

Room: DOBBINS 208  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

GS  
1:57

2:28  
total

Octas

Strat  
1:08  
1:21

Nallusamy, Kumaravel  
Gale Ranch

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Stratford Independant Mohul Aggarwal (Mohul Aggarwal) vs Golden State Josh Sanyal (Josh Sanyal)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Golden State  
AFF

Stratford  
NEG

Winner: Stratford School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Manna

Other judges on panel: Shefali Chinni, Haolin Zhang. Please do not start until all judges are present.



# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Golden State Josh Sanyal (Josh Sanyal) vs Stratford Independant Mohul Aggarwal (Mohul Aggarwal)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Josh Sanyal                      Mohul Aggarwal  
AFF                                      NEG

Winner: Golden State (Josh) debating on the Aff  
School/Team                                      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Shefali

Other judges on panel: Kumaravel Nallusamy, Haolin Zhang. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff

- good rebuttal
- good cross-ex
- was able to justify his opponents claims against his contentions.

Neg.

- nice strategy used to get answers out of the opponent
- had to repeat his clarity multiple times, which kind of muddied the discussion a bit.

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Santa Clara Valley Kelly OA  
BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Anirudh Natarajan (Anirudh Natarajan) vs Harker Annie Ma  
(Annie Ma)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Kelly OA  
AFF

Annie Ma  
NEG

Winner: Kelly OA School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Keshav K.

Other judges on panel: Renu Mehra, Srinivasulu Vuggumudi. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AFF

C1: Both responses given by  
A: the neg are responded to  
by the idea that unequal  
treatment of people is a good  
thing so I can vote on this  
argument if everything else  
on the Res is a wash, which it  
is

B: not extended, well I give terminal  
defense to the neg

C2: the card neg extends is St. John's  
→ this evidence doesn't say that  
affirming will lead to a loss  
of democracy, only that it  
won't lead to an increase  
→ that's terminal defense though  
don't grant the aff or neg the one.

NEG

C1: I give the neg this argument,  
but no good impacts are  
extended in the NP so  
I have to do weighing myself.  
→ Aff C1 def. outweighs this

C2: again, your only extended  
link evidence isn't offensive  
so I can't grant you any  
of the impacts to a  
decrease in democracy



# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

BASIS Independent Silicon Valley <sup>Kelly Oh</sup> Anirudh Natarajan (Anirudh Natarajan) vs Harker Annie Ma (Annie Ma)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Kelly Oh AFF                      Annie Ma NEG

Winner: Annie Ma / Harker School/Team debating on the Neg. Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Srinivasa Mannava, Srinivasulu Vuggumudi. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Kelly

- ⇒ Handled CROSS ex Confidently
- ⇒ Asked about War reduction, but opponent had not talked about it.
- ⇒ Not all contentions of her case were addressed.

Annie

- ⇒ Asked good questions
- ⇒ Stumbled over words
- ⇒ Good arguments
- ⇒ ~~Good arguments~~ ~~Good arguments~~ ~~Good arguments~~ ~~Good arguments~~
- Talks confidently.
- ⇒ Good job pointing out all unrefuted points.

Room: DOBBINS 204  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

~~Vuggumudi, Srinivasulu~~  
Ray Lin  
DV Bridge  
Stephanie Alipio - GSA

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Annie Ma (Annie Ma) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Anirudh Natarajan (Anirudh-Natarajan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Kelly Oh AFF                      Annie Ma NEG

Winner: Annie Ma School/Team debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Srinivasa Mannava, Renu Mehra. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff: Kelly Oh  
value: justice  
VO: fairness

Neg: Annie Ma  
value: morality  
VO: util.

Under the value of morality, justice is ~~not~~ covered and therefore I decided neg won solely on the util. argument being better supported.

Room: DOBBINS 209  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

*Heinsohn Don*  
Shukla, Suruchi  
Young Genius

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin Lee (Justin Lee) vs Harker Avi Gulati (Avi Gulati)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Justin Lee Avi Gulati  
AFF NEG

Winner: Justin Lee debating on the Aff  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Don Heath

Other judges on panel: Anuj Aggarwal, Mahi Nyalakonda. Please do not start until all judges are present.

To that end, no plan or counterplan will be offered, NSDA.  
Marijuana Legalization = narrow conceded in C-X by Avi  
Specific Bad Law cited in CX -  
Fundamentally the 1A is 85% Marijuana with about  
half a dozen interval hints that are very weak.  
INC - IS a massive CARD P. 3 @ 0.36 on Judicial CP.  
I am informed that this tournament is governed  
by NSDA Rules. NSDA Rules Explicitly Prohibit  
CPs w/ LD. ERGO I look only at the Aff case  
which is very weak with almost no impact. I don't even  
care about refutation of the CP because the whole  
argument is illegitimate.  
I don't see any turn on the Aff case that is  
linked to the C.P. So I have a negative with 2  
weight and the Aff with almost a weight.  
I do not want to vote for this case. But it's got  
more weight than nothing. So on Balance I'm  
Aff. Because Counter Plan is the only NEG position.  
I Do Not want to vote for this Aff but  
I'm not voting for a CP.



# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Avi Gulati (Avi Gulati) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin Lee (Justin Lee)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

JUSTIN LEE

AFF

AVI GULATI

NEG

Winner: AVI GULATI debating on the NEG  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Anuj

Other judges on panel: Mahi Nyalakonda, Suruchi Shukla. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AFF

- V - Justice (for all/greater good for greater good)
- Marijuana should be legalized will help used as drug usage example/evidence. (Benefit: California drought)
- Bad laws ~~can~~ will be nullified
  - Civil Dis-obedience
  - Germany example
- During Cross-x, Aff did not provide example of any current bad law.

Rebuttal - Aff provided good argument that single Judge can be more biased/corrupt - as compare to the "12 member Jury".

Aff needs more contents to make case strong. But Aff was able to point out - Judge can be more corrupt

- Good Debater / Speaker

NEG

- Judicial Nullification (Contra plan)  
During Cross-x, Aff asked if during Judicial Nullification who has the final decision power, it is Judge compromised by Neg.

Neg asked good questions during Cross  
During Rebuttal neg defended his Contra plan (Judicial Nullification) but it was his approach to find holes in Aff case was more more worthy. In my opinion, he should have questioned more into his opponents contention to help his case

Neg provided many arguments to ~~aff~~ Aff's case/claims. He could have provided contra examples to boost his side

- Good Speaker  
- ~~to~~ Neg win with very slight margin

Room: DOBBINS 209  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

Nyalakonda, Mahi  
BASIS

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin Lee (Justin Lee) vs Harker Avi Gulati (Avi Gulati)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Justin Lee Avi Gulati

AFF

NEG

Winner: Harker Avi Gulati debating on the Neg  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Anuj Aggarwal, Suruchi Shukla. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Room: DOBBINS 210  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

Drozda, Zarek  
Harker

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kabir Dubey (Kabir Dubey) vs Young Genius Haritha Kumar (Haritha Kumar)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

~~Young Genius H.K.~~      ~~Dougherty Valley K.K.~~  
~~D.V. Bridge Kabir Dubey~~      ~~Young Genius Haritha Kumar~~  
AFF      NEG

Winner: Young Genius H.K. debating on the Aff  
School/Team      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Other judges on panel: Devendra Joshi, Keshav Kundassery. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Qual

- Most offense left was on Aff
- Said messy finish debate. I evaluate based on justice
- Neg needs to phrase things differently

Room: DOBBINS 210  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

Lin, Roy  
Kundassery, Keshav  
Golden State  
BASIS

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Young Genius Haritha Kumar (Haritha Kumar) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Kabir Dubey (Kabir Dubey)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Haritha Kumar                      Kabir Dubey  
AFF    NEG

Winner: Haritha Kumar debating on the Aff  
School/Team    Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Zarek Drozda, Devendra Joshi. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Room: DOBBINS 210  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

A | N  
2:00 | 2:11  
Octas

Joshi, Devendra  
Scholars

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kabir Dubey (Kabir Dubey) vs Young Genius Haritha Kumar (Haritha Kumar)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Haritha Kumar (YG)

AFF

~~Kabir Dubey (YG)~~ (DV)

NEG

Winner: Young Geniuses HK debating on the Aff  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Zarek Drozda, Keshav Kundassery. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AC: spread? G

NC

CV

good?/s

less content  
data/oral

Oral



Room: Dobbins 206  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

~~JURY~~

Octas

HARI MANKUDÉ  
Shandilya, Sveta  
Young Genius

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE DV BRIDGE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Cynthia Chen (Cynthia Chen) vs Gale Ranch Middle Kavin Kumaravel (Kavin Kumaravel)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

KAVIN

AFF

CYNTHIA.

NEG

Winner: KAVIN debating on the AFF  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Anindita Banerjee, Changoo Kang. Please do not start until all judges are present.

KAVIN

- ① CLEAR THOUGHTS AND PRESENTATION. GOOD EYE CONTACT
- ② SUCCESSFULLY REFUTED. CONVINCING.
- ③ FORCEFUL REFUTATION. ~~REA~~ OF CYNTHIA'S PRESENTATION ON ~~RE~~ VERY FEW POINTS
- ④ WENT OVER TIME LIMIT -
- ⑤ HAS STRONG AFF ARGS REGARDING GAY ISSUES. IGNORED OTHER KEY ISSUES.
- ⑥ GOOD POINT ON LACK OF EXAMPLE ON ANARCHY.

