

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AD 124

David Ban

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28.5

NEG

AA 116

Braxton Taylor

POINTS

(0 - 30)

27

Winner: David Ban debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Walker Nasser

I vote AFF because AFF's pts were clever and fit the Value.  
Comments & Reason for Decision:

Comments: - you need more overall, however they lack meat or evidence, expand on what you are saying

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

AFF  
Comments - great job addressing almost everything, work on timing better, I know time is short however where the best places to spend your time is  
- great job overall  
- Back door - seven, no warrants  
- privacy  
- bad for many  
- vulnerable  
- hackers  
- NSA exposed  
- capable  
- violation  
- name cameras  
- gov. not necessary  
- public (Boston) - not needed  
- willing to share

Be aggressive

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 115

Brayden Caldwell

POINTS

(0 - 30)

30

NEG

AD 123

Braden Shaffer

POINTS

(0 - 30)

30

Winner: Mount Logan Middle School debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

I voted Negative. Both ~~Sides~~ Sides did a very good job attacking each others case and extending their own. It was a very close debate, but the negative did a little more attacking. Braden Shaffer

Brayden Caldwell

- good understanding of your case
- your contentions are good & work well under your framework
- maybe spend more time extending & emphasizing the importance of constitutional morality as your framework → this can be used in order to combat this value & criterion
- good job clarifying your voters and ~~extending~~ extending your contentions in your rebuttal. However, spend a bit more time attacking his arguments. For example, you can use your argument about privacy to outweigh his argument about time, and say that being moral and constitutional is the most important outcome
- good argumentation & clarity

- good CX questions
- good organization: extension & refutation

- I really enjoyed your negative case

- good job attacking opponent's case while also extending your own

- do more specific extension of your case
- even if we doesn't talk about some points, you still need to re-explain your case after each speech
- remember to attack your opponent's argument about privacy!
- tie your framework into your arguments more

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Keep it up. Good job about being about morality. ~~Both sides did a very good job attacking each others case and extending their own. It was a very close debate, but the negative did a little more attacking. Braden Shaffer~~

Room: MS 104  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

1

Alsdaiman, Abed  
Zody, Griffin

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AH 127

Gideon Gomm

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

29

NEG

AA 114

Drew Brown

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

27

Winner: Gideon Gomm debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg)  
Low point win? no

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Balance FW

Value: Justice

Crit: Decrease discrimination

C1: Black Racism  
many racial disparities

C2: This view leads  
to greater surveillance

America oppresses blacks  
w/ surveillance

Predictive policing  
surveillance is targeted

Neg

C1: Nothing to hide

If you are following law,  
no reason for fear  
govt stops terrorism

C2: For Our Protection

No one cares until  
something happens  
to them

Aff

extend  
card  
↓  
shows bias

Neg

We deserve  
to be  
protected, we  
want to be  
safe, nothing to  
hide

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 ; minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 ; minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 ; minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 ; minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Critiques

~~Both sides did v well~~  
- Aff did a great job extending  
and responding to points, but  
last rebuttal didn't exactly  
fit rest of argument  
- Neg should provide more  
evidence and use his whole  
allotted time

Room: MS 105  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

1

Fierro, Andres  
Okazaki, Kenzo

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 112

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

Canyon Allan

27

NEG

AB 118

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

Kylie Hansen

28

Winner: AB 118 Kylie Hansen debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Chadwick

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:

- You need solid evidence  
L Affirm 2nd 2nd
- You need constructives.
- You need framework  
(We've, correct)
- Make sure you do 2 rebuttal on the LAR.
- Voters - you did well, you just need 2 but more practice.

Neg:

- Make sure to do rebuttal on the NC.
- Add framework
- Voters
- Make sure you

USE YOUR PREP!!!

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Ultimately, neg won on the basis of arguments and structure in the debate

Room: MS 103  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

1

Diamandis, Skylar

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AH 126

Elisa Shao

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

NEG

AA 117


Kendrick Craig

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

Winner: Aff AH 126 Elisa Shao debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Less clarification questions in CX  
Your impact calculus was really  
good but expand on it especially  
if you're going for a privacy impact

Say something like terrorism  
happens outside of the country  
why does it matter or something  
in CX

Neg

Less clarification questions in CX  
Do impact calculus say something  
like the magnitude of terrorism  
is larger because it kills  
more people

Use evidence in your  
first speech

have a criterion value  
good eye contact

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 1/2 minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 1/2 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 1/2 minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 1/2 minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

I voted Affirmative because the Affirmative impacts outweigh. Privacy violating  
in the rebuttals are characterized as worse than the impacts of terrorism  
and crime.

