

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Gale Ranch Middle Chakraborty & John (Chakraborty & John) vs Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat (Schletzbaum & Madnawat)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Gale Ranch Middle

Milpitas

PRO

CON

Winner:

Milpitas

debating on the

CON

School/Team

Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:

School:

NOVA 42

Other judges on panel: Jatinder Kaur, Mayank Killedar. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Risha, Leah, and Brandon did not speak to me as effectively as Rahul.

1% increase of refugees = 18% increase in terror...
argument was more CONVINCING.

CON conducted themselves a little more calm
little more professional.

CON argument that we should provide for
refugees up until it affects the national interests
was more CONVINCING.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Brandon Rahul
Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat (Schletzbaum & Madnawat) vs Gale Ranch Middle
Risha Chakraborty & John (Chakraborty & John) Leah

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Gale Ranch Middle

Milpitas

PRO

CON

Winner: Milpitas School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Harker

Other judges on panel: Richard Jin, Jatinder Kaur. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Chakraborty

- good strong delivery

- know your warrants
don't read so much

- don't say ref. take jobs w/o need of "brain power"

Madnawat

- know your own homework

- very clear delivery

- participate more in grand cross

John

- good passion

- cite cards

- make responses more concise

Schletzbaum

- be more clear

- cite cards before reading a card

- solid responses

- don't cut speeches short

Gale Ranch

- have articles downloaded as pdfs

- know what social contract 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights Social contract

- have cards

Milpitas

- good prep

- know the speaking orders

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat (Schletzbaum & Madnawat) vs Gale Ranch Middle
Chakraborty & John (Chakraborty & John)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

MILPITAS <u>GALE RANCH</u>	MILPITAS <u>GALE RANCH</u>
PRO	CON

Winner: MILPITAS debating on the CON
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: TCA

Other judges on panel: Richard Jin, Mayank Killedar. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Room: DOBBINS 105
Start: 4:00 PM
Public Forum

Quarters

DEBASISH CHAKRABORTY

Kyle, James

Nova 42

GALE RANCH

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Chu & Lu (Chu & Lu) vs TCA Hiremath & Ranjan (Hiremath & Ranjan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

BASIS PRO TCA CON

Winner: TCA School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Debasish Chakraborty School: _____

Other judges on panel: Eshan Gupta, Praveer Kumar. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Basis:

Chu: Defended the Contention nicely. At times you seemed to be running out of breath.

Lu: I like your speaking style. Good body language. One example of good content: 'Was not there any terrorist and attack between 2001 & 2015?'

TCA:

Hiremath: Nice speech delivery. All the sentences nicely understood. Little bit focus on time might will be helpful.

Ranjan: I like your style. very passionate and ~~strong~~ shows you firmly believe in what you are saying. Calm & confident

First of all, you all have a great debating future lying ahead of you. Keep up the good job. Both had solid reasons backed up by concrete data source. However, the 'con' team brought up a good point on the effect on social structure. I expected 'pros' to have some better argument. For both of the teams - you guys went bit aggressive on the question 'what is the limit?'

Room: DOBBINS 105
Start: 4:00 PM
Public Forum

Quarters

Lin, Jimmy
(Harker)

~~Gupta, Eshan~~
Harker

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Hiremath & Ranjan (Hiremath & Ranjan) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Chu & Lu
(Chu & Lu)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

BASIS CL

TCA HR

PRO

CON

1:05
:17

Winner:

TCA HR

debating on the

CON

1:18
:45

School/Team

Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:

School:

Harker

Other judges on panel: Praveer Kumar, James Kyle. Please do not start until all judges are present.

CON Framework

Econ wash

Bought Terror

Ard not refuted, should've fallen

rest in oral RFD ☺

Room: DOBBINS 105
Start: 4:00 PM
Public Forum

Quarters

Bains, Gavin
Milpitas

Kumar, Praveer

Harker

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

1st 2nd 1st 2nd
TCA Hiremath & Ranjan (Hiremath & Ranjan) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Chu & Lu (Chu & Lu)

2nd
Chu & Lu
BASIS
PRO

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

1st
TCA Hiremath & Ranjan
CON

Winner: BASIS Independent Chu & Lu debating on the PRO
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Milpitas

Other judges on panel: Eshan Gupta, James Kyle. Please do not start until all judges are present.

1st & 2nd Judges during CX

CON
society we have
1 trillion to Africa
per capita income
GDP less than dollar a day
4000% less smuggling through
refugees
don't exist to protect them

PRO
every child should have access
to better education
refugees would help
labor & economy
2.6 billion refugees

if it's in the budget it doesn't harm economy

if it's in the budget it doesn't harm economy
2.6 trillion increase
with aid of humanitarian
result of refugees
declared as a
border has

if you say your gut's interest is to not take in refugees then that's wrong, why have you taken in refugees by convention

Executive order to be used for (refugees) 1451 Convention

Room: DOBBINS 202
 Start: 4:00 PM
 Public Forum

Quarters

Dang, James
 BASIS

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Liou & Ding (Liou & Ding) vs Nova 42 Wilson & Park (Wilson & Park)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

PRO	Harker	Winner:	Harker	School/Team
CON	Nova 42	debating on the	PRO	Side (Pro or Con)
		School:	DVHS	

Other judges on panel: Marc Naddell, Priya Poolavari. Please do not start until all judges are present.