CYNTHIA.

- ① NEEDS TO WAIT FOR OPPONENT TO COMPLETE IDEAS.
  - VERY GOOD CONTENT ++
  - PRESENTATION SKILLS NEED MORE EYE CONTACT.
  - GOOD POINTS ON ANARCHY BEING THE RESULT
  - NOT VERY CONVINCING ON RACISM + GAY ISSUES.

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Topic:

Harker Cynthia Chen (Cynthia Chen) vs Gale Ranch Middle Kevin Kumaravel (Kavin Kumaravel)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Gale Ranch Kavin Kumaravel      Harker Cynthia Chen  
AFF      NEG

Winner: Harker School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Anindita Banerjee, Sveta Shandilya. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AFF

- queer individuals are in prison  
↳ wrongly applied
- blade queer experience has back of queer thing.
- queer people experience high verbal abuse
- jury nullity can stop queer people to go to jail
- moral objections  
↳ see unjust, we must stop it

CX

[Impacted only queers. not anyone else.]

~~of - answer for the court, but for the example.~~

- No real libel except of <sup>argument</sup> ~~court~~
- excellent rebuttal towards neg
- jury nullity is encourage
- new abuse about the slavery poster
- the minority will fight a pretty low

NEG

- moral test  
↳ already we had sex so jury nullification is unnecessary.
- lawmakers could be called.
- jury should not overrule a law that is stated by government.
- govern then by the rule of law not the will of the people.
- no one better helps the minority → majority
- look at majority the minority
- CX  
= getting rid of CX but already is not called in constitution  
- no evidence
- moral action so just action
- jury → ~~posed government~~
- already 100% like queers are only 1%

Room: Dobbins 206  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

Banerjee, Anindita  
TCA

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Cynthia Chen (Cynthia Chen) vs Gale Ranch Middle Kavin Kumaravel (Kavin  
Kumaravel)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

KAVIN KUMARAVEL      CYNTHIA CHEN  
AFF                                      NEG

Winner: KAVIN debating on the AFF  
School/Team                                      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Anindita Banerjee, TCA

Other judges on panel: Changoo Kang, Sveta Shandilya. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff:

well solid case, well presented.  
I liked the fact you had only 2 contentions that you discussed in detail.  
Took on Neg case head on in first rebuttal. Built a solid case against value criterion of justice.  
Excellent closing round. You summed up your case well and refuted the opponents.  
Congratulations!

Neg:

Solid case and well delivered.  
I liked the way you refuted the opponents case in your opening round. Ducky 2nd cross ex you were not able to provide evidence for your contention 'jury nullification will lead to anarchy'. Argued the point well that the opponents case was based on minority of the population ignoring general anarchy and chaos.

Room: DOBBINS 205  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

OAK USA  
Prasad, Vishakha  
Young Genius

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty  
valley  
Bridge

Harker Sachin Shah (Sachin Shah) vs TCA Rukmini Banerjee (Rukmini Banerjee)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

AFF

NEG

Winner: Sachin Shah (Harker) debating on the Affirmative  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Ryan Le, Ray Lin. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Sachin

- To begin Topic not explained well
- lots of statistics but hasty speech slurring message
- lots of research but delivery cluttered
- good tracking of <sup>(NEG)</sup> arguments & attempt to 'VOID' argument made.

Jury Notification

Rukmini

~~[Signature]~~

- to begin
- no eye contact part of the session argument
- Strong with critical questions
- speech delivery was rapid with warning but that does not justify cramming too much content that cannot be deciphered
- sound arguments against 'for' arguments but ultimately did not address humans being flawed causing bias
- lost her during 2<sup>nd</sup> relative

Room: DOBBINS 205  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

INDRANIL CHANDRA  
DOUGHERTY VALLEY  
~~Le, Ryan~~  
Golden State

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Rukmini Banerjee (Rukmini Banerjee) vs Harker Sachin Shah (Sachin Shah)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

SACHIN S

AFF

RUKMINI B

NEG

Winner: TCA RUKMINI BANERJEE debating on the NEG  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Ray Lin, Vishakha Prasad. Please do not start until all judges are present.

SACHIN: Four contentions, framework effectively established.

X-Ex ~~was~~ <sup>could</sup> not prove the contentions of opponent to be wrong.

Affirmative Rebuttal re iterated the four contentions and rebutted the contentions of opponent effectively.

2<sup>nd</sup> Aff Rebuttal - Arguments contentions.

RUKMINI: X-Ex was pointed, a little aggressive.

2 contentions. Framework established brilliantly. Rebutted all four contentions of opponent very clearly and effectively.

2<sup>nd</sup> Neg Rebuttal → very convincing arguments made.