Room: MS 102  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

1

Cowley, Erin  
Abraham, Abed  
West

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 113

Chris Judd

POINTS

(0 - 30)

~~28~~ 28

NEG

AE 100

Leonie Anderson

POINTS

(0 - 30)

~~28.5~~ 28.5

Winner: AE 100 - Leonie Anderson debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg)  
School/Team  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Chris 1:00

Leonie 1:10

- Framework?
- Use your time
- Great memorization + presentation
- Good CX questions - be careful not to make args.
- Signpost
- CX isn't usually evaluated when making a decision unless ~~and~~ answers are brought up during speeches
- Make sure you're actually responding to your opponents args. They're not arguing that a camera is going to personally walk in and physically stop a terror attack

- Use CX to ask questions, not to make args
- ~~Make~~ Make sure you're signposting
- In ~~your~~ your NC, you need to read your case + attack your opponent's.
- Really good understanding of your case.
- Great NR. Just make sure those args are happening sooner

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

RFD: ~~Neg~~ Neg wins that surveillance decreases terror + saves more lives under a util. framework

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 114

Drew Brown

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

NEG

AH 126

Elisa Shao

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

Winner: Elisa Shao debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? X

Signature: Walter M...

Comments & Reason for Decision: I vote neg because neg pts were stronger & aff didn't address neg.

AFF: Great job

- Be LOUDER - good presentation
- Expand on your points because they are very strong
- Work on timing and prep it can help you
- loved your points and Rebuttal

#### Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

#### Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Neg: Amazing

- great use of prep
- I feel like you had strong points but you could say more about them.
- Work on questions that can help your point more

- Be louder

- excellent use of evidence

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

## AFF

AB 118

Kylie Hansen

## POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

## NEG

AH 127

Gideon Gomm

## POINTS

(0 - 30)

30

Winner: AH 127 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: [Signature]

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kylie - You have real potential! Just calm down, take some deep breaths and read/speak clearly. Great great arguments!

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 increments

Gideon - Beautiful speaking and you clearly understood your evidence case, and this speech very well. I'd look to reorganize your case to make it fit better, but generally well done. I'll pronounce a little more, some words blended together and seemed muddled/contrived.

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

RFD: Surveillance is just observation. This was well argued and took away most of the aff's ground.



Room: MS 100  
Flight 1 Start: 4:45 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

2

Fierro, Andres  
~~Hernandez, Chase~~  
Maeser Prep

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AE 100

Leonie Anderson

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

NEG

AA 115

Brayden Caldwell

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

Winner: AE 100 Leonie Anderson debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff:

- Spread things like the framework and the impact calculus
- + Have Constructive & more cards.

Neg:

- NC - make sure you make rebuttal 215!
- You need carded evidence - rumor & date
- Framework is what you need. How are you going to evaluate this debate?

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 1/2 minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 1/2 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 1/2 minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 1/2 minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

BOTH OF YOU: PREPTIME! USE IT!

RFD: Aff won on framework & the impact calc  
29 over 28.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AD 123

Braden Shaffer

POINTS

(0-30)

29

NEG

AA 112

Canyon Allan

POINTS

(0-30)

27

Winner:

School/Team

debating on the

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

no

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

| Aff  | Neg  | Aff  | Neg  | Aff  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>Val: Morality<br/>Crit: Util + surveil</p> <p>C1: Drones are threat</p> <p>- invade privacy<br/>- violation of 4th amend</p> <p>C2: Obama is limiting use of drones</p> <p>- Pres finds need</p> <p>C3: Government needs to respect privacy</p> <p>- Doesn't make us feel safer</p> <p>Safeguards</p> | <p>C1: Prevents terror</p> <p>- Prevents drug labs, hack attacks</p> <p>C2: Defend citizen rights</p> <p>Constitution: gov should defend rights</p> <p>C3: Nothing to hide</p> | <p>also right to privacy</p> <p>privacy invasion</p> <p>no terrorist attacks</p> | <p>most likely</p> <p>has a search machine for screening</p> <p>own certain height of air</p> <p>Terrorists invade country</p> | <p>most likely</p> <p>isn't true</p> <p>Drones don't have warrants</p> |

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Critiques

Both sides did very well,  
Aff won because of better use of evidence + attacking opponents points

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 117

Kendrick Craig

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

27

NEG

AD 124

David Ban

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

28.5

Winner: AD 124 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Critiques

Aff

- More organization
- Roadmap rebuttals
- Add value and criteria

Neg

- warrants in  
args

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

RFD: I voted neg because I feel like David is winning the  
cyberspace debate, as well as the arg that surveillance is necessary.  
Also, his args are impacted out better, which gives more weight to  
his arguments.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AD 125

POINTS

(0 - 30)

Genesis Wardle

28.5

NEG

AA 113

POINTS

(0 - 30)

Chris Judd

27.5

Winner: AD 125 Genesis Wardle debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I voted affirmative because the impacts outweigh. There was just more analysis on the affirmative.

