

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AH 224	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Avelyn Kulsumphob	28
2	Chloe Cornell	28

## NEG

Spkr	AD 208	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Wilbert Kim	28
2	<del>Wiley</del> McCracken	29.5

Winner: ~~AD 208~~ AH 224

School/Team

debating on the

AFF

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

X

Signature:

*[Signature]*

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

\*Look at your judge in CX, not your opponents\* → Everyone did great in CX!!!

Avelyn: Try signposting a bit more to make it easier on judge.  
Eye contact always helps, so practice reading your speech to make it more convincing. Better confidence + eye contact in rebuttal! Nice answers

Wilbert: You could ~~also~~ make a bit more eye contact, and make your case a bit more convincing by adding emphasis (eye contact will help this ☺) Nice points, but consider focusing on T-substantial move.

Chloe: Try to have a more eye contact + don't just read off speech doc. Nice answer to topicality! Nice impact calc. in rebuttal! Just keep working on confidence and knowing your case a bit better, but great points!  
→ I voted AFF b/c they had great answers to topicality + terror, but everyone did great!

Wiley: Great confidence + great eye contact! Nice answers, ~~although don't use too much evidence in your rebuttal - try to do more explanation and extend your nice explanations.~~  
P.S. Sorry I cut your speech short! Way to go w/ the flow! I could tell you knew your case well + you had a great delivery!

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

GREAT JOB  
EVERYONE!

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	AA 201	POINTS (24 - 30)	Spkr	AE 215	POINTS (24 - 30)
<del>275</del>	Gracey Hinton	27.54 3	<del>275</del>	Ally Abdalla	28
<del>275</del>	Gwen Fischer	28 2 1	<del>275</del>	Peter Chase	29

Winner: Rowland Hall debating on the Neg. Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Sydney Young

Comments & Reason for Decision: The AFF dropped War Powers DA & EXPOST

AFF

NEG

Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

BOTH

- Look @ judge during CX  
- No Pressure! Debate is a game! Have fun! :)  
- Great job to both teams! :)

- The affirmative states the gov. "ought not surveil its citizens." Try to implement a plan. For example: U.S should limit drone use to surveillance only w/a warrant  
- Try to use all your speech time  
- Ask clarifying CX questions. Don't be afraid to ask about whatever you're confused about.  
- Great overview at the beginning of the 1ar! The 1ar was super helpful when you tell me why you won.  
- Make sure to attack the CP + disad

- Try to speak a little quieter if you need to talk during your opponents' speech.  
- Good strategy for this AFF -> it's clear that you understand your args.  
- Try to make use of all of CX.  
- Also, CX is a good time to extend your arguments -> make sure to explain more when they ask questions.  
- Rather than just reading evidence, it's important to have more analytics which extend + explain you  
- Great overview for the 2NR for why you should win!  
- Make sure to answer all of case

Room: US A-6  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Policy

1

Wong, Elaine  
Nagenthram, Prabha  
Waterford

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AH 220	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Madeline Galian	29
1	Sophia Andtbacka	27

## NEG

Spkr	AE 211	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Andres Torres	28
4	Ben Fowler	28

Winner: AE 211 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: Elly

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Constitution Security PRIVACY Does it matter?

Aff: plan: drones warrant, privacy advantage ex: Look at the judge  
neg: <sup>top</sup>cp, topicality: curtail, privacy not impacted but great questions for  
beginner debaters

Andres

Madeline

Ben

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

Drove  
Specific

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

- Notes:  
First speeches  
→ Sophia
- Speak clearer & louder, the gap between us makes it harder
  - Look up
  - Good, you don't have stutters/ums, uhs, likes
  - When you analyze you speak well
  - Good first speech

- Good speed
- more clear
- nice road map
- look up
- Watch for time
- nice clash
- Good first speech
- know how long you speak is

- Great clarity
- and stress of words
- Look up
- nice impacts in the end
- nice timing
- answer direct attacks
- next time

- Good clarity
- Good dash
- Professional attitude
- eye contact, you looked somewhere else

- Good evidence
- Good clash
- Use time

- Good speech
- Good explanation
- Please don't attack but speech especially topicality
- Use all your
- Great final speech
- Good impacts and voters

RFD: I vote for the negative because of topicality & circumvention. Topicality was not addressed until the last speech of the affirmative. The neg also provided more stats about how drones are liked by the public and actual terrorist attacks were prevented by surveillance. This was a hand road to judge the aff had good dash and impacts but didn't address topicality. GOOD LUCK YOU GUYS ARE GREAT DEBATERS

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AB 206	POINTS (24 - 30)
4	Abigail Stark	27.5
2	Anoushka Yadav	28.5