Room: DOBBINS 205  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

Anup Mehta  
Lin, Ray  
BASIS  
Dr Bridge

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Sachin Shah (Sachin Shah) vs TCA Rukmini Banerjee (Rukmini Banerjee)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Sachin \_\_\_\_\_ Rukmini \_\_\_\_\_  
AFF NEG

Winner: Rukmini School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Ryan Le, Vishakha Prasad. Please do not start until all judges are present.

<u>Sachin</u>	<u>Rukmini</u>
<u>First round</u> - Persuasive presentation	<u>First round</u> - Strong questioning
<u>Second round</u> - Few questions / Rebuttal was ok	<u>Second round</u> - Very strong presentation
<u>Third round</u> - Rebuttal was not persuasive	<u>Third round</u> - Strong justification of framework
<u>Fourth round</u>	- Strong rebuttal - Few

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Grace Huang (Grace Huang) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Lakshmi Balram (Lakshmi Balram)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Grace H

AFF

Lakshmi B

NEG

Winner: Basis Independent debating on the Neg  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Sangho Oh, Sadashiva Rao. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Neg side presented and contended evidences and not work out of hypothetical criteria. Aff side debated very well but lacked in supporting her evidences in a concrete manner. It was a close call between the two.

Room: DOBBINS 203  
Start: 4:25 PM  
Lincoln Douglas

Octas

Oh, Sangho  
DV Bridge

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Grace Huang (Grace Huang) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Lakshmi Balram  
(Lakshmi Balram)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Grace Huang Lakshmi Balram.  
AFF NEG

Winner: Basis Independent / Lakshmi debating on the Neg.  
School/Team Balram. Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Oh Sangho

Other judges on panel: Chan Hong Park, Sadashiva Rao. Please do not start until all judges are present.

---

Neg side effectively refute most of the statements  
that Affirmative side has claimed.  
Affirmative side needed more detailed examples and  
facts to ~~support~~ support his (her) own ideas and  
refute Neg's sides' logics.



# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Grace Huang (Grace Huang) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Lakshmi Balram (Lakshmi Balram)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below


GRACE (TCA)

LAKSHMI (BASIS)

AFF

NEG

Winner: BASIS (LAKSHMI) debating on the NEG. Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Other judges on panel: Sangho Oh, Chan Hong Park. Please do not start until all judges are present.

*Source*

- Jury Nullification - chg of law.
- Grace (TCA) - Spkr 1
- ~~Good~~ leveraged constitutional elements well
- Liked how "law & justice" don't coincide.
- Rebuttal qs. - asked for an example, did not provide
- Good qs. abt. "maximize well being"
- "Jury Nulli. is an exception"
  - ↳ good cross argument about congress deciding vs. Supreme Court.

*Negative*

LAKSHMI (BASIS) *1:36*

- good strategy to ask for examples/evidence.
- Clear & loud speaker.
- used history with "Fugitive law"
- Called out that opponent had no response to question.
- Very passionate about position & enthusiasm.
- Did not answer qs. on how to measure - "I don't have to define" not a good response.

Room: MAIN 20  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Kodnani, Devesh  
Golden State

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Gale Ranch Middle Kuang & Zhong (Kuang & Zhong) vs Harker CP (Cheung & Pan)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Harker CP Gale Ranch KZ  
PRO CON

Winner: Harker CP debating on the Pro  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:  School: GS Academy

Other judges on panel: Surya Koneru, Anil Prasad. Please do not start until all judges are present.

ORAL DECISION +  
CRITIQUE

any additional questions/concerns  
→ email me at

devesh.kodnani@gmail.com

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Gale Ranch Middle Kuang & Zhong (Kuang & Zhong) vs Harker CP (Cheung & Pan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Harker CP  
 PRO

Gale Ranch ~~KZ~~  
 CON

Winner: Affirmative - Harker CP debating on the PRO  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: DVHS

Other judges on panel: Devesh Kodnani, Surya Koneru. Please do not start until all judges are present.

	Gale <del>Kuang &amp; Zhong</del> Ranch	Harker <del>Cheung &amp; Pan</del>
Case pres.	- not clear reg. arguments + interesting argument!	+ Strong Case - enunciation
rebuttal <del>pres.</del> pres.	+ clear answers	- not clear rebuttal. prioritize vs. —
Refuting Case	- poor analogy w time periods + government prioritization - implicitly agreeing to contention? +	+ good opening part of view + good evidence reg. chemical weapons +



Room: MAIN 20  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Koneru, Surya  
Challenger

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker CP (Cheung & Pan) vs Gale Ranch Middle Kuang & Zhong (Kuang & Zhong)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Harker

Gale Ranch

PRO

CON

Winner:

Harker Aff

debating on the

Aff

Aff wins

School/Team

Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:

[Signature]

School:

Autonomy Debate

Other judges on panel: Devesh Kodnani, Anil Prasad. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Gale Ranch: That's a suicide FW. If you win it, great. And you have here a super strong suicide FW. But the reason it's called that is b/c you have to win FW to win the round. You can't win under your opponent's FW. Meanwhile, they can (although they didn't have)

Under Aff won FW

main two questions in Round

Intent vs Action and why nations do... does card say?

