Start: 12:30 PM
ice LD

5

Strand, Avery

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

NO SHOW

AFF

Interlake HS KH	POINTS (0 - 30)
Katherine Holo	30

NEG

Curtis MH	POINTS (0 - 30)
Moe'Nehah Holland	0

Winner: Interlake KH debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? —
School/Team

Signature: Astrand

Comments & Reason for Decision:

She showed up.

2:45
7:07

5

Strand, Avery

12
Start: 12:30 PM
LD

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup DK	POINTS (0-30)
Dean Kimberlin	28.5

NEG

Interlake HS CL	POINTS (0-30)
Casey Lin	27.5

Winner: Puyallup DK School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: A Strand

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Strong speaking, Now work on speed
great job w/ clock & filling out arg
call to action, love it! here + now.
examples are ~~not~~ topical

Fantastic Cx questions. Upon the QI stuff, you had him.
why engage quantum physics stuff lol
you won the debate on the price fiscal accountability
gotta get premises down

Both need to explain your side more / not get caught in "the little stuff"

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup CY	POINTS (0 - 30)
Celena Yopez	25

NEG

Gig Harbor RS	POINTS (0 - 30)
River Shipley	25

Winner: River Shipley School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff of Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Ethan Unger

Comments & Reason for Decision:

"Perm: do both" - is the LAZ wins all the 100% of the time

I don't know how the CP compete - is there a net benefit to vote on?

- net benefit's policy layoffs -

Neg - solves aff, no perm

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Puyallup LP	POINTS (0-30)
Lindsey Pilcher	29

NEG

Interlake HS ED	POINTS (0-30)
Emily Du	28.5

Winner: Puyallup LP School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Ethan Unger

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - voted on Neutral.

Going into the NR I don't really understand why gens have to use util (don't understand why neutral doesn't solve).

Aff - you have a broad util, I think it remedies the problems about only util!

Really good round especially!
21
✓

Neg - more nuanced defense of why you're util is key. Also call it a standard, not value, that way traps less contrast.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

	POINTS (0 - 30)
Curtis MH	
Moe'Nehah Holland	

NEG

	POINTS (0 - 30)
Puyallup NK	
Nicole Killian	

Winner: _____ debating on the _____ Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:  _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neither competitor showed up

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

1.45

2.20

AFF

Interlake HS ED	POINTS (0 - 30)
Emily Du	27

NEG

Puyallup DK	POINTS (0 - 30)
Dean Kimberlin	26

Winner: Interlake HS ED debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Kaelyn Holguin

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

Neg

IF every one is equal it would be egalitarian not anarchy.
 • Go down the flow point by point use better time management not good to drop entire Neg case...

• I was very confused by your questions in ex. They just felt random like almost pre-planned not related to Aff case.
 • I don't think that one case of an employer paying damages proves widespread accountability.

I feel that this round came down to accountability. Neg says they had other examples, but ones mention involve an employer paying. Aff was able to show systematic abuse / lack of accountability compared to a few

isolated cases.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016


AFF

Federal Way KW	POINTS (0 - 30)
Kaluhm Walter	29

NEG

Puyallup DB	POINTS (0 - 30)
David Blynov	27.5

Winner: Puyallup School/Team debating on the Pos Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: I think in CX you should be like "professor from university and where it was published" A professor is enough qualification.

Neg: I think love is an interesting criterion/value but the way it was explained in Rebuttal made it almost seem like justified ages.

RFD: I vote Neg no work done on internal details argument + whether evaluating under both value/criterion.

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Interlake HS CL	POINTS (0 - 30)
Casey Lin	28

NEG

Puyallup CY	POINTS (0 - 30)
Celena Yepez	27

Winner: Interlake CL debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Nope

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg did not refute contentions 2 or 3 so I flowed them across. Furthermore, Neg provided no warrant for many of their claims.

In the end, I voted on whether or not limiting qi would ~~be~~ have a positive impact on safety, and overall more people were safe by limiting qi (minorities and civilians)

Start: 4:00 PM
-D

6

Doty, John

Raisbeck Aviation

AUBURN RIVERSIDE INVITATIONAL AND NIETOC QUALIFIER

Dec 9 - 10, 2016

AFF

Gig Harbor RS	POINTS (0 - 30)
River Shipley	

NEG

Interlake HS KH	POINTS (0 - 30)
Katherine Holo	0

Winner: Gig Harbor RS debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

No Show