## NEG

Spkr	AH 225	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Taisei Summerhays	28.5
3	Jane Zhang	28

Winner: AH 225 debating on the Neg Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Madeline Bague

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1a

- great clear voice, you sound confident
- make some eye contact
- in CX be confident in your questions! you're asking the right ones
- in the 1st great job attacking the direct arguments of your opponents

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

1b

- good clear voice
- I would suggest reading topicality before solvency
- great strategy against the aff
- great explanation of why a terrorist threat is bigger than the aff's threats

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

2a

- your answer to the terrorism disadvantage was great
- great explanation of how surveillance leads to racism and why you would need to do the aff to solve
- I would suggest using some evidence against their solvency arguments
- good attack on lack of evidence

2b

- great extensions of topicality
- good that you specified that status quo is better
- good evidence for yes war
- awesome impact calculus

Room: MS 202  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Policy

1

Lessnick, Jaden  
Rowland Hall-St. Mark's

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AA 203	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Jessica Glaser	26 <del>25</del>
2	Emily Boone	27

## NEG

Spkr	AE 213	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Andy Merrill	28
2	Steven Doctorman	29

Winner: Rowland Hall debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision: Enough offense on the CP - D4 to vote Neg

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Room: MS 201  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Policy

1

**BARKER, MADDIE**  
Rowland Hall-St. Mark's

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AA 202	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Levi Clarke	28.5
1	William Bosen	28.5

## NEG

Spkr	AE 214	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Daniel Carlebach	29
1	Jade Cole	30

Winner: Rowland Hall debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

William!

- great cross ex questions!
- practice your 1st speech more
- you were very fluent in the rebuttal

Levi:

- your 2AC had a lot of great arguments, try to focus them a little more clearly

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

Jade:

- great speaking
- don't rush too much, but still awesome
- awesome impact case in WR!

Daniel:

Rebut! you were doing great. I'm pretty sure you didn't read the wrong evidence and your speaking is very strong

For all:

- try to use all speech time. I know you have more to say!
- It's good practice to face

All team:

- make sure to address the neg's off case, even if you're just using impact calculus

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Neg: 2A we

RFD: el voter neg because the impacts of the terror DA outweigh the right to privacy. There was a better explanation of the terror impacts

than why right to privacy is important

Room: MS 200  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Policy

1

Matheson, Will

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF

Spkr	AD 209	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Brenten Bowman	29.5
2	Haley Stafford	30

NEG

Spkr	AH 223	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Lauren Bacon	29
1	Jane Galian	28.5

Winner: AH 223 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ☒

Signature: Will Matheson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: I buy the neg's argument  
that doing the plan first with  
the perm means they do ex-ante  
review which triggers the terror DA.  
This means I can't vote on the  
perm, which was the 2AR strategy.  
I was really impressed in this round!

Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Comments:

good speaking by all  
give off-time road maps  
neg: don't laugh when giving speech  
good CX

aff- try a perm  
where you  
do the CP-  
makes the perm  
work  
best

Room: The Forum  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Policy

1

BANURI, KIVAN

Maher, Josh  
Excelsior

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise. For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments. Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time. Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AG 219	POINTS (24 - 30)
1A	Dylan Hefley	29.5
2A	Isaiah Ortiz	29

## NEG

Spkr	AA 204	POINTS (24 - 30)
1N	Logan Isom	26
2N	Tanner Stettler	27

Winner: AG 219 debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: Neg just has some technical, and confidence things to work on. Aff did a great job, and Neg too!  
but Neg needs to elaborate a tad more

1A: Great Speaking for a middle School debater! Only tip is to speak louder when speaking. I was so surprised at how well you spoke, though!

2A: Try to manipulate! You both are so amazing at answering, ~~but~~ and asking questions, but I would keep a separate paper w/ your flows, and write Q's on that.

2AC: Great Speaking on everything! I loved your extensions! Just explain your plan/ impacts more. Reel me in!

1NC: CONFIDENCE IS KEY! You did a great job on clarity, and you can only move up from there! You need to just relax, and pretend that debate is a game

2NC: CONFIDENCE IS KEY! The courts argument was amazing, but if you stated an impact, I would have voted neg.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Room: US A-2  
Start: 4:00 PM  
Policy

1

AFF  
1:00

NEG  
1:45  
1:15

Wong, Elaine  
Maeser Prep

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	AE 216	POINTS (24 - 30)	Spkr	AH 221	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Sophie Dau	29.5	1	Alexander Irvine	30
4	Maddy Frech	28.5	3	Timothy Liang	29

Winner: AH 221 debating on the NEG Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Keely Toledo

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Maddy

Great eye contact and clear speaking.  
when delivering your second speech, focus more on the evidence you have brought up in previous speeches and weigh the key issues

Sophie

Nice speed and clarity in the 2AC  
make sure to use your evidence to link right into their case and explain ~~what~~ why you are correct rather than reading evidence.  
Great rebuttal speech in impact calc.