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Chu & Lu (Chu & Lu) vs Golden State Mandayam & Kothari (Mandayam & Kothari)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

BASIS Golden State  
PRO CON

Winner: ~~PRO~~ BASIS debating on the PRO  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Nova 42

Other judges on panel: Gurukulam 6, Anitha Aravind. Please do not start until all judges are present.

VERY GOOD, CLOSE DEBATE!

I thought the PRO side controlled the crosses, especially on the fact that we cannot contain the current terrorists!

The Con argument of 85% of money does not reach refugees was not countered enough.

2.6% of EU population, convincing fact for PRO was not countered enough for me.

CON: Chris last speech was a little too fast for this lay judge

OVERALL FACT that convinced me was we have NOT been able to demonstrate a defeat of al Qaeda, ISIS, etc.

Room: DOBBINS 105  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

6, Gurukulam  
Gurukulam

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

BASIS Independent Silicon Valley Chu & Lu (Chu & Lu) vs Golden State Mandayam & Kothari  
(Mandayam & Kothari)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

BASIS PRO GOLDEN STATE CON  
Winner: BASIS School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con)  
Signature: B P M School: GURUKULAM.

Other judges on panel: Anitha Aravind, James Kyle. Please do not start until all judges are present.

ARGUED WELL ON ECONOMIC IMPACT / HUMANITARIAN CRISIS.  
DEBATE WAS ARGUED WELL ON BOTH SIDES AND BOTH TEAMS  
WERE ARTICULATE -  
THE PRO TEAM ARGUED THEIR POINTS ON ECONOMIC IMPACT BETTER



Room: DOBBINS 105  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Gravin Bains  
Milpitas  
~~Aravind, Anitha~~  
Redwood

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

2nd 1st  
Golden State Mandayam & Kothari (Mandayam & Kothari) vs BASIS Independent Silicon Valley

2nd 1st  
1st Chu & Lu (Chu & Lu)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

2nd  
BASIS  
PRO

1st  
Golden State  
CON

Winner: Golden State M K debating on the CON  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: milpitas

Other judges on panel: Gurukulam 6, James Kyle. Please do not start until all judges are present.

PRO

more benefits to them

→ 1951 → pro escaping  
refugees and not migrants  
migrants are choosing where country

- Lebanon education

will never migrate

ask for evidence

talk about the low birth rate in Germany leaving gaps open

provide examples of Syrian business in Lebanon as correlation to the crisis

ask for evidence

CON

migrants need a middle east either 1. humanitarian need or 2. better

- and increases dependence  
- increases risk of terrorism  
→ -5% increase

cost of living in NYC

85% of devel used for the purpose

- no way to solve it  
- ~~not~~ at Europe ~~and~~ civil

there is likely to conflict  
85% increase in conflict

ask how school increases refugees

if they don't mention, state it

good job about jobs not heuristics

Room: DOBBINS 202  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Chiang, Rachel  
Milpitas

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kaparaboyna & Rajkumar (Kaparaboyna & Rajkumar) vs Harker ~~AJ~~ DL  
~~(Awais & Ju)~~ Ding & Liou [Ding & Liou]

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Dougherty Valley Bridge PRO Harker DL CON

Winner: HARKER DL School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Milpitas High

Other judges on panel: Sapna Garg, Hong Zhang. Please do not start until all judges are present.



Room: DOBBINS 202  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Garg, Sapna  
Atiiva

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kaparaboyna & Rajkumar (Kaparaboyna & Rajkumar) vs Harker ~~DL~~  
(Awais & Ju) (Ding & Liao)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Dougherty Valley Bridge KR  
PRO

Harker DL  
CON

Winner: Dougherty Valley debating on the Pro  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Golden State

Other judges on panel: Rachel Chiang, Hong Zhang. Please do not start until all judges are present.

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kaparaboyna & Rajkumar (Kaparaboyna & Rajkumar) vs Harker ~~LD~~

~~(Awas & Ju)~~ Ding & Liou

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Dougherty PRO Harker LD CON

Winner: Harker LD School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Terman Middle

Other judges on panel: Rachel Chiang, Sapna Garg. Please do not start until all judges are present.

- They all did well on preparation presentation. Cross fires have good questions and answers from Both team.
- I voted Con as a winner because I was convinced that prioritization ~~is~~ not necessary.