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Aff  
Good CX questions

more use contact  
use your whole  
opinion  
time

Neg

ask more argumentative  
CX questions.

Remember to back up your  
claims

use your whole  
speech time  
use all of prep time.

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

more impact analysis  
say like outweighs all  
timeframe

~~Aff~~  
more impact analysis  
say it outweighs on magnitude of it because  
for like we solve for other impacts

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AD 123

Braden Shaffer

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

29.5

NEG

AA 113

Chris Judd

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

29.5

Winner: AD 123 debating on the Aff Side (Aff. or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Sydney Young

Comments & Reason for Decision: Neg didn't bring up a value + had no evidence.

Braden

- Good overview for why to vote neg at the beginning of your rebuttal
- Good job re-interpreting UTIL & how it went unanswered, but try to do this earlier in the debate.
- Good analytical answers to the points about surveillance creating jobs - try to find some evidence to support that.
- Explain why you met your own (UTIL) framework

Chris

- Good recap of your points at the end of the Neg. constructive.
- You talk about the magnitude of not doing surveillance (↑ people killed by terrorism). Link this to UTIL framework. Explain why you save more lives, & therefore meet the framework better.
- Make sure to read & cite evidence, but good analytical.
- Make sure to address your opponent's framework
- Great analytical, logical, arguments!

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Both

- Try to use all of your speech time
- Try to link the arguments you make to the UTIL framework. Who does the most good for the most amount of people & why?

Room: MS 104  
Start: 5:30 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

3

Nagenthram, Prabha  
Waterford

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 114

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

Drew Brown

20

NEG

AE 100

Leonie Anderson

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

27

Winner: Leonie And Neg debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: Attacked Aff didn't defend or attack well

Aff

good question  
good speaking  
good eye contact  
Speak louder  
A little more organized  
Use your time better

Neg

good speaking  
Organized  
fun examples

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 1/2 minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 1/2 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 1/2 minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 1/2 minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Room: MS 101  
Start: 5:30 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

3

Madeline Brague  
Zody, Griffin  
West

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AB 118

Kylie Hansen

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29.5

NEG

AA 117

Kendrick Craig

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

Winner: AB 118

School/Team

debating on the Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? no

Signature: Madeline Brague

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff:

Good job keeping up with your opponent's arguments, also good job pointing out where he needs evidence to support his claim. Be confident, you are saying all the right stuff!

Neg:

Great job explaining why surveillance still makes money and why a human life is worth more than money. Explaining impacts is super important, especially for ethics questions.

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Overall:

I ended up voting aff because of the evidence. Kendrick, all your claims were great, just make sure you back it up.

Room: MS 102  
Start: 5:30 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

3

Okazaki, Kenzo

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AD 125

Genesis Wardle

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

NEG

AA 116

Braxton Taylor

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28.5

Winner: AD 125 School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff has link to racism  
impacts which outweigh  
neg offense because of a  
deficit of evidence - numbers  
and really help out

Both debaters did  
an amazing job!

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute



# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 115

Brayden Caldwell

POINTS

(0 - 30)

27

NEG

AH 126

Elisa Shao

POINTS

(0 - 30)

26

Winner: Brayden debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg)  
Low point win? no

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff 35  
1: Morally wrong  
- Gov should follow constitution amendment 4  
2: Freedom of speech is lost  
- people will feel uncomfortable  
- Amend 9 would be crossed  
3: Invasion of privacy  
(entering bubble)  
ie: Drones

Neg  
Obs 1: True on balance  
Val: Legit government  
crit: upholding democracy  
C1: Low voter turnout  
C2: Surveillance is good to figure out citizens opinions  
C3: Key to safety  
democracy prevents war?

Aff  
Restates points  
violation of rights

Neg  
voting is only way to develop  
Boston Marathon could've been topped  
Millions could die in bombing :/  
↓  
Dying > Freedom

Aff  
Why don't people just vote?