RFD:

The perm on the supreme court cp was not strong enough to weigh out the terrorism DA and terrorism outweighs the probability of a totalitarian state.

Timothy

You are a very great speaker and answered CX questions very well.

I enjoyed how you explained and analyzed why and how your case linked to the AFF and why the NEG should win.

Alexander

very good cross-ex questions and follow up questions, make sure your spewing stays clear.  
(speed drills can help)  
Also, great delivery of the 2NR and summing everything up

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes-  
\*CX 2 minute-  
1NC 5 minutes-  
\*CX 2 minute-  
2AC 5 minutes-  
\*CX 2 minute-  
2NC 5 minutes-  
\*CX 2 minute-  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes-  
1AR 3 minutes-  
2NR 3 minutes-  
2AR 3 minutes

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	AD 210	POINTS (24 - 30)	Spkr	AH 222	POINTS (24 - 30)
2A	Ellie Orton	26.5	2N	HeeJoon Jeon	29
1A	Gwen Sturgeon	26	1N	Grant Dunkley	28.5

Winner: West High debating on the Neg Low point win? No  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: Keep extending + answering ALL args. (CP)

Neg: Make sure to answer in the 1N, whatever you want in the debate.

1A = Gwen

1N = Grant

2A = Ellie

2N = HeeJoon.

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

I voted neg because they won on the DA. They had a well argued Terror DA, that went essentially unanswered throughout the constructive speeches, and Rebuttals. The aff also never explained why having privacy outweighs extinction. For the neg, make sure to answer aff case args. For the AFF, awesome round, you didn't answer case well in the 2 NC, but that was made up for. Speak quick and clear! Keep debating y'all.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AF 218	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Tarun Kumar Martheswaran	28
1	Shilp Shah	29

## NEG

Spkr	AE 217	POINTS (24 - 30)
4	Ty Lunde	27
3	Anna Fukushima	27

Winner: AF 218 debating on the AFF Low point win? NO  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Karli Jolalo

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

The AFF team were able to extend their impacts through and show their plan was more effective.

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

GREAT JOB! ☺

Tarun

Good clear voice  
in CX + Rebuttals

Remember signposting

Shilp

Nice eye-contact in  
the IAC

Remember to look  
at judge during CX.

Remember to signpost!

Ty Lunde

Great job in your  
analysis!

Remember to use all of  
time.

Anna

Very clear in  
stating evidence.

Remember to make eye-contact  
with the judge.

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AB 205	POINTS (24 - 30)
21	Jacob Cottle	27.5
23	Adam Cottle	29

## NEG

Spkr	AA 200	POINTS (24 - 30)
43	DeeAnn Wayman	28
24	Angela Wayman	28

Winner:

AB 205

School/Team

debating on the

Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? no

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Comments on speakers:

Jacob- Read over your speech a couple more times to eliminate any "um's" and "like's." Besides that great first speech! ~~Also~~ On the detail side, look for some more specificity w/in ~~the~~ your speech (how, what type of warrants, etc.)

Angela- Great speech! Very fluid and smooth. If I had to give you one critique it would be to ~~delve~~ deeper into the points you make; you still had a lot of time to work with.

Adam- Organize, organize, organize! You are a good speaker but you can ~~can~~ better yourself by ~~more~~ thinking your speech through a little more.

DeeAnn- Good speaking in general, but look to improve your enunciation. You had some really good portions, but other times it was a little difficult

BFD: I ended up voting on the aff because they proved that their plan solved and provide an additional benefit.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
• 1AC 5 minutes  
• \*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
• \*CX 2 minute  
• 2AC 5 minutes  
• \*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
• \*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
• 1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
• 2AR 3 minutes

TO ALL: USE MORE TIME TO DEVELOP IDEAS!

And GOOD JOB!

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AD 208	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Wilbert Kim	27.5
2	Kiley McCracken	27.5

## NEG

Spkr	AE 216	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Sophie Dau	28.5
2	Maddy Frech	28.5

Winner:

AE 216

debating on the

Neg

Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

*Kung Lee*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1A: good at answering CX. good research and knowledge on the topic, good rebuttal on topicality, work on impact calculus, answer the CP more,

1N: Good analysis of terror def + circumvention, good CX questions, work on topicality, good circumvention analysis, in 1NR talk more about terror,

2A: Good CX questions, nice rebuttals on arguments in 2AC, work more on impact analysis, ~~good~~ 2AR should tell us why the plan outweighs the negative impact, good engagement on link level of the debate.