PRO

- fire one: pro did good job on asking the direct duplication ~~is~~ whether refugee caused terrorism.
- Close speech focused some on prioritize, solve the immediate crisis and then move to national interest.

Con

- Speaker ~~is~~ <sup>first speech</sup> targets pro's stands with good evidences and focus on why prioritizing it higher.
- Crossfire: did good answer to language barrier which against pro's ~~stand~~ stand.
- point out the weak supporting on "should" prioritize right away.

Ken Hiremath

Room: DOBBINS 102  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

~~Jin, Richard~~  
TCA

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO

CON

Nova 42 Crawford & Choi (Crawford & Choi) vs Gale Ranch Middle Chakraborty & John (Chakraborty & John)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

PRO CON

Winner: Gale Ranch / Chakraborty & John debating on the CON

School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Sagar Gattapally, Prasad Yalamanchili. Please do not start until all judges are present.

PRO:	CON
<p>cont1: <u>legal and moral</u> obligation to accept refugees. Govt have obligation to all people.</p> <p>cont2: benefits govt. money invested in refugees results in pumping money in economy.</p> <p>cont3: neglect harms govt.</p> <p>cross — x</p> <p>cost benefit analysis - used to measure.</p> <p>legal &amp; moral - do they contradict =</p>	<p>Prioritize . <u>Political legitimacy</u>.</p> <p>Prioritize National interest -</p> <p>cont1: Lebanon, money spent, poverty increase. Jordan - 27% increase in cost of living.</p> <p>Help refugee but prioritize nat<sup>l</sup> inter<sup>n</sup></p> <p>cont2: Violent &amp; terrorism in produced Israel - example.</p> <p>How do we detect terrorism - ISIS web-page, etc.</p> <p>Somalia - crisis.</p> <p>cross —</p>

Room: DOBBINS 102  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Das, Rajiv  
Gattapally, Sagar  
Harker  
Brooks

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Nova 42 Crawford & Choi (Crawford & Choi) vs Gale Ranch Middle Chakraborty & John (Chakraborty & John)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Nova 42 PRO                      Gale Ranch CON

Winner: Gale Ranch School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:  School: Rajiv Das

Other judges on panel: Richard Jin, Prasad Yalamanchili. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Most arguments dropped in rebuttals.  
Legal Theory → hockey vs. UN → wash  
Econ → unemployment vs. growth ⇒ wash

⇒ Radical ⇒ ~~evidence~~ unrelated evidence Africa → pull attorney  
did not say what in rebuttals but not emphasized  
AAF reported → they did not indict but pulled through an evidence from IBC



Room: DOBBINS 102  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

RENU MEHRA, TCA

Yalamanchili, Prasad  
Challenger

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Gale Ranch Middle Chakraborty & John (Chakraborty & John) vs Nova 42 Crawford & Choi  
(Crawford & Choi)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

NOVA 42: (Crawford - & Choi)  
PRO

Gale Ranch. (Chakraborty & John)  
CON

Winner: Gale Ranch. (Chakraborty & John) debating on the Neg.  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Renu School: TCA

Other judges on panel: Sagar Gattapally, Richard Jin. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff case: original constructive argument made well. Speaker was speaking too fast.

### Reputation:

① Refugee → terrorist situation: Did not address the opponent cases of French attacks etc.

② Did not address opponent claim that the burden of proving feasibility ~~did not refute~~ fall on the aff side

③ Pushed the out-dated-ness of the John Coak theory too hard. even after opponents said that govts are based on it.

Neg case: original constructive argument made well.

### Reputation of opponents comments

① Radicalization: issue: refuted well by Israel situation, not blocked by opponent

② Boost Economy: refuted well by Turkey example

③

Winner is Neg based on clearer rebuttals

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Sharma & Janjanam (Sharma & Janjanam) vs TCA Hiremath & Ranjan (Hiremath & Ranjan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Dougherty  
PRO

TCA  
CON

Winner: TCA School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: BROOKS

Other judges on panel: Manoj Gupta, Kevin Quach. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Dougherty: First Speaker - Good points with various references (Bandaram) try to make eye-contact with judges more.

TCA: 1<sup>st</sup> Speaker: good delivery, good use of supporting examples (Hiremath) good eye-contact. Try not to express your frustration so much. Focus on the content.

Dougherty: Second Speaker - Like the passion in the delivery, (Chandra) slow down to get your point across clearly. Too aggressive in cross exam.

TCA: Second Speaker - Good delivery - emphatic & articulate. (Ranjan)

Dougherty: Good blocks against terrorism argument.

TCA: Good point on govt's priority to focus on its citizens.

Dougherty: Like your argument that refugees are part of society and their welfare is important.

TCA: Good counter to the economic argument. Good counter to the legal obligation argument.

