Round	Rank in this Round	Rule violation?
#_____	1st 2nd **(3rd)** 4th 5th 6th 7th	☐ Yes

Name & Code: S and P.   **Selection:**

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
		Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.
Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?	(S) E G NI	Content was very entertaining and humorous.. Mystery and fun combined.
Organization Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?	S E (G) NI	Organization was good. Transition could have been better
Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S (E) G NI	Very good vocal delivery. Nice voice modulation.
Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S E (G) NI	Good physical delivery. Need to slow down a bit Need to smile more & Look at Judge & audience
Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?	S (E) G NI	Excellent & entertaining performance

Ratings: **S** (Superior)   **E** (Excellent)   **G** (Good)   **NI** (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: _____   Affiliation: _____

Round #_____	Rank in this Round	Rule violation?
	1st 2nd 3rd (4th) 5th 6th 7th	☐ Yes

Name & Code: Mogli & Srikanth **Selection:**

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
		Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.
Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?	S (E) G NI	Content was excellent. Entertaining with ups & downs and mix of emotio...
Organization Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?	S E (G) NI	Organization was good. Transitions could have been smoother. Many characters so tracking each was difficult.
Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S (E) G NI	Vocal delivery was good. One partner was more energetic than others.
Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S E (G) NI	Good. Could have been more synchronized. Overall lot of energy.
Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?	S (E) G NI	Overall effect was excellent. Good smile & entertainment. Could have slowed down a bit. 2 minutes was left out.

Ratings: **S** (Superior)   **E** (Excellent)   **G** (Good)   **NI** (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: _____   Affiliation: _____

Harker Middle School Tournament
December 5th & 6th, 2015

HARKER
SPEECH & DEBATE

Round	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
#_____	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	(6th)	7th	☐ Yes

Name & Code: N4K.   **Selection:**

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
		Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.

Content — S E (G) NI
Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?

Organization — S E (G) NI
Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?

Vocal Delivery — S (E) G NI
Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Physical Delivery — S (E) G NI
Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?

Overall Effect — S E (G) NI
In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?

Constructive Comments:

Content was good, but other competitor's content was more relevant and releted to more social and personal affairs. Story did not have many emotions.

Organization was good. but overall story content was ~~more~~ simpler. Could have brough in more twists and characters.

Vocal delivery was good most of the time, but now and then, energy would go down low. Smiling and fun was missing. Too fast in talking so slow down & pause.

Physical delivery was good. But ~~factial~~ facial expressions can be improved.

Overall effect was good. Nice work. Look at judge & audience. Show more confidence and energy. Also ended little early than others.

Ratings: **S** (Superior)   **E** (Excellent)   **G** (Good)   **NI** (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Susmita Singh   **Affiliation:**

Round	Rank in this Round	Rule violation?
#_____	(1st) 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th	☐ Yes

Name & Code: Barakjoy {Hernandez   **Selection:**

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
		Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.
Content — Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?	(S) E G NI	Content was very captivating, good ups & down, nice message, very relevant.
Organization — Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?	(S) E G NI	Liked the starting. The overall flow was good.
Vocal Delivery — Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S (E) G NI	Vocal delivery of one ^Partner was very good, the other partner, in the begi-nning could be more energetic, though Later she gave solid performance. Good voice modulations & emotions.
Physical Delivery — Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S (E) G NI	Physical delivery was mostly on facial expression but was sufficient for the content.
Overall Effect — In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?	(S) E G NI	Overall very impressive. The various emotions jealousy, sad-ness, etc came out nicely.

Ratings: (S) (Superior)   E (Excellent)   G (Good)   NI (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Susmits Syh   **Affiliation:** Young Genius

Round	Rank in this Round							Rule violation?
# 1	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	(5th)	(6th)	7th	☐ Yes

Name & Code: Gong & Yan.   **Selection:**

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
		Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.
Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?	S E (G) NI	Content was good, but took some time to figure out. Clear indication of the content in the beginning would have been more better.
Organization Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?	S E (G) NI	Organization could be improved. It moved too fast. Transition was a bit confusing though at the end all of it tied through
Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S E (G) NI	Vocal delivery was good & energetic. Could have been modulated and changed more.
Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S (E) G NI	Physical delivery involved very good facial expressions, body movements.
Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?	S E (G) NI	Overall effect was good.

Ratings: **S** (Superior)   **E** (Excellent)   **G** (Good)   **NI** (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Susmita Singh Affiliation: Young Genius.

Round #_____	Rank in this Round	Rule violation?
	1st ②2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th	☐ Yes

Name & Code: Aluru & Vajhula **Selection:** _____

Duo Interpretation

Caption	Rating	Constructive Comments
		Comments should be given for any rating other than superior.
Content Does the material presented enrich the audience? Is it meaningful, relevant, and consistent with the purpose of this forensic event?	Ⓢ E G NI	Content was very good and dramatic. lots of up and down and interquing story. Strong story line.
Organization Is the presentation is easy to understand and follow? Has the presenter carefully planned a logical progression of the material?	S Ⓔ G NI	Very good organization. Smooth flow and nice transitions.
Vocal Delivery Does the presenter use their voice(s) to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S Ⓔ G NI	Very Good voice modulation. Good pause. Good emotional expression.
Physical Delivery Does the presenter employ facial expression, movement, and gesturing to achieve clarity, promote understanding, and heighten interest?	S E Ⓖ NI	Physical delivery could be improved further and made more smooth
Overall Effect In total does the presentation achieve its goals? Does it demonstrate mastery of this forensic event?	Ⓢ E G NI	Overall very good performance. Smile more. look at audience and show confidence.

Ratings: **S** (Superior)   **E** (Excellent)   **G** (Good)   **NI** (Needs Improvement)

Judge Name: Susmita Singh Affiliation: Young Genius.