2N: Work on topicality analysis, good analysis on the EX POSCP, read the CP in the INC instead. THANK YOU FOR SPLITTING THE BLOCK, good link analysis on the CP and how you @ terror, work more on impact analysis,

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

RFD: I vote for the negative, the impact outweighs the aff privacy impact (the terror is in da)

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF 1:30:45:35

Spkr	AA 204	POINTS (24-30)
2A	Logan Isom	28
1A	Tanner Stettler	28.5

NEG 1:40

Spkr	AD 210	POINTS (24-30)
2N	Ellie Orton	27.5
1N	Gwyn Sturgeon	29

Winner: AD 210 - Ellie + Gwyn debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Tanner  
• Cite your sources  
• Use your time - esp. in the 1AR  
• Extend  
• Signpost  
• It's not enough to say that your opponent didn't respond to something. Extend + explain why it matters.

Gwyn  
• Make sure you're picking a neg strat that clashes w/ your opponent's case.  
• Work on time management.  
• Make sure you're responding to your opponent's case  
• Extend +  
• Signpost

Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

Logan  
• Cite your sources  
• Use your time - you had 2 min. remaining  
• Extend  
• Signpost  
• No new 2AR args

Ellie  
• Work on structure - interp, violation, standards, voters.  
• Extend  
• Signpost  
• No new 2NR args  
• Go for T!

Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

RFD: Neg wins NSA solves terror.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AH 225	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Taisei Summerhays	29
1	Jane Zhang	29.5

## NEG

Spkr	AA 203	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Jessica Glaser	28.5
1	Emily Boone	28.5

Winner: West High debating on the Aff Low point win? No  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Jane:

- really fluid speaking, just be clearer on taglines
- make sure you use CX for the "V" questions
- great rebuttal too keep it up!

Emily:

- great emphasis while speaking
- Make sure to use all your speech time, add a couple more points

Taisei:

- good confidence while speaking
- you have great evidence but make sure you don't rely only on it

Jessica:

- you have such a great speaking style! You have a lot of passion.
- Try to avoid ad hominem attacks about confidence + cross ex and focus more on the substantive arguments of the debate

\* Really great job  
Close round!  
just try to use  
all of your  
speech time.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

DEFO: I vote aff because their plan does not limit cameras and drones  
so I don't evaluate the negative impact of terrorism.

Room: The Forum  
Start: 4:45 PM  
Policy

2

Eravati Srivasth  
Sabita Bastakoti  
~~Bernstein, David~~

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF

Spkr	AE 214	POINTS (24 - 30)
4	Daniel Carlebach	25
1	Jade Cole	29

NEG

Spkr	AG 219	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Dylan Hefley	26.5
2	Isaiah Ortiz	28

Winner:

Union

debating on the

Neg

Low point win?

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

SR + DS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ortiz - Good structure, ~~good~~ <sup>great</sup> rebuttal

CC - less repetitive evidence

Great job :)

Hefley - Good speech, good framework

CC - shortening / flow words

Cole - Great speech, great framework

Great speaking

versity quality

CC - rebuttal too short

Carlebach - Great speech, good structure, well organized

CC - It's ok to be nervous, you're really good when you're nervous

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

You were very well organized & well prepared

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	Aff	POINTS (24 - 30)
2AC	HeeJoon Jeon	30
1AC	Grant Dunkley	28

## NEG

Spkr	Neg	POINTS (24 - 30)
1N	Levi Clarke	27
2N	William Bosen	25

Winner: West High debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NA

Signature: Aut Truth

Comments & Reason for Decision:

14<sup>th</sup> Blw. "Economologist" - Not a thing.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

- William → What the heck with drones?
- The majority of your case args were about drones, this is a racial profiling aff. Their race contention go unanswered.

- Levi →
- Back up your claims with evidence.
- Counter Util better

- Grant →
- Make sure to point out that their drones Aff NEG claim is ridiculous! (Counter it any way on the Low)
- HeeJoon →
- You are trying to answer some ridiculous claims Don't!

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments .

Do not disclose a winner , but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved : The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AE 213	POINTS (24 - 30)
	Andy Merrill	29
	Steven Doctorman	29

## NEG

Spkr	AB 205	POINTS (24 - 30)
	Jacob Cottle	28
	Adam Cottle	28

Winner: AE 213 debating on the Aff Low point win? No  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Griffin Zody

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff outweighs, qualified authors  
of the aff take precedence  
over claims from the  
neg.