1. Well thought of content and was forceful in their approach. Pro was persuasive of the opponent's approach by both speakers was good
 4/5

2. Rebuttal points were thought of and backed with facts appeared very likely to be ones humanitarian reform
 4/5

15/20

3. Conclusions: 3/5
 4. Final Q&A: 4/5

2. Rebuttal points were very clearly stated. Used the approach of a clarification b. rebutt c. defend 4/5
 presentation of rebuttal speaker was excellent. Voiced her opinions in clear manner
 3. Conclusions: 3/5
 4. Final Q&A: 4/5

14/20

1. Aggressive in their approach. Was interrupting the answer of the opponent 3/5
 2. Rebuttal points were very clearly stated. Used the approach of a clarification b. rebutt c. defend 4/5
 presentation of rebuttal speaker was excellent. Voiced her opinions in clear manner
 3. Conclusions: 3/5
 4. Final Q&A: 4/5

Quarters


Jun Zhong
 Rootavan, Priya
 Brooks

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE
 TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Nova 42 Wilson & Park (Wilson & Park) vs Harker Liou & Ding (Liou & Ding)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

<p>PRO</p> <p>Harker</p>	<p>CON</p> <p>Nova 42</p>
<p>Winner: Harker</p>	<p>debating on the pro</p>
<p>Signature: </p>	<p>School: DVHS</p>

Other judges on panel: James Dang, Marc Naddell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

~~Nova 42 Harker~~

Speaker 1

- collection good evidence
- on humanity arguments

Speaker 2

- argued the moral obligation
- to accept referees
- responded his opponents
- view well by stating
- its not about natural interest
- but obligation
- de du ciu side benefits

~~Harker Nova 42~~

Speaker 1

- clear communication style
- good control by
- ~~good control by~~ ~~and de pro side~~ ~~argument~~
- ③ seems great

Speaker 2

- great out her speech well
- great pace, gestures, and eye contact.
- very logical, country her
- opponents - ^{view} points one by one and

Quarters

Naddell, Marc
 Terman

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE
 TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Liou & Ding (Liou & Ding) vs Nova 42 Wilson & Park (Wilson & Park)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

PRO
 Harker

CON
 Nova

Winner: Nova
 School/Team

debating on the
 Side (Pro or Con)
 Con

Signature: Ryan Wilson
 School: DVHS

Other judges on panel: James Dang, Priya Poolavari. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Round 1

speech ~~very~~
 more eye contact needed
 3/5

Counter Questions & Answers
 Good Questions 4/5

Strong communication skills
 Not enough support for argument
 3/5

Question & Answers
 3/5

Wrap up — support of Argument & Communication 3/5
 Questions & Answers — 4/5

speech ~~more~~
 Strong support of their points.

Impact of speech is better 5/5
 Good Questions. 3/5

Very strong communication skills.
 Strong points & support 5/5

4/5

Dene better 4/5

3/5

Room: DOBBINS 102
Start: 4:00 PM
Public Forum

Quarters

Ashlynn
Timmerman
Prasad, Anil
BASIS

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Mankude & Srinivas (Mankude & Srinivas) vs Harker CP (Cheung & Pan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Harker _____ PRO _____ Dougherty _____ CON _____

Winner: Harker School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Ashlynn Timmerman School: None - UC Berkeley

Other judges on panel: Rachel Chiang, Kanaiya Vasani. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pro: good points; greatest refugees since WWII; affects on refugees very persuasive, refugees benefit countries economies; what is the actual likelihood of terrorists, where would they go?; investments of Syrians in other middle East country. Burden sharing.
Refugees \Rightarrow terrorists w/out aid; very good asking point if countries are ~~not~~ currently

Con: Strains on infrastructure & increased w/ refugees; prevailing terrorism; National interest to solve root cause. Refugees causing poor infrastructure

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Topic: ^{Refugees} ~~Refugees~~
Dougherty Valley Bridge Mankude & Srinivas (Mankude & Srinivas) vs Harker CP (Cheung & Pan)

our mutual interest
Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Harker _____ PRO _____
Dougherty Valley Bridge _____ CON _____

Winner: ~~Harker~~ Harker School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: _____ School: Cal

Other judges on panel: Rachel Chiang, Anil Prasad. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Harker (Pro)

- international harm
- people (Syria) have experienced moral violence
- many people are affected by the war.
- people need help. (financial, disaster, all poor.)
- world coming, people will die. need help!! (use resources)

[ex]
- terrorist attack is unlikely

- doublebind?
- increase GDP of refugees.

↳ net positive

↳ (could connect about the power of many questionable countries)

- ~~refugees~~ have a fine chance to become a terrorists

[ex]
- did really want to be aggressive

- did not want to be aggressive

- save lives

Dougherty (Con)

- not priority refugees
- using resources on war states.
- terrorism could happen if we don't prioritise national security (Panic)
- world is simpler with better states, because they don't act as a refugee.

- 9/11 [ex]
- stampet
- had stronger positions.

- LED is accepting the host refugees in the of the first world countries

- world refugees to get away!

[ex]
- stampet on a terrorist

- killed in ex

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Mankude & Srinivas (Mankude & Srinivas) vs Harker CP (Cheung & Pan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below.

Harker CP

DV Bridge

PRO

CON

Winner: Harker CP

debating on the Pro

School/Team

Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: ~~ASB~~

School: UC Berkeley

Other judges on panel: Anil Prasad, Kanaiya Vasani. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Pro 1: laid out the ~~of~~ problem. Hundreds will die in this fast approaching problem.

Con 1: Debating on whether the priority illegal migrants flooding Europe.

Crossfire: Don't know how power. Standard: help most lives.

Pro 2: Immigrants have resource allocation. does not have: Refugee aid decreases refugees. Focuses so much on other sides points.

Con 2: Solving the root cause of the issue. Swarming the LCD infrastructure. For the people, countries currently accepting. CX = no answer. Humanitarian aid is the cause.