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

## Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

## Critiques

- Both sides did well  
- Both sides need more evidence  
- Point about taking surveillance to figure out opinions is hard to argue

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AD 124

David Ban

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

29

NEG

AA 112

Canyon Allan

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

27.5

Winner:

AD 124

School/Team

debating on the

Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

no

Signature:

*[Handwritten signatures]*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

David - Well spoken, could be a little more fluid and analyze your ideas more.

Canyon - You relied a little too much on generalizations (i.e. bad, etc.)  
But had some very good points!

RFD: Weakened encryptions.

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Room: MS 104  
Start: 6:25 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

4

Zody, Griffin  
West

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AE 100

Leonie Anderson

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28.5

NEG

AA 112

Canyon Allan

POINTS

(0 - 30)

27

Winner:

AE 100  
School/Team

debating on the

Aff  
Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Mindy Z

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Critiques given orally

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

RF0: Racism still stands as an unaddressed  
issue. Aff does impact weighing so I  
evaluate ~~issue~~ racism as the most important. Means that  
even if terrorism is happening, racism is worse.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AH 127

Gideon Gomm

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

25

NEG

AD 124

David Ban

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

24

Winner: Gideon Gomm debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Kusty Cip

Comments & Reason for Decision: Clear value, unique arguments

Both debaters gave good arguments and clear criteria. They gave good arguments but did not attack the opposing argument very well.

**Point Scale:**

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Get to the point quicker. By the end of the debate we were finally getting somewhere. Talk more about the impact. Why should I care? How does this affect you on the street. Also DON'T TALK SO FAST! Being understood is more important than an overload of information.

**Speech Times:**

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

There was not an overwhelming winner. Good arguments, very respectful, keep debating!

Room: MS 100  
Start: 6:25 PM  
Lincoln-Douglas

4

Hernandez, Chase  
Maeser Prep

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AH 126

Elisa Shao

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

NEG

AA 116

Braxton Taylor

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28.5

Winner: Elisa Shao School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Karly Jolado

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Affirmative provided a stable framework that was extended through the round.  
The Negative did not provide a framework and dropped the first 2 contentions of the Affirmative.

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 + minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 + minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 + minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

Elisa

Braxton

To the both of you, great job speaking / presenting. You both have a strong tone and avoided filler words.

Strong IAC :)

Remember to include your value/criterion in your arguments.  
You didn't extend them until the very end.

Great INC, but remember to provide framework before the contentions. (value/criterion)

I advise you to add sources as well. :)

Nice job in CX.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 115

Brayden Caldwell

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

NEG

AD 125

Genesis Wardle

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

Winner: Brayden Caldwell debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Elm

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Brayden

- Great involvement with the judge
- Good eye contact
- Good speech no stutters
- 3 points, uncon, privacy, freedom
- Fluctuation of voice more
- explain extensions

Morals vs. Climate Change  
Genesis

- Cx: good question about evidence
- Good evidence
- Great speech
- more eye contact
- no contentions, ecosystem
- Road maps

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 ; minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 ; minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 ; minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 ; minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

RFD: Braden directly linked surveillance and morals, but Genesis had a very good speech on the ecosystem but didn't explain link to surveillance. Additionally two of Brayden's contentions were not attacked. Brayden proved that morality should always be considered. This round was very very HARD to judge, so good job you two and stay in debate!

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 113

Chris Judd

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

NEG

AB 118

Kylie Hansen

POINTS

(0 - 30)

29

Winner: Maeser Prep debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Chris Judd

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- 1.) Chris. Didn't provide a lot of evidence
- 2.) His contentions were too similar.
- 3.) Kylie simply had better, more thought out contentions.

**Point Scale:**

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

**Speech Times:**

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.  
For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.  
Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: A government ought not surveil its citizens.

AFF

AA 117

Kendrick Craig

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

28.5 ~~28.5~~ ~~28.5~~

NEG

AD 123

Braden Shaffer

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

29 ~~29~~ ~~29.5~~

Winner:

AD 123

School/Team

debating on the

Neg

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Braden - you need a card with a good  
util justifications, tons of ev. out there  
and it would be good

Point Scale:

24-30  
No Ties  
.5 Increments

Kendrick - evidence is relevant in LD  
I think but you did really  
good analysis

Speech Times:

Aff Constructive 3 minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
Neg Constructive 3 | minutes  
Cross-Examination 1 | minutes  
First Aff Rebuttal 1 | minutes  
Neg Rebuttal 2 minutes  
Second Aff Rebuttal 1 minute

I vote neg off a risk of util  
offense provided by studies the Neg read  
that ~~basically~~ basically goes conceded.  
Great Job to both of  
you!