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Aff. Good work, maybe cut down extensions some and focus more on answers

Neg Good claims, but you need qualified authors backing up your claims. Make sure everything has an impact.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AH 221	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Alexander Irvine	29
1	Timothy Liang	28.5

## NEG

Spkr	AF 218	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Tarun Kumar Marthswaran	28.5
1	Shilp Shah	28

Winner: AH 221 debating on the AFF Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

N  
~~Timothy~~  
:53  
Timothy 1:28

Very smart first  
Cross ex question.  
Awesome impact calculus!  
You did a really good  
job pointing out flaws  
in their logic and  
arguments.  
Alexander

Good job pointing  
out that they  
agree 20C solves  
terrorism in CK.

→ that the probability of  
privacy violation and totalitarianism  
is much larger than  
Shilp a risk of terrorism

Good confidence in speaking  
Good speaking overall.  
Be sure to use all  
your speech time.

Taran  
Be sure to  
use all your speech  
time.  
You spoke very clearly.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

RFD

I vote aff. The  
affirmative did more  
impact calculus to explain  
why their impacts came  
first, I mostly vote on  
the probability argument

Room: US A-6  
Start: 4:45 PM  
Policy

2

*Curtis Wardle*  
**Nagenthram, Prabha**  
Waterford

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.


## AFF

Spkr	AA 200	POINTS (24 - 30)
Z	DeeAnn Wayman	27
1	Angela Wayman	27

## NEG

Spkr	AH 220	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Madeline Galian	28
Z	Sophia Andtbacka	27

Winner: AH 220 debating on the NEG Low point win? WP  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*cl noted on the terror DA and  
Pres availability DA*

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Room: MS 204  
Start: 4:45 PM  
Policy

2

*Superior*  
**Wong, Elaine**  
Maeser Prep

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AE 215	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	4 Ally Abdalla	27
1	1 Peter Chase	28

## NEG

Spkr	AB 206	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	3 Abigail Stark	28
2	2 Anoushka Yadav	28

Winner: AE 215 debating on the Aff Low point win? X  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: Conceded Defense on the CP, No answer to defense on Terror

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Peter's  
good speaker  
really good but  
didn't need (1) in her

Ally:  
~~seem to impact on (1)~~  
extends the point in the 2nd  
make sure to answer all  
opponent args

Abigail:  
~~Make sure to answer all their offense args~~  
~~Extend the point on X part~~  
need an impact to (1)

Anoushka:  
Be responsive on the CP  
make sure to explain how CP resolves the

Room: US B-2  
Start: 4:45 PM  
Policy

2

AFF  
HSS  
1:00

NEG  
1:30

Brague, Madeline

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AE 217	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Ty Lunde	29
1	Anna Fukushima	30

## NEG

Spkr	AH 224	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Avelyn Kulsumphob	29.5
4	Chloe Cornell	28.5

Winner: AH 224 debating on the NEG  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? Yes

Signature: Mady Mad

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**Ty:** Great tone and clarity in the 1AC and quick summary at the end. very good cx questions throughout the round

**Chloe:** very good eye contact and great posture. I liked how you were able to give an analysis of the AFF's arguments

**Anna:** Awesome speed and clarity throughout your speech, you had 2 min left, use it all next time.

**Avelyn:** Nice speed and remaining clear. Also nice use of impact calculus on the terror argument.

**RFD:** coming down to the issue of privacy v. security, the NEG proves that a decrease in surveillance will lead to more terrorism and thus more surveillance and shows that racism can't be solved, ~~the~~ overall, everything else was met with clash and answered.

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes -  
\*CX 2 minute -  
1NC 5 minutes -  
\*CX 2 minute -  
2AC 5 minutes -  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise. For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments. Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	Team	POINTS (24 - 30)	Spkr	Team	POINTS (24 - 30)
1A	Lauren Bacon	28	1N	Gracey Hinton	29
2A	Jane Galian	30	2N	Gwen Fischer	27.5

Winner: AA 201 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: Back + Forth, but the aff didn't prove the right things to focus on, they argued links, and solvency, but the neg already proved both these aspects. You need to focus on Impact calc of privacy and terror.