Overall very close debates, both teams did a very good job.

Room: DOBBINS 101  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Prasad, Aman  
~~Gupta, Manoj~~  
Young Genius

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Bandaram Chandru  
Sharma & Janjanam

TCA Hiremath & Ranjan (Hiremath & Ranjan) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Sharma & Janjanam (Sharma & Janjanam)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Dougherty Valley  
PRO

TCA  
CON

Winner: Dougherty Valley debating on the Pro  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Aman Prasad School: GGA

Other judges on panel: Kevin Quach, Kanaiya Vasani. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I voted Pro on 2 arguments: economy and terrorism.

These were the only 2 arguments that actually linked back to the standard.

Con: econ! - provide more than Africa  
Terrorism? - more than just Paris

Definitely calm down during CX.

Room: DOBBINS 101  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Quach, Kevin  
Milpitas

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

<sup>Chandran Bandarom</sup>  
Dougherty Valley Bridge ~~Sharma & Janjanam~~ (Sharma & Janjanam) vs TCA Hiremath & Ranjan (Hiremath & Ranjan)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Dougherty valley Bridge TCA Hiremath  
PRO CON

Winner: TCA Hiremath debating on the Con  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Basis Independent Silicon valley

Other judges on panel: Manoj Gupta, Kanaiya Vasani. Please do not start until all judges are present.



# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Jeyendran & Xu (Jeyendran & Xu) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Mankude & Srinivas (Mankude & Srinivas)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Harker  
PRO

Dougherty Valley Bridge  
CON

Winner: Dougherty Valley Bridge debating on the Con  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Nova 42

Other judges on panel: Priya Poolavari, Rajashekar Reddy. Please do not start until all judges are present.

ICFD  
Nay turned All Con 2 to its benefit, showing how the eco. benefits of refugees are included under national interests. Thus, prioritizing refugees gave no benefits to the All. Furthermore, Nay proved that the <sup>de-</sup>prioritization of humanitarian aid would not hurt refugees or national interests. Therefore,

Nay's win

Jeyendran  
• Good pace, solid 1st speech ✱  
• Be more aggressive in Cross  
• Try to hit every contention/FW in summary; that way you can rebut/support across the flow

Mankude  
• Good use of definitions ✱  
• Go in order of the flow; helps w/organization  
• Solid in cross ✱

Xu  
• Solid rebuttal speech ✱  
• Solid in cross ✱  
• In FF, give me voting points: dropped contentions, evidence, FW, etc.; FF ≠ Summary extension

Srinivas  
• Avoid tech. issues  
~~✱ Hit the other side's points in the summary; otherwise you can't rebut across the flow~~  
• Good analysis on how prioritizing humanitarian needs is also prioritizing national interest

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Jeyendran & Xu (Jeyendran & Xu) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Mankude & Srinivas (Mankude & Srinivas)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

(28)  
Jeyendran & Xu (29)

PRO (aff)

Aakash (29)  
Mankude & Srinivas (29)

CON (neg)

Winner: Dougherty Valley / Mankude & Srinivas School/Team debating on the Neg. Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Reddy School: Guukulam

Other judges on panel: Ted Kim, Priya Poolavari. Please do not start until all judges are present.

(Jeyendran & Xu)

- good contentions.
- must answer to the opponent's arguments.
- "team work" must be taken care of and followed.
- utilization of prep time is (better) encouraged.

(Aakash Mankude & Srinivas)

- good cross ex.
- valid proofs must be provided for standings.
- must avoid repetition of the same points.

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Harker Jeyendran & Xu (Jeyendran & Xu) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Mankude & Srinivas (Mankude & Srinivas)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

HARKER PRO \_\_\_\_\_ DOUGHERTY VALLEY CON \_\_\_\_\_

Winner: HARKER School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Brooks

Other judges on panel: Ted Kim, Rajashekar Reddy. Please do not start until all judges are present.

- ① Both teams did really well, with excellent prep and blocking each other's content
- ② Speaker (all) very articulate
- ③ DOUGHERTY's contention that even when govt prioritizes refugees this on impact violating long term national interest was creative
- ④ HARKER's argument that threat to national security is overshadowed with facts (less than 2% deaths are possible terrorist) was excellent
- ⑤ Decision is primarily based on equating evidence presented.

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Dougherty Valley Bridge Garg & Oak (Garg & Oak) vs Nova 42 Wilson & Park (Wilson & Park)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Dougherty Valley Bridge  
PRO

Nova 42 Wilson & Park  
CON

Winner: Nova 42 School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Anika Tiwari School: Harker

Other judges on panel: Gurukulam 5, James Dang. Please do not start until all judges are present.

