

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

7.29

Round #	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
# <u>3</u>	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Name & Code: <u>Golden State / Mogh & Sreekumar</u>		Selection: <u>Trop Dead gorgeous Lona Williams</u>						

Duo Interpretation

Caption

Rating

Constructive Comments

Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.

Content

S E G NI

Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?

Organization

S E G NI

Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?

Very good overall - make the denouement stronger when the reporter part shows up.

Vocal Delivery

S E G NI

Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Physical Delivery

S E G NI

Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

In the part where you say "Yup, Yup" -> make it stronger & louder

Overall Effect

S E G NI

In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?

Excellent !!
Good content, well executed!

Ratings:

S (Superior)

E (Excellent)

G (Good)

NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Mihir Nanavati

Affiliation: Harker



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

10:20

Round # <u>3</u>	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
	1 st	2 nd	<u>3rd</u>	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Name & Code: Golden State / Gong & Yan Selection: Lessons in Throwing Jan Vence Julia Nava

Duo Interpretation

Caption **Rating** **Constructive Comments**

Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.

Content S E G NI
Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?

Organization S E G NI
Is the presentation easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?

Vocal Delivery S E G NI
Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Physical Delivery S E G NI
Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Overall Effect S E G NI
In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?

The initial part when she's talking to the doll is a bit long as the audience is curious what's next

When you say "You took the words right out of my mouth" that's a great line, make it louder & stronger

Excellent job!
Particularly enjoyed the blocking part with the doll - highly engaging!

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) G (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Mihir Nanavati Affiliation: Harker



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

6:01

Round # <u>3</u>	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	<u>6th</u>	7 th	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Name & Code: <u>Golden State/ Nethi & Karwa</u>				Selection: <u>Heck's Where Bad Kids Go</u>				

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?	S E <u>G</u> NI	I think more positive storylines would have suited this duo better
Organization Is the presentation easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?	S E <u>G</u> NI	Took a while to understand the storyline because the intro was short & a bit garbled
Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S <u>E</u> G NI	The boy's voice was strong & clear the girl spoke too fast - slow down especially in the beginning
Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S <u>E</u> G NI	Very good partnership in blocking
Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?	S E <u>G</u> NI	Make it a bit longer & slow down & strengthen intro

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) G (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Mihir Nanavati Affiliation: Harker



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

6:30

Round #	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Name & Code: Cesar Chavez / Barakzoy & Hernandez Selection: Teenage Idol by Ken Bradbury

Duo Interpretation

Caption

Rating

Constructive Comments

Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.

Content

S E **G** NI

Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?

The story was clear, but can use more of an impact in climax, it lacked a bit of punch

Organization

S E **G** NI

Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?

The part about Mackenzie was too long, considering the overall time - 6:30

Vocal Delivery

S E **G** NI

Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

The girl who was supportive ~~can~~ should raise her voice more. The part about "Take fake diamonds out of my eyes" was beautiful, but say it louder

Physical Delivery

S E **G** NI

Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Vary the physical expression more to keep interest eg. "What a beautiful voice"

Overall Effect

S E **G** NI

In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?

Good effort - make it a bit longer & increase impact of climax

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) **G** (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Mahir Nanavati

Affiliation: Harker



HARKER.
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

11:12

Round # <u>3</u>	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	<u>4th</u>	5 th	6 th	7 th	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Name & Code: <u>Basis Independent / Senthil & Purandare</u>				Selection: <u>Mary Choppina / Frank Joseph</u>				

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.		

Content S E G NI
Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?

While the intro made it clear this was about a disruptive caretaker, the clarity came much later.
& it ran long - 11:12

Organization S E G NI
Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?

For example, the part about LSD came in at 8:26 → should have come in earlier

Vocal Delivery S E G NI
Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

for each person it was consistent. But one person (nanny) had a stronger voice among the two

Physical Delivery S E G NI
Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

One person looked straight at me all the time & the other straight at the wall in front - vary it up!

Overall Effect S E G NI
In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?

Take the time upfront, slow down to explain more clearly. Mary Choppina is a challenging character

Ratings: S (Superior) E (Excellent) G (Good) NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Miluv Nanavati Affiliation: Harker



HARKER
SPEECH & DEBATE

Harker Middle School Tournament December 5th & 6th, 2015

8:26

Round # <u>3</u>	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
	1 st	<u>2nd</u>	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Name & Code: <u>Golden State / Alury & Vajjhala</u>		Selection: <u>Metamorph / Julia Neva</u>						

Caption

Rating

Constructive Comments

Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.

Content

S E G NI

Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?

Organization

S E G NI

Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?

Vocal Delivery

S E G NI

Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Physical Delivery

S E G NI

Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Overall Effect

S E G NI

In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?

The 2nd part, Inciting Incident was shorter, can use more sentences

When you say "No, no, no, this can't be happening" raise voice & say more forcefully

Can use more blocking in Inciting Incident

Great job! It was clearly demonstrating the challenges of working through teenage struggles & media overreach

Ratings:

S (Superior)

E (Excellent)

G (Good)

NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Mihir Nanavati

Affiliation: Harker

Duo Interpretation