LAUREN:

- you could use some confidence;
- you are amazing
- you don't need to spend time on arg, spend time on terror

~~GRACEY~~ GWEN

- A little more confidence would do you good
- Don't let them push you around in cx
- You have amazing points that you bring up, and solidify.
- speed drills

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

JANE:

- AMAZING SPEAKING
- 1) You blew my mind
- 2) I have nothing to say here, except to do some speed drills. You, in the division you're in, are above and beyond

~~GRACEY~~ GRACEY

- GREAT CONFIDENCE!
- speed drills
- you are so good @ cx, just learn CP's DA's, and the technical stuff w/ your coach.
- AMAZING ANALYTICS

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise. For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments. Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF		
Spkr	AE 211	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Andres Torres	28
1	Ben Fowler	27

NEG		
Spkr	AD 209	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Brenten Bowman	27.5
2	Haley Stafford	28

28.5

Winner: AD 209 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: RFD: Neg on topicality. AFF -> explain your interpretation and WHY WE DON'T VOTE. Neg wins on 1/min

A 1:50  
N 1:27  
1:50

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1AR 3 minutes  
1NR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

1A  
- good clarity & emphasis  
- Slowed down later, keep it up!  
- Check advantages  
- 1AR - try to follow argument by argument - CP & topicality

2A  
- Good C/P block.  
- Answer T first  
- little clearer

1N  
- Be clearer about what is card and what is your explanation.  
- You need an argument that makes your C/P and the plan different. A net-benefit.  
- good clarity & emotion

2N  
- Nice focus on perm  
- check word economy  
- "You can't do the perm because you can't do the perm and do both."  
- Don't understand how the perm works.

- EXPLAIN YOUR INTERP.

- less about citation/sources, more about substance.  
- on T, start with explaining your interpretation

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise. For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments. Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.  
Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF		
Spkr	AH 222	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	HeeJoon Jeon	28.5
1	Grant Dunkley	28.5

NEG		
Spkr	AB 205	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Jacob Cottle	26
1	Adam Cottle	26

Winner: AH 222 debating on the AFF Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Grant: Nice job!

(AC) Try to make a bit more eye contact - don't just read from speech doc. Nice ~~idea~~ impact calc - great speech! just practice a bit more to gain more confidence on

(AB) Nice answers, esp. to theory argument! I liked you upl. point to. just avoid filler words if you can. Also, nice eye contact!

Adam:

(NC) Avoid filler words, and take a deep breathe! Take more prep. if you need, but when in doubt just go down your flow + refute each argument your opponent made. Also, it would be good to have a disadvantage, topicality, or counterplan ready to go for your INC.

(NR) I think you brought up an interesting argument, but this should have happened much earlier in the round. Also, I'm not sure I buy your "no harm in racial profiling" argument, but nice delivery, eye contact, and confidence!

HeeJoon:

(2AC) Nice job! Try to have more eye contact + read off speech doc. less. Also, if you have time left at the end of your speech, just extend the arguments made in the 1AC. otherwise, nice work!

(2AR) Nice job. Just have a bit more confidence, but great answers + nice job wrapping up the debate!

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AD 210	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Ellie Orton	28.5
1	Gwyn Sturgeon	28.5

## NEG

Spkr	AA 202	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Levi Clarke	27.5
2	William Bosen	27.5

Winner: AD 210 debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

A  
2:00

N  
0:34

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Gwyn  
Very well spoken.  
Also very confident  
and explained  
privacy violations  
well

Levi  
Be sure to use all  
your speech time.  
Smart and creative  
arguments about  
internet communications.

Ellie  
Good work  
clearly answering  
their arguments.

William  
Good job pointing  
out when they  
don't have  
evidence and when  
they haven't provided  
examples.

RFD  
The affirmative won their  
privacy impact and also  
won that the NSA  
is ineffective which meant  
there was not a risk of  
the NSA stopping terrorism.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments .

Do not disclose a winner , but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved : The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AE 217	POINTS (24 - 30)
	Ty Lunde	28
	Anna Fukushima	29

## NEG

Spkr	AA 201	POINTS (24 - 30)
	Gracey Hinton	28
	Gwen Fischer	28

Winner: AE 217 debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No  
School/Team

Signature: Griffin Zady

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The reason I have to  
vote aff here is  
that a) The aff helps  
solve for terror by  
decreasing hatred b)

~~Matheson, Will~~

Overload of info helps prevents  
catching terrorists, cutting surveillance  
away means more specific data.

Privacy outweighs terror due to lack  
of impacting terror out in 2NR.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes


# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise. For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments. Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time. Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF		NEG	
Spkr	POINTS	Spkr	POINTS
1A	Peter Chase	1N	Shilp Shah
2A	Ally Abdalla	2N	Tarun Kumar Martheshwaran
AE 215	(24-30)	AF 218	(24-30)

Winner: AE 215 debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Terror turn killed neg strat.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

PETER:

- clear speaking, speaking drills  
- slow down on tag lines  
- confidence  
- GREAT  
- IMPACT + TURN  
- REBUTTAL

ALLY:

- extend more  
- speaking drills  
- confidence  
- have for your own, you can do it  
- spend more time on CX  
- confidence!  
- govead off of your cards  
- that were previous, just  
- pay attention to what you're  
- reading  
- spend time on case about  
- drop

SHILP:

- good CX Q's, focusing  
- on timeframe + cost  
- Nice volume! And passion!

