



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

| Round # _____ | Rank in this Round | | | | | | | Rule violation? |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| | 1 st | 2 nd | 3 rd | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | 7 th | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |

Name & Code: _____ **Selection:** _____

Storytelling

| Caption | Rating | Constructive Comments |
|--|--------|-----------------------|
| Comments should be given for any rating other than superior. | | |
| <p>Content S E G NI</p> <p>Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?</p> | | Didn't Show Up |
| <p>Organization S E G NI</p> <p>Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?</p> | | |
| <p>Vocal Delivery S E G NI</p> <p>Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?</p> | | |
| <p>Physical Delivery S E G NI</p> <p>Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?</p> | | |
| <p>Overall Effect S E G NI</p> <p>In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?</p> | | |

Ratings: **S** (Superior) **E** (Excellent) **G** (Good) **NI** (Needs Improvement)



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament

December 5th & 6th, 2015

| Round # _____ | Rank in this Round | | | | | | | Rule violation? |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| | 1 st | 2 nd | 3 rd | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | 7 th | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |

Name & Code: Nisa Khan

Selection:

Storytelling

| Caption | Rating | Constructive Comments |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event? | S E G NI | <small>Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.</small> The material enriched the audience because the the participant was used descriptive language. |
| Organization Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material? | S E G NI | moving The progression of the piece in was in a logical order. |
| Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest? | S E G NI | The voice delivery was excellent because she was was projected her voice. She also used different voices for the characters. |
| Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest? | S E G NI | The participant walked around and used hand gestures. The part where she was describing the sick king was great. |
| Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event? | S E G NI | The overall effect was great because I could understand the whole story from the way she presented it. |

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) G (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament

December 5th & 6th, 2015

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Round # <u>3</u> | Rank in this Round | | | | | | | Rule violation? |
| | 1 st | 2 nd | 3 rd | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | 7 th | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |

Name & Code: Anjali Singh Selection:

Storytelling

| Caption | Rating | Constructive Comments |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event? | S E G NI | Comments should be given for any rating other than superior. The material presented was very entertaining. |
| Organization Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material? | S E G NI | The presentation was easy to follow and there was a logical progression. |
| Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest? | S E G NI | The presenter should project there voice more. There should also be a difference between between the characters voices. |
| Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest? | S E G NI | There should be more facial expression. Her gestures would should be used from above the waist because when she presents she moves her hands unnecessarily. |
| Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event? | S E G NI | Yes, there is mastery of this event but if they could improve on there hand gestures and vocal delivery that would be better. Good Job! |

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) G (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament

December 5th & 6th, 2015

| Round # | Rank in this Round | | | | | | | Rule violation? |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| | 1 st | 2 nd | 3 rd | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | 7 th | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |

Name & Code: Shreya Karan Selection: William Nobbies McGreer and his 10 bowls of wheat

Storytelling

| Caption | Rating | Constructive Comments |
|---------|--------|-----------------------|
|---------|--------|-----------------------|

Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.

| | | |
|---|-----------------|---|
| Content <small>Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?</small> | S E G NI | The pieces that she did was great and definately achieves its goals goals. |
|---|-----------------|---|

| | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Organization <small>Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?</small> | S E G NI | Yes, the presentation was easy to follow. But, I didn't understand her when she was imitating William's mom's voice. |
|--|-----------------|--|

| | | |
|---|-----------------|---|
| Vocal Delivery <small>Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?</small> | S E G NI | she could project her voice a more so I could hear her better. Also more so she could show the voices of her characters different. |
|---|-----------------|---|

| | | |
|---|-----------------|---|
| Physical Delivery <small>Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?</small> | S E G NI | There was not much facial expression but there was gesturing. The gesturing should be used above the waist. |
|---|-----------------|---|

| | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| Overall Effect <small>In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?</small> | S E G NI | The presentation overall achieve its goals. Good Job! |
|---|----------------------------|---|

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) G (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)