PRO

- worldwide
- shelter, sustenance
- preservation of lives
- put first
- human rights issue
- huge worldwide, die or lose home
- help now
- asylum helps economy - non-agricultural job, employment increase, new jobs
- impossible to not help both
- help causes problems
- prioritize = save more
- actually prioritize = focus = more save
- long term neglect = more issues
- syria was stable
- 300000 - 11 min
- govt = neglecting crisis
- need to be saved asap
- preservation of lives
- con forgot to bring up refugees
- morality
- "con not credible"

CON

- security crisis. put greater
- govt doesn't need to prioritize refugees
- cost = Q
- law - not mutually exclusive
- neglect = security threat
- hopelessness = problems we want to avoid
- threat = D so far
- help economy - service exports +, increase in wages, go back to school
- currently they are "helping" not prioritizing, already getting better
- primo proof for why it needs to be prioritize
- save lives as well
- affects everything
- preserve everything
- EVIDENCE!
- priority ≠ help
- Security is national interest, neglect = threat
- ↑
- no priority to help
- "Security, Safety, Citizens, Economy"
- ↑
- why it ends faster

Room: DOBBINS 103  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Robert Chen  
~~Dang, James~~  
BASIS

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Nova 42 Wilson & Park (Wilson & Park) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Garg & Oak (Garg & Oak)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Dougherty Valley GO                      Nova 42 WP  
PRO    CON

Winner: Dougherty Valley GO debating on the Pro  
School/Team    Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Basis

Other judges on panel: Gurukulam 5, Anika Tiwari. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Oral



# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Nova 42 Wilson & Park (Wilson & Park) vs Dougherty Valley Bridge Garg & Oak (Garg & Oak)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

DUIFS GO

Nova WP

PRO

CON

Winner: Nova WP School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Basics

Other judges on panel: James Dang, Anika Tiwari. Please do not start until all judges are present.

<p>FF - only duty to same principles of the treaties is the de private - capital social</p>	<p>FF - large until needed - creation jobs, concentration</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>
<p>FF - security - no reflecting evidence</p>	<p>FF - security - no reflecting evidence</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>
<p>III - For Aff      - capital to A      - ref all articles on the table</p>	<p>III - For Aff      - capital to A      - ref all articles on the table</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>
<p>III - For Aff      - capital to A      - ref all articles on the table</p>	<p>III - For Aff      - capital to A      - ref all articles on the table</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>
<p>III - For Aff      - capital to A      - ref all articles on the table</p>	<p>III - For Aff      - capital to A      - ref all articles on the table</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>	<p>III - Migration Ref      - 33 million displaced      - 11 million registers      - required to country however      - 35% rate</p>

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Pai & Ramakrishna (Pai & Ramakrishna) vs Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat (Schletzbaum & Madnawat)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Milpitas PRO TCA CON

Winner: Milpitas School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: M Naddell School: Terman

Other judges on panel: Amy Kohrman, Joan Lee. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Milpitas TCA  
1st Madnawat 1st Pai  
2nd Schletzbaum 2nd Ramakrishna

Constructive: clear diction. Good eye contact.  
contention 1: refugees causing environmental climate change.  
contention 2: disease outbreaks.  
Need to take in refugees for the greater good: climate change/disease

Clear definitions  
contention 1: purpose of government is to the interests of the citizens/Nat. interests.  
contention 2: Resources should be used for locals, not refugees. However in EU.  
Contention 3: Will cause unrest between refugees and locals.  
Could summarize.

Room: DOBBINS 104  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Lin, Jimmy  
(tackler)  
~~Lee, Joan~~  
Atiiva

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat (Schletzbaum & Madnawat) vs TCA Pai & Ramakrishna (Pai & Ramakrishna)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

36  
57  
27

Milpitas

TCA

38  
22  
1:00

PRO

CON

Winner:

Milpitas SM

debating on the

PRO

School/Team

Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:

School:

Harker

Other judges on panel: Amy Kohrman, Marc Naddell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I bought CON framework on obligation to citizens so PRO failed to justify Util, but it didn't play a big role in my decision since CON didn't extend any quantification on tradeoff from citizens.

Deforestation not quantified.

I bought disease, spreading people out & outbreak.  
I bought CON responses to limited reserves.

Instability was a wash.

EXTEND YOUR CARDS

PRO: extend 12.9% terror

CON: extend HIT



Room: DOBBINS 104  
Start: 3:00 PM  
Public Forum

Octas

Kohrman, Amy  
Terman

# HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

TCA Pai & Ramakrishna (Pai & Ramakrishna) vs Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat  
(Schletzbaum & Madnawat)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Milpitas \_\_\_\_\_ TCA \_\_\_\_\_  
PRO CON

Winner: TCA \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the con \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: Amy Kohrman \_\_\_\_\_ School: Terman \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Joan Lee, Marc Naddell. Please do not start until all judges are present.