Speech Times:  
Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minutes  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minutes  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minutes  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minutes  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

Point Scale:  
24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AB 206	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Abigail Stark	28
1	Anoushka Yadav	28.5

## NEG

Spkr	AA 200	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	DeeAnn Wayman	28
4	Angela Wayman	27.5

Winner: AB 206 School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: ~~Racism~~ Racism X addressed by the neg. Would've voted on the terror DA but there was no impact

## AFF

## NEG

- The Negative doesn't need to be topical, so don't place too much emphasis here.
- Be sure to explain why racism leads to loss of life.
- Be sure to counter the terror disad and explain why curtailing surveillance doesn't lead to more terrorism.
- Great job extending case, but explain why racism causes loss of life. Also, good explanation on why life is valuable.

- Make sure to use all your speech time
- Great overview of your points at the end of the 2NC!
- Try to put more emphasis on case (I think the "racism adv." could've had more emphasis in the neg. block.
- Great job handling the Terror Disad!
- ~~that's all~~
- Excellent work on Terror, but try to provide an impact & explain why it turns the case.

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

### Both

- Use all of your speech time.
- Stay with debate - you did such a great job & are so good!
- Speak with more intonation.
- Good organization!

Great debate!

Room: MS 205  
Start: 6:25 PM  
Policy

3

147 | 125  
10 | 42

Wardle, Curtis  
Mount Logan Middle

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AD 209	POINTS (24-30)
1A	Brenten Bowman	29.5
2A	Haley Stafford	29

## NEG

Spkr	AA 204	POINTS (24-30)
2N	Logan Isom	26.5
1N	Tanner Stettler	27

Winner: AD 209 debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1A - good clarity + volume  
nice ABD + timing  
Answer line by line refutation of their arguments  
Really good explanation of your arguments  
try to extend some of the 2AC arguments a bit more

2A - good at questions  
- nice volume + eye contact  
- put tag first, then cite  
- try to avoid an underwire  
- make sure to answer arguments in the 2AC

1N - try to use all of time  
good speed + volume  
I think the best card on terror  
link to the drones aff  
nice at answers  
try to extend the argument

2N - try to get away from the  
"Can you explain question?"

- make sure you ask the questions of the 1AC

try to extend the arguments and to use all speech time

RFD - My drops all 3 off advantages and only has a reason why the plan can't solve, not why the plan is worse bad

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AE 211	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Andres Torres	28.5
1	Ben Fowler	28.5

## NEG

Spkr	AH 221	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Alexander Irvine	29
2	Timothy Liang	27.5

Winner: AE 211 debating on the Aff Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Maya Panti

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

- |  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| (1AC)<br><u>Ben</u>  | (1NC)<br><u>Alexander</u>  | (2AC)<br><u>Andres</u>   | (2NC)<br><u>Timothy</u>   |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- good Speed</li> <li>- good emphasis</li> <li>- Be clearer on Cites</li> <li>- good road maps</li> <li>- Try not to read cards in rebuttles</li> <li>- good job hitting all points in 1AR</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very Clear</li> <li>- good CX Answers</li> <li>- Watch rhetorical ?'s in CX</li> <li>- Explain links</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- good direct arguments w/ evidence</li> <li>- Relate other teams args a little more</li> <li>- Strong 2AR</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- good time Management</li> <li>- Give road maps.</li> <li>- good 2NR It was specific to their case</li> <li>- cannot perm in the 2NR</li> </ul> |
- Good Round!  
Keep Debating!

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes ✓  
\*CX 2 minute ✓  
1NC 5 minutes ✓  
\*CX 2 minute ✓  
2AC 5 minutes ✓  
\*CX 2 minute ✓  
2NC 5 minutes ✓  
\*CX 2 minute ✓  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes ✓  
1AR 3 minutes ✓  
2NR 3 minutes ✓  
2AR 3 minutes ✓

RFD: The aff won on Privacy Agg impacts and Surveillance.  
Neg dropped Integration.

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AD 208	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Wilbert Kim	2 28.5
2	Kiley McCracken	1 27.5

## NEG

Spkr	AA 203	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Jessica Glaser	2 27.5
2	Emily Boone	1 28

Winner:

AD 208

debating on the

Aff

Low point win?

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: Aff outweighs and the neg does not have a persuasive impact story at the end of the round. Why is the plan a bad idea?

Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

your practice last speech (Neg) was very good. you compared your impacts to the aff. and talked more about terrorists.

Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

1A

- Vague Plan B
- What is the advantage?
- good speaking.

2A

- good job extending your case
- Make sure you answer ALL their arguments, especially the "drones solve crime."

1N

- Very Straight forward
- good cross-x. confident & calm
- INR should be different than 2NR.

2N

- I like how you actively listen to your partner
- good youtube joke/argument

Room: The Forum  
Start: 6:25 PM  
Policy

3

Ask, Kenji  
**Lessnick, Jaden**  
Rowland Hall-St. Mark's

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

AFF <del>Neg</del>		POINTS (24 - 30)
Spkr	AH 224	
2	Avelyn Kulsumphob	28.5
1	Chloe Cornell	28.5

NEG <del>Aff</del>		POINTS (24 - 30)
Spkr	AE 214	
	Daniel Carlebach	
1+2	Jade Cole	28.0

Winner: AH224 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

School/Team

Signature: Kenji Lessnick

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1A: Good CX questions, remember to roadmap, good line by line ~~on the~~ in the 2AC  
add impact analysis in 2AC, extend solvency better, you should  
link turn terror, don't read new cards in the 2AR.

#### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

1W: Work on CX questions (make them effective), good impact analysis,  
good analysis of the BelkoB card, split the block so that your  
1WR is not redundant,

1:30

#### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

2W: Contextualize the terror DIA more, have a link to the ~~terror~~ aff,  
provide better link analysis on the terror dia, good impact  
calculus, impact circumvention better,

Everybody: Let's have the structural racism talk, talk about freedom  
act.

RFD: maverick  
automatically  
loses ~

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas: drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	Aff	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Madeline Galian	29.5
4	Sophia Andtbacka	28

## NEG

Spkr	Neg	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Sophie Dau	28.5
2	Maddy Frech	29

Winner: Aff 220 debating on the Aff Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Madeline Brague

Comments & Reason for Decision:

### Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

### Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

1a  
- good explanation of the chain of events going from data localization to terrorism and loss of leadership and economy health  
- good explaining how your aff solves the impacts better

2a  
- great knowledge of your aff and you exude confidence  
- good explanation of your impacts and how NSA checks trust  
- the turns on the terror impact were great  
- be sure to touch on topicality  
- good attack on the counterplan

1b  
- great strategy against this aff, ex-post counterplan w/ terror DA is good for metadata  
- don't apologize in your speech, you're doing great!  
- your CX answer with your counterplan was solid, you know your stuff  
- good attack on solvency  
- good use of impact modules like timeframe, probability, magnitude, etc

2b  
- great explanation of the impacts to topicality (education, ground, etc)  
- good job explaining why your evidence is better  
- awesome impact comparison

- because they didn't answer topicality you can make that a huge deal in your 1AR speech

# 6TH ANNUAL RHSM MIDDLE SCHOOL DEBATE TOURNAMENT AND PIZZA PARTY

Apr 5 - 5, 2016

Thank you for your participation! This tournament could not take place without your involvement and expertise.

For some students this is their first time debating. Please be constructive, positive, and educational in your comments.

Do not disclose a winner, but feel free to give verbal feedback. Please stay on time.

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially curtail its domestic surveillance in one or more of the following areas:  
drones, targeted profiling, and/or National Security Agency meta-data.

## AFF

Spkr	AG 219	POINTS (24-30)
1	Dylan Hefley	30
2	Isaiah Ortiz	29.5

## NEG

Spkr	AH 225	POINTS (24-30)
4	Taisei Summerhays	28.5
3	Jane Zhang	29

Winner: AG 219 debating on the AFF Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Keely Jabor

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

**Dylan:** Great speed and clarity and delivery during CX. I can tell you know your evidence well. Always address what the NEG says even if it takes around 10 sec.

**Isaiah:** work on maintaining speed and clarity and remaining organized. when going over your case, don't just state the author and tag line, go into the evidence and use specifics.

**Jane:** Great job! I know you may have no idea what they said, but don't worry, what you said actually applied to their case.

**Taisei:** when in doubt, ask as many questions as you can to clarify their case. the speeches you did state did apply, take it till you make it.

## Point Scale:

24-30  
No ties  
.5 increments

## Speech Times:

Constructive Speeches  
1AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
1NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2AC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
2NC 5 minutes  
\*CX 2 minute  
Rebuttal Speeches  
1NR 3 minutes  
1AR 3 minutes  
2NR 3 minutes  
2AR 3 minutes

**RFD:** The NEG never addressed the cyber security and human intelligence argument. Also internet was ~~not~~ dropped, only offense was on terrorism.